

February 4, 1972

Dear [REDACTED]

Your letter to the Attorney General has been referred to this Bureau and was received on February 2nd.

In regard to the investigation by the FBI of records of the Unitarian-Universalist Association, this Bureau, at the specific request of Assistant Attorney General Robert C. Mardian, Internal Security Division, Department of Justice, is conducting an intensive investigation into the unauthorized disclosure of the "Pentagon papers." On October 28, 1971, a subpoena was issued by the Federal Grand Jury, Boston, Massachusetts, to obtain bank records of the Unitarian-Universalist Association and its affiliate, Beacon Press, which published Senator Gravel's edition of "The Pentagon Papers."

Pursuant to a request by attorneys of the Department of Justice, two Special Agents assisted bank personnel in a review of their records to expedite selection of items most responsive to the subpoena and to eliminate documents with no relevancy. These Agents did not make any notes regarding the bank records and did not prepare reports based on their review.

FEB 4 1972

It is considered that the actions of this Bureau in the above matter have been entirely proper and were performed in response to a specific request by attorneys of the Department of Justice.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

Mr. Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Miller, E.S. \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Ponder \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bates \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Whitely \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

Los Angeles - Enclosure

NOTE: Bufiles reflect [REDACTED] traveled to Moscow in 1962 to attend the Eighth International Cancer Congress and she also expressed a desire to attend World Congress for General Disarmament and Peace also held there.

55 FEB 16 1972

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

56

FIRST UNITARIAN CHURCH  
OF LOS ANGELES

Peter Hans Christiansen  
Minister

Stephen Hole Fritchman  
Minister Emeritus

January 27, 1972

Attorney General John Mitchell  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:

The Board of Trustees of the First Unitarian Church of Los Angeles, by unanimous vote, condemns the action of the United States Department of Justice in its unconstitutional investigation of the financial affairs of the Unitarian Universalist Association.

This action of the Department of Justice was taken because Beacon Press, the publishing department of the U.U.A., has made available to the American public the complete text of the "Pentagon Papers" which document numerous violations of national and international law by a number of public officials.

This investigation, compelling the disclosure of contributors to and members of the Unitarian Universalist Association, is a direct attack upon freedom of religion and freedom of association, both of which are guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States.

We urge that the Department of Justice cease at once its campaign of intimidation against the U.U.A.

Sincerely,

SIH:nw

NOT RECORDED  
202 FEB 11 1972

FEB 11 1972

CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Fonder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Walker	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

34 FEB 2 1972

ORIGINAL FILED IN

E. S. Miller

C. D. Brennan

1 - Mr. A. Rosen  
1 - Mr. J. P. Mohr  
1 - Mr. T. E. Bishop  
2/15/72  
1 - Mr. D. J. Dalbey  
1 - Mr. E. S. Miller  
1 - Mr. C. D. Brennan  
1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner

**UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURES OF  
CLASSIFIED INFORMATION TO THE PRESS**

Memorandum is to summarize status of our current investigations into leak matters, noting that required direction of current investigative activity not conducive to development of information meriting notification to The White House in weekly summary. Recommendation being made that weekly summary requested by The White House be discontinued after notification to appropriate recipients that information of pertinence will be furnished as received in the future. Letters along those lines attached for H. R. Haldeman and Egil Krogh, Jr., at The White House, and for the Attorney General.

Background:

Our investigation of Daniel Ellsberg and his codefendant, Anthony J. Russo, Jr., in their illegal possession and disclosure of classified information has successfully culminated in their indictment at Los Angeles with trial now scheduled for early May, 1972. Our current activity in this area involves a detailed analysis and study of evidence, and organization of same for presentation by Departmental attorneys at the forthcoming trial.

Alleged violations by Ellsberg in leaking the "Pentagon papers" to elements of the news media in Boston, Massachusetts, continue to be the subject of Federal Grand Jury interest at Boston, however, the hearing at Boston is presently estopped by motions before the United States District Court of Appeals and the United States Supreme Court by Senator Mike Gravel and others who have been subpoenaed before the Federal Grand Jury. While our inquiries into alleged violations in the Boston area are continuing, they have not been of sufficient import to justify high level dissemination.

Other leak investigations of earlier interest to The White House have been completed and have or will be presented to the Department of Justice with the comment that no additional investigation is being conducted by this Bureau.

Enclosures - 3

62-98194

65-74060 (MC LEK)

JRW:bjp

(9)

NOT RECORDED

191 FEB 17 1972

CONTINUED - OVER

57 FEB 22 1972

Memorandum to E. S. Miller  
RE:- UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURES OF  
CLASSIFIED INFORMATION TO THE PRESS  
62-98194

It is expected that with the start of the trial in Los Angeles and resumption of Federal Grand Jury hearings in Boston, after appropriate rulings by the Federal courts involved, our investigation in the Ellsberg matter will be stepped up, thereby generating information of interest and value to the mentioned White House advisers and the Attorney General. At that time we will resume furnishing those officials letterhead memoranda incorporating substantive and pertinent information as it is received. Until that time, however, the interested officials should be advised that we contemplate discontinuing our weekly memorandum and appropriate letters for those officials are attached.

RECOMMENDATION:

That we discontinue weekly memorandum summarizing developments in our leak investigations until such time as trial starts or Federal Grand Jury proceeding is allowed to continue by court rulings. If approved, attached letters to The White House and to the Attorney General will be sent.

Date: 2/10/72

Via AIRTEL REGISTERED MAIL  
(Priority)

CDB  
Bumiputera  
KESMA  
3, 4, 5, 6

Enclosed herewith are the original and five copies of a LHM captioned, "DANIEL ELLSBERG; ESPIONAGE - X", dated as above at New York, setting forth information pertaining to subscribers in the NYC area contacted from telephone number [REDACTED] listed to [REDACTED] for the period April-November, 1971.

- HJM: 138  
2-17-72

2-6  
2-APP  
2-100-6100  
1s. 6) (RM)  
(105-27952) (Encl. 1)  
5236) (Encl. 1) (In)  
13) (Encl. 1) (In)  
Enc. 100 file  
dissem. in  
REC-54

63-74060-3135

SEP 15 1972

CPB

OFFICE

SEP 15 1972

Per

Sent

☆ U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1971-413-135



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No.

New York, New York  
February 10, 1972

Daniel Ellsberg  
Espionage - X

This document contains neither recommendations  
nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property  
of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and  
its contents are not to be distributed outside  
your agency.

*Do NOT disseminate  
2/14/72  
Gry*

ENCLOSURE

65-74060-3135

Daniel Ellsberg

On December 13, 1971, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED], was contacted in connection with his knowledge of Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and/or Dr. Daniel Ellsberg. [REDACTED] advised that he only stays at the [REDACTED]

for approximately two days a week. He is a professor at Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, and resides at Westchester County, New York. He further [REDACTED] for the period June to November, 1971, he had [REDACTED] staying at the [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] address, whom he described as a former student at Yale University, who has also worked with him in Egypt. He noted that while [REDACTED] was in the Boston area he was employed by the Harvard University Medical Planning Department. He also stated that one [REDACTED] stayed with [REDACTED] periodically from June, 1971 to November, 1971. He described [REDACTED] as being in the publishing business and being closely associated with Dr. Daniel Ellsberg.

On January 4, 1972, [REDACTED] further advised that in addition to [REDACTED] the following individuals also resided at his residence at one time or another during the period April 29 through November 24, 1971 and, further, that they all were acquainted with [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] who is presently an undergraduate student at Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut.

[REDACTED] also attending Yale University as an undergraduate.

[REDACTED] who is also a [REDACTED] employed at the [REDACTED]

for

2/17/72

AIRTEL

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner  
1 - Mr. H. J. Morgan

To: SAC, New York (65-25641)

From: Director, FBI (65-74060) - 3135

MC LEX  
SIO ST-111

ReNYairtel and LHM 2/10/72.

Referenced LHM is not being disseminated at this time due to the fact that New York has neglected to include sources for information set forth therein. Specifically, no sources are utilized when identifying subscribers to various telephone numbers and for setting forth statements such as the prior employment of Attorney George S. Leisure, Jr., as a former Assistant United States Attorney on page four, paragraph six.

New York should include data set forth in referenced LHM in its next investigative report, appropriately documenting sources utilized.


It is desired that investigation be conducted to fully identify the following individuals including their employment, and thereafter recommendations are to be submitted on a UACB basis concerning their interviews for knowledge about [redacted] and any relationship those two persons had with Ellsberg and/or Russo, particularly the copying or dissemination of the "Pentagon papers."

[redacted]  
as set forth on page three, paragraph six, of referenced LHM.

- 1 - Boston (65-5236)
- 1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)
- 1 - WFO (65-11613)

Mr. Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Miller, E.S. \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Ponder \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bates \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Walkart \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

HJM:bjp  
(8)



SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

MAIL ROOM ☒

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Airtel to New York  
RE: MC LEK  
65-74060

[REDACTED]  
page three, last paragraph, of referenced LHM

[REDACTED]  
page four, paragraph four, of referenced LHM

[REDACTED]  
page six, paragraph one, of referenced LHM

With regard to [REDACTED], set forth on page five, paragraph one, referenced LHM, as distributor for Visi Records, you should further identify this organization and thereafter submit recommendations concerning interview on UACB basis.

Steps should be immediately instituted to interview [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Moving and Storage, 1162 Second Avenue, New York City. It is noted that [REDACTED] on two occasions has been entrusted by Ellsberg to obtain [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] It is possible items belonging to Ellsberg of interest in this investigation have been placed in the custody of [REDACTED] Every effort should be made by New York to determine whether this is the case.

In addition to the above New York should at once interview [REDACTED] Continental American Travel Incorporated, to ascertain her knowledge concerning [REDACTED] and whether she made arrangements for their tour of the Middle East. Details concerning same should be obtained.

NOTE:

New York by airtel and LHM 2/10/72 set forth identities of subscribers to telephone numbers called by individuals of interest in this case. Instructions issued for additional investigation leading to possible interviews of these individuals in the future.

Airtel

2/17/72

To: SACs, Baltimore (65-3896)  
Boston (65-5238)  
WFO (65-11613)

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner  
1 - Mr. J. R. Hagy

From: Director, FBI (65-74060)

MC LRI SIO

Information developed to date concerning [redacted] points to [redacted] as a definite suspect in the dissemination of the "Pentagon papers" to various newspapers throughout the United States in late June and early July, 1971. His role in personally retrieving items earlier placed in storage by Daniel Ellsberg and Ellsberg's brother-in-law, Spencer Marx, indicates the high degree of confidence placed in [redacted] by Ellsberg. At the same time, [redacted] contacts in the publication field, stemming from his connections with Dispatch News Service (DNS) and his close relationship with [redacted] editor at large of [redacted] would certainly have been known to Ellsberg prior to 6/13/71 when "The New York Times" broke the story of the "Pentagon papers." [redacted] was named by [redacted] as the person to be notified in the event of death or accident when [redacted] applied for a passport in 1965. Investigation indicates contacts between Ellsberg and [redacted] as early as May, 1970. The DNS, organized by [redacted] in 11/69, gained national recognition by releasing articles written by Hersh concerning the so-called My Lai massacre and references given by DNS included

1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

JRH:mcm (10)

MAILED 20

FEB 17 1972

FBI

SEE NOTE PAGE THREE

REC-11

65-74060-3126

FEB 17 1972

57 FEB 22 1972

MAIL-ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Miller, E.S. \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Ponder \_\_\_\_\_  
Bates \_\_\_\_\_  
Waikart \_\_\_\_\_  
Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

JRH

Airtel to Baltimore et al  
RE: NC LEK  
65-74060

[redacted] of the Washington, D.C. bureau of the "St. Louis Post Dispatch." The latter publication was one of the newspapers which began publishing portions of the "Pentagon papers" shortly after 6/13/71 and reportedly obtained the pertinent material after [redacted] received a telephone call from an as-yet unidentified individual who told [redacted] to come to Cambridge, Massachusetts, and, thereafter, call a certain telephone number to make arrangements to obtain the pertinent documents.

[redacted] also appears to be an excellent suspect in this matter and recent information developed by Boston indicates possibility [redacted] may also be implicated. As noted above, [redacted] was a close friend of [redacted] as early as 1965 at which time [redacted] was residing with his parents in the Los Angeles area. During this same time [redacted] resided with his father, also a resident of the Los Angeles area, and [redacted] were undoubtedly acquainted during this period. [redacted] later moved to Washington, D.C., as did [redacted] and the two lived together at [redacted] until young [redacted]

With respect to [redacted] investigation to date indicates that he and [redacted] were classmates at [redacted] and both have been recently identified as having connections with "Boston After Dark," an anti-establishment underground publication in Boston. [redacted] reportedly introduced [redacted] stayed with [redacted] on a periodic basis between April and November, 1971, at [redacted] the home of [redacted] has since advised that [redacted] who was apparently residing at [redacted] as of 10/71, traveled to the Mid East in late Fall of 1971. This trio was last known to be in [redacted] of significance to this matter is information furnished by [redacted] indicating that Daniel Ellsberg was present at [redacted] on different occasions between May and November, 1971.

Bureau desires recipients thoroughly review office files concerning [redacted] thereafter, initiate detailed investigation of their activities and associates to fully establish their whereabouts and activities during the period March-July, 1971. Recipients are already in possession of sufficient background and descriptive data concerning these three individuals to permit prompt initiation of such investigation. Telephone, bank and credit card data should be utilized for lead purposes and

Airtel to Baltimore et al  
RE: MC LEX  
65-74060

if pertinent telephone records have not already been obtained, discussion should be had with appropriate Departmental attorney concerning feasibility of obtaining Federal Grand Jury subpoena for production of such records.

Recipients should also determine current whereabouts and employment of these three individuals and in the event [REDACTED] have not yet returned to the United States, Bureau should be so advised by teletype.

Identification and desired investigation of [REDACTED] will be subject of a separate communication.

Leads in instant matter should be set forth by teletype with instructions to auxiliary offices to furnish replies by similar means to the Bureau and pertinent offices with such data to be thereafter incorporated into an investigative report.

NOTE:

On 6/13/71 "The New York Times" published the first of several articles purportedly based on the "Pentagon papers." A number of other newspapers began publication of similar articles shortly thereafter; however, investigation to date has failed to throw any light on how these newspapers obtained the "Pentagon papers," or who was responsible for making these documents thus available. Thorough review of Bureau files has developed three logical suspects and appropriate investigation is being requested.

Airtel

To: SACs, Baltimore (65-3896)  
Boston (65-5236)  
WFO (65-11613)

2/18/72

1 - Mr. Wagoner  
1 - Mr. Hagy

From: Director, FBI (65-74060)

MC LEK  
SIO

ReBuairtel 2/17/72 and BSairtel 1/5/72.


Referenced Bureau airtel identified [redacted] as a suspect in the dissemination of the "Pentagon papers" to various newspapers in late June and early July, 1971, following initial disclosure of this news story by "The New York Times" on 6/13/71.

Investigation has determined [redacted] resided on a periodic basis between April and November, 1971 in the home of [redacted] Referenced [redacted]

[redacted] Bureau files contain no information identifiable with [redacted] on basis of data furnished to date by Boston, is unable to determine exact nature of relationship between [redacted]

1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

JRH:bsf bcf  
(10)



REC-30 101

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

65-74060-3137

19 FEB 18 1972

Mr. Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Miller, E.S. \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Ponder \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bates \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Weikart \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

JRH

Airtel to SAC, Baltimore, et al  
RE: MC LEK  
65-74060

and other temporary residents of [redacted] including [redacted] Boston is requested to immediately initiate appropriate investigation to further identify [redacted] and thereafter furnish results of same to Bureau together with recommendation as to interview of [redacted]. This investigation should be handled immediately to permit Bureau to analyze information developed as a result of identification of subscribers to telephones called on a long-distance basis from Ryan's telephone.

Bureau files contain no information definitely

[redacted]

[redacted] connections with it. [redacted]

Baltimore is requested to immediately initiate investigation to further identify [redacted] and thereafter furnish Bureau with results of same together with recommendation as to his interview. Results of investigation of [redacted] should be furnished Bureau by teletype in summary form and thereafter incorporated in next investigative report submitted by office involved. Handle leads by teletype and instruct auxiliary offices to submit results of investigation in similar manner, to be followed by investigative report.

NOTE:

Investigation has failed to determine how the "Pentagon papers" were distributed to various U. S. newspapers after initial publication of these documents by "The New York Times," 6/13/71; however, three suspects, including [redacted], have been recently identified and investigation of their whereabouts and activities during pertinent period is under way. [redacted] and another suspect, [redacted] are known to have resided on periodic basis at Boston residence of [redacted] resident of [redacted] appears connected with [redacted] and his further identification, looking toward interview, is most desirable. Similar investigation concerning [redacted] who appears to have been roommate of [redacted] in Bethesda, Maryland, is likewise desirable.

2/22/72

BA  
AIRTEL

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner  
1 - Mr. J. R. Hagy

To: SACs, Boston (65-5236)  
New Haven (65-2389)  
Norfolk (65-1236)

From: Director, FBI (65-74060)

MC LK  
SIO

ReBuairtel 2/17/72; report of [REDACTED]  
dated 1/26/72 at Boston; and New Haven airtel 1/6/72, no copies  
to Norfolk.

For information of Norfolk and New Haven which did not  
receive copies of referenced Bureau or Boston communications,  
referenced Bureau airtel instructed Baltimore, Boston and WFO to  
initiate detailed investigation to determine whereabouts and  
activities during period March-July, 1971, of [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] all of whom appear to be  
excellent suspects in the clandestine distribution of the  
"Pentagon papers" following initial publication on 6/13/71 by  
"The New York Times" of articles purportedly based on these  
highly classified documents.

b5f  
FEB 18 1972

Referenced report contains results of interviews of  
[REDACTED] professor who maintains  
a part-time residence at [REDACTED] According  
to [REDACTED] resided at that address during approximate  
period April-November, 1971, and [REDACTED] stayed with [REDACTED] on a  
periodic basis at this address during this period.

On 1/4/72, [REDACTED] identified three other individuals,

[REDACTED] as having also resided at  
this address at one time or another during this period. These  
individuals were all acquainted with [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] were all classmates together [REDACTED]

Mr. Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Miller, E.S. \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Ponder \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bates \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Waikart \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

1 - Baltimore (65-3896)  
1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)  
1 - WFO (65-11613)

REC-53

ST-113

5 FEB 22 1972

SEE NOTE PAGE THREE

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Airtel to Boston

RE: MC LEK

65-74060

[redacted] are presently  
undergraduate students at [redacted] [redacted] by  
the [redacted]  
reportedly are [redacted]

[redacted] further advised he received a letter 1/3/72  
from [redacted] which advised that [redacted]  
[redacted] were then located in [redacted]  
[redacted] and had no definite travel plans.

New Haven has determined that [redacted] currently  
has no student named [redacted] and has two students named  
[redacted]. Referenced New Haven airtel furnished Boston with  
a photograph of one [redacted] and of [redacted]  
[redacted] with request Boston exhibit these photographs  
to [redacted] to determine if these individuals resided at his  
[redacted] address in 1971. [redacted] currently is out of  
country and not expected to return until late February, 1972.

Of significance to this investigation is remark made  
by [redacted] that Daniel Ellsberg visited with [redacted]  
[redacted] address on at least one occasion  
during the time they were residing there.

Bufiles contain no information identifiable with  
[redacted]

[redacted] Latter probably lived at the [redacted]  
address at some time during pertinent period as Boston has  
learned that [redacted] who furnished that address, sent  
a telegram on 10/9/71 to [redacted]  
[redacted] Telegram appeared to be of  
a congratulatory nature.

In view of Ellsberg's reported presence at the  
[redacted] address on at least one occasion, it appears  
[redacted] may be acquainted with him.  
These individuals definitely are acquainted with [redacted]  
and may be able to provide significant information bearing on  
activities of the latter two individuals during the period  
March-July, 1971.

Although [redacted] reportedly attend [redacted]  
[redacted] their residence in Boston indicates possibility they  
may have been attending an institution of higher learning in  
that area at some time between April and November, 1971. This  
observation also applies to [redacted]

Airtel to Boston  
RE: MC LEK  
65-74060

Boston immediately institute investigation to further identify [REDACTED] and to ascertain their present whereabouts and employment. In view of reported [REDACTED] lead for WFO to contact Central Office of Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) should be set out at once upon determination of appropriate background data.

New Haven immediately obtain available data from [REDACTED] concerning [REDACTED]. Since latter has already been identified as a [REDACTED] WFO should contact Central Office of INS concerning him as soon as pertinent background data is received from New Haven.

[REDACTED]

Results of investigation requested above should be furnished Bureau, Los Angeles and other interested offices by teletype in summary form, and thereafter reported in full by LHM to be submitted by airtel. Handle leads by teletype and instruct auxiliary offices to submit and handle results of investigation by summary teletype followed by LHM.

Bureau desires to review and analyze information thus developed prior to considering interviews of above individuals.

NOTE:

Investigation to date has thrown no light on how the "Pentagon papers" were distributed to various United States newspapers after initial publication of these documents by "The New York Times" on 6/13/71; however, three suspects, including [REDACTED] have been recently identified and investigation of their whereabouts and activities during pertinent period is under way. [REDACTED] resided at Boston residence of [REDACTED] during all or portions of this period and four other individuals who may be in position to furnish information concerning [REDACTED] have also been identified as residents at the same address. Investigation looking toward identification and interview of these individuals is most desirable and instructions concerning this are set forth above.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No.

Los Angeles, California  
February 3, 1972

"THE RAND CORPORATION"

On January 24, 1972, FM Radio Station KPFK, Pacificia Foundation, Los Angeles, California, presented a 40 minute program entitled, "The Rand Corporation". The show was written and produced by Steve Fedderman, who also conducted the interviews involved in the program.

Speakers in order of appearance are as follows:

Paul Fagan - Announcer  
Darla Knerr - former Rand employee  
Anthony J. Russo, Jr. - former Rand employee  
Melvin Gurtov - former Rand employee  
Paul Weeks - Communications Director,  
Rand Corporation

Set forth below is a verbatim transcript of this  
program.

This document contains neither recommendations nor  
conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI  
and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are  
not to be distributed outside your agency.

ENCLOSURE

65-74060-3139

## "THE RAND CORPORATION"

FAGAN:\_\_\_\_\_and also bring new insights. My name is PAUL FAGAN. Rand began as an experiment. It was a pioneering attempt by governmental and scientific leaders at the close of World War II to create the conditions and institutional framework necessary for a sustained analytic interdisciplinary research designed to solve national problems as well as to advance knowledge. The primary concern was the future security during which the problems of preserving peace were almost certain to grow more complex than before and more costly in terms of human and material resources. The first step came in 1946 with the establishment of Project Rand, a wide ranging research activity funded by the United States Air Force, then the Army Air Forces under a contract with the Douglas Aircraft Company. Project Rand remained under the Douglas stewardship for about three years as a special program separated and largely insulated from other Douglas operations. During that time plans were completed to establish an institutional setting more appropriate to the unique character of the project. The result was the Rand Corporation, founded in 1948 as a wholly independent, non-profit corporation with it's own Board of Trustees. The Ford Foundation supplied initial operating capital for the institution and by the Fall of that

year the new corporation was ready to accept full responsibility for the Project Rand contract. Air Force Project Rand remains today the largest of Rand's research contracts. The conditions under which Project Rand began operations were, in large measure, responsible for it's future success. They've changed very little over the years. First, Rand's management has the freedom to identify problems it believes are revelant and fruitful to work on and to develop research programs cutting across scientific, economic, political, social and military dimensions of national security issues. Second, Rand has broad access to classified and proprietary information in both government and industry. Third, Rand's only product is research. It can not profit from the marketplace implications of it's recommendations. Fourth, research at Rand pursues problems wherever they may lead without concern for special interests or bureaucratic positions on policy and technical issues. And finally the results of Rand's studies are made widely available through publication. Taken together, these conditions persist as a major innovation in research worked out under government contract. From it's beginning Project Rand was concerned with the wide range of subjects related to the future needs of the nation. There were studies of ram jets and rocket engines, boron and other high energy fuels, analog and digital computers, radar detection, atmospheric physics, metal fatigue, air defense, nuclear propulsion,

aircraft design, air traffic control and high energy \_\_\_\_\_ radiation. These studies were back up by a broad program of basic research and mathematics, physics, electronics and other fields relevant to the development and use of future aircraft, missiles and satellites. One of the earliest Rand studies, published in 1946, dealt with the feasibility and the usefulness of an artificial earth satellite. It laid the foundation for a continuing research program that would eventually yield hundreds of reports on space exploration for use in government policy making and also in space flight development programs. The Tyros weather satellite, for example, has ancestral roots in a Rand report published in 1951. It's two authors were honored a decade later by the American Meteorological Society for their pioneering work in the planning of meteorological satellites. Space became a national issue in the United States on October 4, 1957, with the flight of Sputnik I. Soon afterward Rand was asked by the United States Congress to help prepare the most authoritative assessment which was possible at the time to give to the American people on the status of space science and future course of the U.S. Space Program. Rand's reports to the Congress was widely distributed by the Superintendent of Documents and was later published in a book form as a space handbook. Early Rand research on missile propellents led to the discovery of a process for manufacturing hydrazine

that greatly decreased it's cost and made it a practical missile fuel. A Rand analysis led to the adoption of inflight refueling by the Air Force for it's long range missions. The first Rand report on the composition of the upper atmosphere down to ten thousand miles, a standard reference in the field for many years, was the basis for essential re-entry calculation for satellites and missiles. A Rand initiated research on new materials which contributed in a major way toward making titanium available in a production quantities for a military and commercial use. Because the physical problems of national security can not be understood apart from their causes and consequences, work was initiated early in the social sciences, particularly in economics and international relations. This was the beginning of broad Rand programs on strategic planning and intelligence, the psychology of warfare , the economics of defense planning, the scientific, economic and military potential with both the Soviet Union and Communist China and the process of social change and economic development in other regions of the world.. The inclusion of experts in the social sciences on Rand's staff served to broaden it's interdisciplinary approach to research and resulted in studies which contributed to basic understanding of economics, foreign aid, arms control, organization theory and decision making, communications, game theory, conflict resolution, mental health, education and training, linguistics,

social welfare and many other facets of social behavior all within the broad definition of national security research. Increasingly in recent years, Rand has applied it's research methods and skills to problems directly affecting the lives of Americans at home. The work has ranged from analyses of such subjects as water pollution, urban transportation, weather modification, energy demand and evaluation of compensatory education. The biological studies of medical interest involving the co-operative efforts of engineers, physicians as in the application of fluid mechanics to problems of blood flow in the body and the studies of the fluid and heat transfer process in the human eye. Much of this work is a natural extension in both substance and method of Rand research carried out in other contexts. For example, knowledge obtained many years ago in the studies of environmental contamination caused by radioactive fallout from nuclear explosions has found application and research on air and water pollution from other sources. Early Rand work on mathematical models of chemical processes used to analyze the composition of rocket exhaust is directly relevant to the simulation by computer of the processes of human blood biochemistry, respiration and metabolism work now being carried out by Rand in co-operation with a number of medical schools and hospitals and the results have passed Rand work on ways to use public

resources more efficiently in the management of large defense department organizations. The techniques of program budgeting for instance are now being widely used to improve the management of city, state and federal agencies charged with serving the other public needs. The current program can be summarized in terms of four broad areas of research. One major area concerns the planning, procurement, support and operations of military forces. It includes studies of strategic and tactical forces, systems acquisition, logistics management and manpower, personnel and training policies. A second area is international studies. Rand is working on political, economic and security situations in many regions in the world. Some of this activity is devoted to understanding the process of modernization in the less developed countries but much of it is focused on American security interests and commitments world wide. A third area deals with the problems of modern society at home, population, health care, education, transportation, communication, racial discrimination, poverty, housing, environmental pollution, public order and more generally the functions of government in providing public services. The fourth broad area underlies and contributes to all the others. It includes basic and applied research and science technology and methodology. Studies here seek fundamental advances in such fields as mathematics, computer sciences, economics, physics and bio sciences and they seek to apply new knowledge to specific problems in

these other fields. No single analytic technique is used in Rand's work. Rand has been a leader in the development and application of many techniques now widely used by analysts in government, industry and the scientific community. They include, for example, system simulation methods often involving the use of mathematical models, linear and dynamic programming, network theory, forecastic methods and it's decision theory, cost effectiveness analysis, sensitivity analysis and program budgeting. Methods are chosen according to the nature of the problem but there is a general approach to problem solving at Rand notable for it's attempt to see a problem whole and in it's proper context and to deal with it in a systematic way. Some important characteristics of the approach are that it looks critically at objectives, identifies and often designs alternative solutions and compares them on the basis of cost and effectiveness using quantitative models to make comparisons insofar as this can be done. It also treats uncertainty in an explicit way and tries to show how sensitive the results of the study are to changes in it's assumptions. The Rand staff brings together some 1,000 persons divided about equally between professional and support personnel. Rand also has some 650 consultants primarily in the universities. The names of the research departments headquartered in Santa Monica indicate broadly the kinds of professorial skills and interests at Rand. Economics, engineering sciences, information sciences,

management sciences, mathematics, physical sciences, resource analysis, social science, the system sciences. Each department undertakes research and analysis in it's special field but major studies typically crossed departmental lines and called upon requisite professional abilities in the entire organization. Rand's work is supported by agencies of the federal government, by state and local governments, by it's own funds and on contracts and by contracts and grants from private sources such as foundations, individuals and business or industrial organizations, but only on the condition that privately sponsored work is clearly in the public interest and that it's results can be made generally available. Rand's principle client is the Air Force. Other research sponsors, present and past, include such cabinet agencies as the office of the Secretary of Defense, the Department of State, the Department of Housing and Urban Development and the Department of Transportation. They include other federal agencies such as the Atomic Energy Commission, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the Agency for International Development, the Advanced Research Projects Agency, the National Science Foundation and the Office of Economic Opportunity, the Economic Development Administration, the Environmental Science Service Administration, the U.S. Patent Office and the National Institutes of Health, the National Library of Medicine and the National Institute of Law

Enforcement and Criminal Justice. They also include state and local jurisdiction such as the State of Arkansas, the State of Illinois, the Port of New York Authority, the City of New York, the California Committee on Regional Medical Programs and the San Jose Unified School District and they include private institutions such as the Ford Foundation, the Rockefeller Foundation, the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Carnegie Commission on Future Higher Education, the Carnegie Corporation of New York, the Russell Sage Foundation, the John and Mary R. Markel Foundation, the Hospital of the Good Samaritans and the New York and American Stock Exchanges. In an unprecedented effort to bring wide ranging scientific analysis to bear on the problems of urban government, the City of New York invited Rand in 1968, January of 1968, to develop with the city a research program focused on some of New York's most urgent problems. The success of the co-operative project during it's first year led to the formation in April of 1969, of the New York City - Rand Institute, a permanent center for analysis of urban affairs administered and staffed by Rand and governed by the board of trustees, jointly selected by the city and Rand. From it's headquarters in New York the institute is carrying forward on problems of fire protection, housing, health care, criminal justice, environmental pollution, welfare and the economic development of the city and also the deployment of municipal services. Ultimately it will attempt to eliminate

relationships among the physical, economic and social forces at work in the city with the aim of helping to improve urban life in New York and in other metropolitan centers across the country. Rand research findings are documented in reports, professional journals and books. Over ten thousand publications have been produced with some five hundred new titles published each year. More than three quarters of these are available to the general public either from Rand directly or from the more than two hundred major libraries that maintain Rand depository collections or from government operated documentation centers. Rand publications are indexed in selective Rand extracts which are distributed quarterly to several thousand libraries and research centers around the world. Rand has been the subject of more than the usual publicity a corporation usually gets. A book entitled "The Rand Corporation" was written by Bruce L.R. Smith, was published in 1966. Five years earlier a song, "The Rand Hymn" was composed by Meldina Reynolds and recorded by Pete Seeger. (song) A Rand employee played, later wrote a rejoinder to that song.

"Oh the folk-singing crowd is a boon to the world,  
They sing all day for free.  
They sit and sing songs of injustice and wrong,  
For scapegoats they use you and me, honeybee,  
For scapegoats they use you and me.

T'would be nice if our life were only a song,  
And it simply resolved as a chord,  
But it's not, can't be missed, Russian bombs do exist,  
It's the fact the folk singers ignored, dear Lord,  
It's the fact the folk singers ignored."

Darla Kneer, a former employee at Rand talked last year about the Rand Corporation. She talked about classified clearances for employees.

DARLA: Everybody at Rand has a top secret clearance. You have to have to work there. When you're hired you're put in an area called pre-clearance and it's fantastic. You're up on the fifth floor overlooking the ocean and you just get to the point where you sorta hope that there's, the clearance will be a little longer in getting there so you can sit and enjoy the magnificent view and you do very little work. You do nothing that requires any confidential or, or secret material. When you get your secret you are allowed into Rand building. You can, you can work there in whatever department you're in and sometime along the way you get your top secret. This is usually all manner of light work and paper work and so forth. It took me two months to get my secret and I think it was another, oh I think it was probably a total of six months before I got my top secret. Once you get your top secret clearance you have access to anything as long as you have the need to know. The need to know depends on your project number. When you sign out for something you put down the project number for it. If you're working on a project that requires need to know then, then you have access to that. Most of the, the, probably 90% of the material that I had that was top secret I did not take back to my office. I just went

down to the control room and used it down there without bothering to check it out and you know locking it up in the safe every night and going through all that 'cause it was a hassle. If you lost something, you know, then there was a big hassle on where it was and all of that. It would not have been difficult at all to take anything out of there if you worked there. If you had a briefcase and you wanted to put anything in your briefcase and take it out with you when you went, you just took it out. The only problem would be when you left, you better have it back there. Any particular secret, top secret there, this is very loosely confidential controlled. Probably anybody could take anything out of there that was confidential and, you know, God knows, burn it in the fireplace or whatever.

STEVE FEDDERMAN: Um hum.

DARLA: Secret and top secret had, had controls. They checked every six months to make sure that you had what you were supposed to have and nothing else.

STEVE: What type of place did you find the Rand Corporation to be?

DARLA: It was a delightful place to work. The atmosphere was on the whole relaxed. People worked at all hours. There was no hassle if you got there late or stayed late or left early unless you were a secretary or somebody who had to answer phones, you know. Then they, then you were supposed to be there to answer

the phones. I had one complaint. I wanted to do some work late at night time, got down there and found out that if you're a woman you can't work after, I think it's 11 o'clock or something like that, without a man in your office, which I thought was pretty ridiculous 'cause they've got guards at every door, you know. Nobody's gonna come in and beat you over the head. But the people were all bright and interesting. The work was for the most part interesting. There were some dull aspects but for the most part it was interesting. The dress was pretty casual particularly in the summertime about when the students were there. Summer was very casual.

PAUL: Another view of the Rand Corporation was presented by Anthony J. Russo, Jr., currently indicted on charges in connection with the releasing of the Pentagon papers.

ANTHONY: It's a very sterile place. It's a place that is with, the ethic there is very much constrained by principles of sterile organizational logic, you understand? I mean you sorta get into your little slot man, and you stay there and there are formal seminars once in a while where people walk in very stiffly and debate very esoteric points and they say that's academic -freedom (unintelligible) rap, rap, rap, rap. You can rap as much as you want to about the delphi method or a systems analysis or optimising things or you can talk about social cost. That's a euphemism for people dying, starving, becoming poisoned,

social cost. So the jargon you see is very wierd. The jargon blows your mind. There's as many, many, many things about the Rand Corporation that are straight out of Doctor Strangelove. Really, I mean I'm not kidding and one could go on and on and on about the various projects, about the various people, about the relationships with the government, about the principles of organizations like that and what they mean in there, in the politics of our society and what they mean to us, you know, because they really are very secret. They have, they have manipulative public relations men who say nothing, who say just 'no comment' you know, we're not part of the government, we're proud of it and we don't have to say anything to anybody. (laugh) It's private, it's super private enterprise I would say. Private enterprise right up there next to the government in the form of a non-profit organization.

PAUL: Russo also talked about his leaving at Rand.

ANTHONY: They fired me for, for political reasons really but they said it was because there was a budget cut-back. At the time I was the sole victim at a place that hired twenty thousand people, of the budget cut-back, you see. It was for political reasons that my boss fired me and that's a long, very long, very detailed story and when, and when I get that story together, because these bureaucratic things are very complicated. When I get that story together I'll, I'll have a pretty good sized

book simply on that one story alone.

PAUL: Melvin Gurtov is another former employee at Rand and helped work on the Pentagon papers. He told of what happened to him after he left Rand.

MELVIN: I left Rand, as it turns out, about two weeks after the first word broke that such a thing as the Pentagon papers existed and it was fortuitous in the sense that it, if I had been with Rand and the story had broken it would have been very difficult for me to speak out about my role in the Pentagon papers or more importantly about what I felt to be the continuing saga of the Pentagon papers in the Nixon administration's policies in Indo-China. But as it turns out I, I did leave on June 30 and that gave me every right to speak out. But one thing that might be of interest to you is something that I haven't mentioned until something, an event, happened just the other day, is that since leaving Rand and since giving support to Dan Ellsberg and since speaking out about the Pentagon papers, my feelings about it, my role in their, in their development, Rand has gone to some lengths to try to make me a non-person within it's organization. The event that I have in mind which is only the culmination of a series of others is that just yesterday in fact, which is to say September 20, I was told by the people who were going to publish my latest book that Rand, which owns the copyrite to it, has, wants to disassociate

itself completely from the book. That it doesn't want to have the copyright although it does want to have the royalties and it wants the publisher to call back all copies of the book that have been printed and bound so that the name Rand Corporation can be taken off the jacket, taken off the title page, taken off the back of the book and so on and this is a, a rather stupid and petty sort of thing but it's only, as I say, the latest of a series of other moves that Rand has made in their great dismay over my speaking out. Why in the world they thought that once having left Rand I would do otherwise is beyond me but of course Rand's management is running scared at the moment. They don't want to do anything that might conceivably offend their Defense Department clients and so they do such silly things as this.

STEVE: You said this is one of a series of other things for the (unintelligible).

MELVIN: Yes. One other thing is that I'm no longer allowed on the Rand premises. This, after five years of faithful service. I'm not allowed to see any of my, of my good friends within the organization, within Rand. I can only see them outside. Secondly there were two studies that I had completed at Rand and which -normally would have gone through the publication process, as it happens that both of them are classified but I think both of them, both these studies would have been of interest to a number of people working on China and Indo-China within the government

community. The Rand and in co-operation with it's clients in the Defense Department have managed to, in effect, to suppress these two papers. Not because necessarily they're in conflict with American policy but simply because they have my name on them and I gather there's this rather insane, irrational belief that somehow since I'm an ex-Rand type my name should no longer appear on, on Rand documents. So these are a few of the ways in which Rand is trying it's best to, to remove me from their roster.

STEVE: And they've taken your gold plaque off the...

(BOTH AT ONCE)

MELVIN: I'm happy to say there's no such thing. But if there were one I wouldn't be surprised.

STEVE: You said that you can't see your friends who work inside the Rand Corporation. I'm sure that you've talked to them however. What has been a re.. what, you were there when the Pentagon papers were released. What was their reaction inside Rand when that took place?

MELVIN: In the two weeks before I left the people were still, to the extent that they were interested, were still I think grappling around for the full meaning of, of what all this was. I, I suppose I too was trying to, to figure out what, what the

full significance in the papers was. So it's really very hard to generalize about such a large organization as Rand.

Typically those people who were and are friendly with Dan Ellsberg, and who were and are doves on the war, were sympathetic although I think probably 99.5% of everyone at Rand, it's fair to say, would, would not even conceive of doing what he did. But as far as publication of the Pentagon papers and viewing that as a step toward putting pressure on the administration to end the war, I think that the doves would support what he did and the hawks would denounce him.

PAUL: Gurtov also related an incident which he, Daniel Ellsberg and four other Rand employees took part.

MELVIN: The end of 1969, six of us at Rand, including Dan, got together and wrote this letter to the "New York Times" and the "Washington Post", critical of American policy. We had, in the Fall of '69, we had gotten together, these six of us and we talked about different ways in which we might voice our dissent from American policy and a number of things we discussed such as conference or a series of papers or what have you and then, I don't remember who's idea it was but the idea did come up of a letter to the "New York Times" and we all went along with this as a silent suggestion although we knew that there might very well be strong objections from Rand management because after all this was somewhat unprecedented for a dissenting which sent

these voices to be heard in the form of a letter to the editor. Nevertheless we went ahead with it and we hammered out, over a period of about a week, the letter that we sent. It's to Rand management's everlasting credit I must say that there was really no major objection to our sending the letter ahead. Of course there were people who were somewhat uneasy about it but we really had a very little difficulty in sending the letter on and we did it. I think the letter today reads still very well even after two years and but after that we did not do anything further. Of course it was not too much later that Dan left Rand and we just, there was no further effort made to, to voice our feelings.

STEVE: Can you remember any part of that letter that particularly sticks with you today?

MELVIN: The, well the basic point of the letter was that the, the costs of our involvement were running so high and would continue to run very high that it was simply absurd or so we thought to continue this effort. No matter how one measures the costs, you know, there are costs here at home and there are costs abroad and we simply offered the opinion as policy analysts that the time to get out was rapidly, at the, when we wrote the letter we said within one year to withdraw completely and without basing our withdrawal on any pre-conditions, which is not to say we didn't, weren't interested in negotiations but that we on the

other hand we didn't feel that negotiations were, should be critical to our withdrawal and we did not hedge as far as possible repercussions in Southeast Asia of our withdrawal. We indicated that surely there would be adverse consequences as well as good consequences flowing from our withdrawal but that in any event that these consequences would be a lot higher two years, three years, five years from that point than if we withdrew then and I think it's certainly coming to pass.

STEVE: You said there were a few people that, you made it sound like at least, that signed the letter. There was yourself, Dan Ellsberg...

MELVIN: Right.

STEVE: Who else. Were you the only two?

MELVIN: No. There were four others. In the economics department Oleg Hoeffding and the others were in social science, Arnold Horelick, Konrad Kellen, and Paul Langer.

PAUL: Following the release of the Pentagon papers that particular letter was brought into the public spotlight. One Rand employee told Newsweek Magazine that Rand's president, Harry S. Rowen, should have alerted the security people when Ellsberg and the five other Rand workers signed the letter. On the subject of returning classified documents Gurtov had this to say.

MELVIN: Every member of Rand is required to sign a statement that when he leaves the organization he will turn over all classified materials, which is what I did. When it comes to higher officials I don't know whether they actually do sign such a statement but, and of course that's something that Ellsberg's lawyers will want to look into. But even if they don't I'm sure there is some kind of, of understanding that they have no special right to take any classified materials with them when they leave.

PAUL: Long before the Pentagon papers were released Rand was again in the spotlight. There was a report that a study was going on at Rand checking out the possibilities of postponing the 1972 Presidential Election. The story spread nationwide. Paul Weeks, a communications director at Rand, talked about that situation.

WEEKS: Well I recall that very well Steve. The trouble with talking about a rumor like that, it only seems to spread it. The rumor to the effect that Rand was studying for the White House some (unintelligible) cancelling our election of course is absurd (unintelligible) we certainly were not doing such a study, had no intention of doing such a study, never would do it. We issued a statement to that effect by our President, Harry Rowen and any time that anybody asks us we would provide with that response but the problem with, with a rumor is people want to

believe it. It was spread regardless of what you say.

PAUL: We hope that the past 40 minutes have brought some new insights on the Rand Corporation, that "Place" in Santa Monica. This program was written and produced by Steve Fedderman.

FBI

Date: 2/9/72

ESM/RLL

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_

(Type in plaintext or code)

/ia AIRTEL

AIR MAIL - REGISTERED

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) ATTN: DID

FROM: SAC, LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

SUBJECT: MC LEK  
SIO

REC-58

CDB  
Brennan  
et

ReLatel 1/25/72.

Enclosed for the Bureau are five copies of an LHM captioned "The Rand Corporation" and dated 2/3/72. Two copies each are enclosed for Boston and WFO.

Enclosed LHM is a nearly verbatim transcript of a radio program presented on FM radio station KPFK, Los Angeles on 1/24/72. ANTHONY RUSSO and Dr. MELVIN GURTOV participated in the taping of this program.

Although of little evidentiary value, transcript presents a good picture of the Rand Corporation.

One copy of this LHM will be furnished locally to AUSA DAVID R. NISSEN.

ser - address 2/14/72

- ICC LHM & let ret in ENCLOSURE  
61008 JMT
- 2- Bureau (Encls.-5)(RM)
  - 2- Boston (65-5236)(Encls.-2)(RM)
  - 2- Washington Field (65-11613)(Encls.-2)(RM)
  - 1- Los Angeles

RFK:fet

(7)

2-14-71

ICC LHM TO DDD (BUZAROT) +  
ISD (MARDIAN ATT MARTIN)  
FOR INFO By Spec. Mess

REC-58

65-74060-3139

3 FEB 12 1972

CDB/GK  
SPEC. INV. OFFICE  
Bulav

58 FEB 24 1972

LEB/TMT

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Sent \_\_\_\_\_

M

Per \_\_\_\_\_

Special Agent in Charge

LA 105-27952

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] also interviewed, is still employed by  
Rand as [REDACTED]

Regarding RUSSO's allegation that his termination at Rand was "political" and that he was the only one laid off in a budget cut-back, RICHARD BEST, Director of Security, Rand Corporation, has advised that RUSSO was one of many laid off at that time. In fact, when RUSSO was terminated as a regular employee, he was retained as a consultant until September 1969, at which time all consultant contracts are either renewed or dropped. As there was no work in RUSSO's field at that time he was dropped as a consultant. RUSSO's termination papers reflect he was eligible for rehire if work came up.

FBI

Date: 2/14/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)  
FROM: SAC, BOSTON (65-5236)  
SUBJECT: MC LEK SIO

Re Boston teletype to the Bureau dated 2/11/72.

Enclosed herewith for the Bureau are 2 copies and for Los Angeles 2 copies of a decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals (USCA) for the First Circuit dated 2/11/72 in case number 72-1021 entitled:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
v.  
JOHN DOE,  
IN THE MATTER OF GRAND JURY  
PROCEEDING: APPLICATION OF  
DANIEL ELLSBERG FOR PROTECTIVE  
ORDER.

These copies are being furnished for information.

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED  
ENCLOSURE

② Bureau (Encs. 2) (RM)  
2 Los Angeles (Encs. 2) (105-27952) (RM)  
1 Boston  
REB:mm  
(5)

REC-58

65-74060-3140

1 FEB 16 1972

EX-117

SPEC. INV. OFFICE

Approved: 58 FEB 24 1972  
Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE SUPREMACY  
OF THE  
UNITED STATES

**United States Court of Appeals  
For the First Circuit**

No. 72-1021.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
v.  
JOHN DOE,  
IN THE MATTER OF GRAND JURY  
PROCEEDING: APPLICATION OF  
DANIEL ELLSBERG FOR PROTECTIVE  
ORDER.

ON MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL

Before McENTEE and COFFIN,  
Circuit Judges.\*

*Charles R. Nesson* for appellant upon application for stay.  
*Richard J. Barry*, Assistant United States Attorney, with whom *Joseph L. Tauro*, United States Attorney, *David R. Nissen*, and *Warren P. Keese*, Assistant United States Attorneys, were on motion to dismiss and memorandum in opposition to stay, for appellee.

February 11, 1972

COFFIN, *Circuit Judge*. Daniel Ellsberg, a defendant in a pending criminal prosecution initiated by an indictment in the Central District of California, seeks a protective order barring a grand jury sitting in the District of Massachusetts (Boston) from gathering evidence which would be relevant to his trial in California. The district court denied the motion, basing its decision on the prosecution's representation "that its purpose in calling witnesses [in Boston] is not to obtain testimony or other evidence tending to prove the charge or charges [in California] but to determine

\*See Memorandum of Aldrich, Chief Judge, at the end of the opinion.

whether any offense or offenses against the United States may have been committed within the District of Massachusetts." Movant now asks for a stay of the denial pending appeal.

The California indictment charges Ellsberg with unlawful possession of classified government documents (18 U.S.C. § 793(e)) and with unlawful conversion of such documents to his own use (18 U.S.C. § 641). In addition, Ellsberg, Anthony Russo and "others unknown" are charged with entering into a conspiracy with two other named but unindicted co-conspirators "to defraud the United States . . . [by] defeating its lawful governmental function of controlling the dissemination of classified Government studies", more specifically, by receiving the Pentagon Papers, copying them, and communicating them to unauthorized persons. Trial is scheduled to commence in from six to ten weeks.

The protective order which movant seeks would bar the prosecution from asking any witness subpoenaed to testify before the Boston grand jury questions bearing on the charge that he, Ellsberg, had conspired to communicate the Pentagon Papers to unauthorized persons, as broadly charged in the California indictment. The request is based on movant's assertion that use of the powerful and essentially unreviewable grand jury process in Boston to aid preparation of the government's case in California would subvert the protections accorded a defendant by the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and would consequently jeopardize his right to a fair trial on the pending charges. Although movant's argument, so put, contains due process elements, he appeals principally for an exercise of this court's general supervisory powers.

Although the attorneys for the government—the same three being responsible for both the presentation before the Boston grand jury and the California trial jury—have

stated that the principal purpose of the Boston proceedings is to ascertain whether offenses against the United States, other than those already charged in the California indictment, have been committed and have expressly disavowed any intent to utilize the Boston grand jury "to extract testimony for use . . . in Los Angeles", they candidly admit that the investigation here may turn up some evidence relevant to the California proceedings. As long as such evidence remains a necessary—though usable—byproduct of the Boston investigation and is not allowed to disturb the focus of that investigation, however, the government contends that such evidence is properly usable in the California trial. It also believes that the Boston grand jury is not foreclosed from inquiring into events in Boston which "suggest other aspects" of the conspiracy alleged in the California indictment or "separate conspiracies involving Daniel Ellsberg as a central figure".

As to the present appeal, the government challenges on jurisdictional grounds our power to issue a stay, arguing that the district court's denial of the motion for a protective order was not a final determination of movant's rights under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, citing *Cobbledick v. United States*, 309 U.S. 323 (1940); *DiBella v. United States*, 369 U.S. 121 (1962); and *In re Grand Jury Investigation of Violations (General Motors)*, 318 F.2d 533 (2d Cir.), cert. dismissed, 375 U.S. 802 (1963). In the usual case in which the grand jury investigation and any subsequent trial are likely to be confined to a single jurisdiction, we would be inclined to agree with the government's contention. Our problem here is especially vexing, however, because we are not so sure that any other forum exists for a final testing or full review of movant's claim. Nor, the government admits, is it, suggesting only that all would not be lost were we to decline jurisdiction now since the Massachusetts District Court could, if

necessary, exercise its continuing supervisory power and would retain its power to discipline the individual government attorneys in the event a breach of trust were later brought to our attention.

In several significant respects, the present case differs from those cited to us by the government. *Cobbledick* dealt with a grand jury witness who could assure himself of a final decision by provoking a contempt citation. *DiBella* concerned a pre-indictment movant for suppression of evidence who could have his day in court by moving to suppress at his own criminal trial. *In re Grand Jury Investigation of Violations* involved a factual situation much closer to the present case. But although the Second Circuit refused to disturb the district court ruling being appealed there it had considerable difficulty pointing out where the party seeking to quash grand jury subpoenas allegedly being used to produce evidence for a criminal prosecution against it. General Motors, could get real protection in the event it would ultimately prove an abuse of the grand jury process. 318 F.2d at 538.<sup>1</sup>

The nature of movant's claim is a large and compendious one. The rule, as accurately stated by Professor Moore, does not differ markedly from the general position staked out by the government: "It is improper to use the grand jury for the purpose of preparing an already pending indictment for trial. But the courts have generally held that where another purpose is predominant, the fact that the government may derive incidental benefit from a grand jury proceeding does not preclude its use." The classic case, *In re National Window Glass Workers*, 237 Fed. 219 (N.D. Ohio 1922), dealt with a grand jury subpoenaing the same

---

<sup>1</sup> We note also that the construction of *DiBella* as disapproving *Perlman v. United States*, 247 U.S. 7 (1918), loses force in the light of *United States v. Ryan*, 402 U.S. 530 (1971), which indicates that *Perlman* is alive and as well as can be expected.

witnesses and documents as had a prior grand jury which issued an indictment, where the court's compelled conclusion was that the "dominating, if not the only, object" was to prepare for trial of the earlier indictment. *Id.* at 227. In another case, the Supreme Court refused to interfere with government use of a grand jury transcript in the preparation of a civil suit, even though no indictment was returned, in the absence of a finding that the grand jury had been used as a short cut or that criminal procedure had been subverted. *United States v. Proctor & Gamble Co.*, 356 U.S. 677, 683 (1958). In *United States v. Dardi*, 330 F.2d 316, 336 (2d Cir.), *cert. denied*, 379 U.S. 845 (1964), the test was thus stated: "It is improper to utilize a Grand Jury for the sole or dominating purpose of preparing an already pending indictment for trial."

Movant's claim of taint, therefore, would be the expansive one that the Boston grand jury, as a whole, had, as its sole or dominating purpose, preparation for trial of the California criminal prosecution. This claim could be tested only by a comprehensive viewing of the entire Boston grand jury minutes. But Rule 6(e) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure gives movant no right to disclosure, except upon a showing of grounds for dismissal of the indictment. Moreover, the 1970 amendments to the Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500, make clear that a defendant has no right to compel pre-trial disclosure of grand jury minutes. 1970 U.S. Code Cong. and Ad. News, at 4017. And, we cannot envisage the California District Court, even in camera, reviewing months of testimony. Accordingly, either on the principle of *Cohen v. Beneficial Ind. Loan Corp.*, 337 U.S. 541 (1949), or *Gillespie v. U. S. Steel Corp.*, 379 U.S. 148, 152 (1964), we are inclined to feel that the denial of the motion for a protective order under the circumstances had such finality as to confer

appellate jurisdiction.<sup>2</sup>

But the same problem of immensity of claim that inclines us to take appellate jurisdiction also poses the most serious problem in structuring an intervention in such a way as to respect the independent role of the grand jury while at the same time giving absolute protection to movant. We cannot, for example, subscribe to the protective order requested and attempt to bar the grand jury from inquiring of a witness whether movant communicated the Pentagon Papers to an unauthorized person. In the first place, such a transaction could be a separate crime committed in Massachusetts, implicating additional prospective defendants, as well as being germane to the California trial. In the second place, we give credence to the government attorneys when they say that they do not know in advance what testimony will be forthcoming from a witness. And, finally, we recognize the futility both of barring questions which might occur to the jurors themselves and of policing the questions which could be put. This case presents neither the threat to a witness's constitutional rights nor the feasibility of remedy present in *In re September 1971 Grand Jury Richard J. Mara*, — F.2d — (7th Cir. Dec. 1, 1971), where the court required an affidavit in open court demonstrating the reasonableness of compelling handwriting exemplars. Nor can we devise any feasible system for the court's exercise of its supervisory powers, such as a review of the questions asked. Such a system, to provide complete assurance to movant, would involve exposing the entire grand jury transcript to movant on the basis of generalized suspicion. Cf. *United States v. Proctor & Gamble Co.*, *supra*. We are not willing, under the

<sup>2</sup> Although both parties have briefly argued the issue of the standing of movant to seek a protective order, we have, in the absence of substantial authority on point, subsumed this issue under that of appealability. It seems to us that if movant faces possible action to his prejudice in Massachusetts, and has no sure opportunity of full review elsewhere, he must have standing to raise the issue here.

authority of our supervisory powers, to direct either kind of intervention in grand jury procedures on the facts of the present case.

We recognize, in short, that grand jury proceedings cannot be policed in any detail. It is a price we pay for grand jury independence that sometimes people are indicted on the basis of evidence tainted in part by hearsay, *Costello v. United States*, 350 U.S. 359 (1956), or of illegally obtained evidence, *Lawn v. United States*, 355 U.S. 339 (1958). Nor is a grand jury narrowly confined in its objectives, *Hale v. Henkel*, 201 U.S. 43 (1906), *Blair v. United States*, 250 U.S. 273 (1919). And, even when a grand jury produces evidence but does not return an indictment, that is insufficient to embargo its fruits. *United States v. Proctor & Gamble, supra*.

On the other hand, we are sensitive to the possibilities of abuse of the grand jury process which are inherent in the present situation. As Moore has observed, "The government has at its disposal one of the most effective discovery mechanisms yet devised—the grand jury. This body may call witnesses under compulsory process and examine them in secret under oath, unhampered by the rules of evidence or an adversary counsel's cross-examination, or in the case of a 'prospective defendant' by Fifth Amendment immunity. [citations omitted]." 8 Moore's Federal Practice ¶ 16.08 [1], at 16-100 (2d ed. 1970).

The problem is akin to that of using the wide-ranging discovery procedure available under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to gather evidence for a criminal prosecution. This practice has been the subject of critical comment, see, e.g., Note, *Stay of Discovery in Civil Court to Protect Proceedings in Concurrent Criminal Action—The Pattern of Remedies*, 66 Mich. L. Rev. 738 (1968), but is not easily forbidden. *United States v. Kordel*, 397 U.S. 1 (1969). The Court in *Kordel*, however, specifically noted that the issue

there faced was not one in which the government brought a civil action "solely to obtain evidence for the criminal prosecution", *id.* at 11-12. Here we confront not only a broadly-phrased indictment in California, and a grand jury inquiry on the home ground of the movant, but an identity of prosecutors in the two proceedings. The difficulties they face in not confusing their roles, with the consequent potential for distortion of the role of the grand jury, cannot be dismissed as unreal.

We conclude that there is a modest though necessarily incomplete, protection which we can give movant, consistent with our respect for the integrity of the Boston grand jury. While, in the absence of a compelling showing of the probability of abuse, a total review, either here or there, of that jury's proceedings before movant's trial in California is not warranted, *United States v. Proctor & Gamble, supra* at 683, the record will be available here in the event that disciplinary action against the prosecutors should ever be called for. More important from the movant's point of view is the possibility of the California trial court's own scrutiny, pre-trial, of the testimony of such witnesses before the Boston grand jury as are expected to be called as witnesses at the California trial.

It is of course clear that the recent amendments to the Jencks Act, the restrictions surrounding the disclosure of grand jury proceedings in Rule 6(e), F.R. Crim.P., and even the proposed amendment to Rule 16(a)(3)<sup>3</sup> give a

<sup>3</sup> "Except as provided in rule 6 and subdivision (a)(1)(i) of this rule, these rules do not relate to discovery or inspection of recorded proceedings of a grand jury." Preliminary Draft of Proposed Amendments to Rules of Criminal Procedure for the United States District Courts, Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Judicial Conference of the United States, January, 1970, 48 F.R.D. 547, 590 (1970). A contrary recommendation is contained in ABA Standards, Discovery and Procedure Before Trial § 2.1(a)(iii) (Approved Draft, 1970): "[The prosecuting attorney shall disclose to defense counsel . . .]: Those portions of grand jury minutes containing testimony of the accused and relevant testimony of persons whom the prosecuting attorney intends to call as witnesses at the hearing or trial;". See discussion at 64-66.

defendant no right in the ordinary course to grand jury minutes. But Rule 6(e) itself authorizes a court "preliminarily to or in connection with a judicial proceeding" to direct disclosure. The Supreme Court in *Dennis v. United States*, 384 U.S. 855, 870 (1966), referring to this power, quoted the statement in *United States v. Socony-Vacuum Oil Co.*, 310 U.S. 150, 234 (1940), "after the grand jury's functions are ended, disclosure is wholly proper where the ends of justice require it." See also *Gibson v. United States*, 403 F.2d 166, 167 (D.C. Cir. 1968), and cases cited therein.

Were transcripts of the Boston grand jury testimony available to the California trial court, it would be possible for such pre-trial scrutiny to be made, in camera. Cf. Rule 16(e), F.R. Crim.P.; 18 U.S.C. § 3500(c); ABA Standards, Discovery and Procedure Before Trial § 4.6, at 104-06 (Approved Draft 1970); *Taglianetti v. United States*, 394 U.S. 316 (1969) (per curiam). In the unlikely event that scrutiny of a number of witnesses' transcripts led the court to suspect that the Boston grand jury might in fact have been used dominantly to prepare for the California trial, it could conduct a more comprehensive review. The court might at some point conclude that the ends of justice would be served by such full disclosure. Short of this, the court could proscribe the calling of a witness whose testimony in Boston appeared to have been elicited chiefly for its contribution to the trial in California. This partial proscription would have been the result in *United States v. Dardi*, 330 F.2d 316 (2d Cir.), cert. denied, 379 U.S. 845 (1964), had the trial court concluded that a witness had been called before a grand jury largely to gather evidence for an ongoing trial. To be sure, such an inquiry may not uncover fruits stemming from Boston disclosures, but, as the Second Circuit observed in *In re Grand Jury Investigation of Violations*, supra at 537, a motion for suppression of evidence may seek to encompass

such fruits, "which is often quite as difficult of ascertainment as the benefits of the Government's allegedly improper discovery would be here."

In saying what we have said, we most particularly do not wish to be understood as asserting any competence to advise another federal court about the conduct of its business. All we seek is to assure the availability of testimony to the California court if it should see fit to make use of it. We do have and therefore exercise our power here to require the prosecutors who are presenting matters to the Boston grand jury to make available to the District Court of Central California the transcripts of testimony of each witness, if any, whom the government intends to call at trial in California, as seasonably as feasible. This will allow that court the opportunity, if it wishes to avail itself of it, to survey the proposed testimony to judge whether, at least as to the putative witnesses, the Boston grand jury was decoyed into serving primarily as a discovery device for the government's trial preparation.

Subject to this requirement, we deny the motion for stay.

*ALDRICH, Chief Judge.* In accordance with customary practice this motion to stay was originally heard orally before a single judge, in this instance myself. Thereafter movant sought a hearing before the full court. Because I was about to absent myself from the Circuit this request was assented to and I did not participate. Accordingly, I do not participate in the opinion. I wish, however, to note my concurrence in the result, and my disturbance with the suggestion, inherent in movant's position, that additional defendants could not be sought in the Massachusetts district

unless the case against him had been completed. I do not blame defendants for seeking whatever may be available to them, but judicial proceedings of late seem to be concerned with refusals to testify and claims that others should not testify or, it would seem, not be indicted, on the one hand, and, on the other, that indictments should be promptly brought and speedily disposed of. If I may mix metaphors, defendants seek to break up the play before it has started, and then claim the government was offside.

FBI

Date: 2/18/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)  
(Attn: DOMINTEL)

FROM : SAC, BOSTON (65-5236)

SUBJECT: MC LEK  
SIO

Re Bureau airtel, 2/17/72.

A review of indices of the Boston Office contains no information identifiable with [REDACTED]

LA-117

REC-58

65-74060-3141

- 100-6100
- ② - Bureau (RM)
  - 1 - Los Angeles (105-27952) (RM)
  - 1 - Boston
- REB:mej  
(4)

12 FEB 21 1972

Approved: JH Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

65 FEB 24 1972

LA 105-27952

PAGE TWO

CONTAINS LATENT FINGERPRINTS OF VU VAN THAI AND STATE DEPARTMENT  
CLAIMS THE VOLUMES CONTAIN SENSITIVE INFORMATION WHICH SHOULD  
NOT BE MADE PUBLIC. NISSEN ADVISED HE INTENDS TO CONFER WITH  
STATE DEPARTMENT OFFICIALS IN NEAR FUTURE TO RESOLVE THIS  
ISSUE.

CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION.

END

MXW

FBI WASH DC CLR

FEB 17 1972

TELETYPE

NR 008 LA CODE

6:48 PM NITEL 2-17-72 NEE

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)

ATTN DID

WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613)

FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

2P

MC LEX, SIO.

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller, ES	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Fonder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Warkart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

*COB*  
*Brumby*

*John*

ADMINISTRATIVE ---

ASSISTANT U. S. ATTORNEY DAVID NISSEN ADVISED FEBRUARY  
SIXTEEN LAST THE JUSTICE AND STATE DEPARTMENTS ARE IN  
DISAGREEMENT OVER INTRODUCING FOUR VOLUMES OF THE MC NAMARA STUDY  
INTO EVIDENCE AT ELLSBERG'S TRIAL. ONE VOLUME IN QUESTION  
END PAGE ONE

cc to ISD

data deleted

55 FEB 24 1972

X-117

REC-58

65-74060-3143

FEB 22 1972

*File 5-1*

FBI

Date: 1/19/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) (ATTN: IDENTIFICATION  
DIVISION, LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION)

FROM: SAC, WFO (65-11613)

MC LEK  
SIO

Enclosed for the Identification Division is the following described document obtained from DOD on this date.

TS-3275-DL-17268-ISA "Military Pressures Against North Vietnam: Flaming Dart and Rolling Thunder, Jan.-June, 1965" (U) [REDACTED] 6/13/68, 217 pages. This document has been designated as LA exhibit #20.

The above described document was formerly maintained at Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, Cal. and was returned to DOD in September, 1971.

The Latent Fingerprint Section is requested to process the enclosed document for latent fingerprints and compare them with fingerprints of DANIEL ELLSBERG, LINDA SINAY, ANTHONY RUSSO, [REDACTED] ROBERT ELLSBERG, [REDACTED] and others whose fingerprints are in file from the Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, Cal.

No photographs are necessary and there is no objection to any stains which may remain on the document due to processing.

- 3- Bureau (Enc. 1)
- 1- Boston (65-5236)
- 2- Los Angeles (105-27952)
- 1- WFO

RBC:th  
(7)

FEB 15 1972

SPEC. INV. OFFICE

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_  
Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

☆ U. S. GOVERNMENT

OFFICE: 1971-419-188



Washington, D. C. 20537

# REPORT

of the

## IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

### LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO.

FBI FILE NO.

LATENT CASE NO.

65-11613

65-74060

A-38774

January 31, 1972

TO: SAC, WFO

EX-117

RE: WE LEX  
SIO

REFERENCE:

EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY:

SPECIMENS:

Airtel 1-19-72

WFO

One volume, LA #10, TS 3275-1117263-ISA

Fourteen latent fingerprints and three latent palm prints developed on specimen.

See attached pages for the results of the comparisons of thirteen of the fingerprints and the three palm prints.

MAILED 6

JAN 31 1972

FBI

One of the latent fingerprints has been identified as a finger impression of [REDACTED]

LA #73 was returned to WFO on 1-24-72.

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Brennan, C.D. \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holloman \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

2 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

1 - [REDACTED], Room 608, 9th & D

1 - [REDACTED], Room 612, 9th & D

DEH:smg  
(11)

John Edgar Hoover, Director

THIS REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

55 FEB 24 1972

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Your File No. 65-11613  
FBI File No. 65-74060  
Latent Case No. A-35774

January 31, 1972

RE: ANTHONY JOSEPH RUSSO, FBI [REDACTED]

Ten latent fingerprints and three latent palm prints, which were developed on LA #20, TS 3275-DEL7260-ISA, have been identified as finger and palm impressions of Russo.

Your File No. 65-11613  
FBI File No. 65-74060  
Latent Case No. A-38774

January 31, 1972

RE: DANIEL ELLSBERG, FBI #105131J1

Three latent fingerprints, which were developed on LA #20 TS 3275-DIA7263-12A, have been identified as finger impressions of Daniel Ellsberg.



## REPORT

of the

## IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

## LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO. **REC-58**  
 FBI FILE NO. **65-11613**  
 LATENT CASE NO. **65-74060 - 3146**  
**A-38774**

February 8, 1972

TO: SAC, WFO

EX-117

RE: MC LEK  
 SIO

REFERENCE: Airtel 1/31/72  
 EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: WFO  
 SPECIMENS: Five documents LA #18, LA #19, LA #20, LA #21  
 and LA #32

Sixteen latent fingerprints developed on  
 specimens as follows:

One on LA #18, TS-2258  
 Nine on LA #19, TS-2258A  
 Two on LA #21, TS-3268-DL-17100-ISA  
 One on LA #22, TS-3266-DL-17055-ISA  
 Three on LA #32, TS-3242-DL-16890-ISA

Two latent fingerprints which developed on LA #32,  
 have been identified as fingerprints of [REDACTED]

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
 Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
 Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
 Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
 Brennan, C.D. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
 Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
 Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
 Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
 Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
 Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
 Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
 Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
 Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

2 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

(Continued on next page)

1 - SA [REDACTED] Room 612 - 9th &amp; D

1 - SA [REDACTED] Room 608 - 9th &amp; D

John Edgar Hoover, Director

DEH:rj  
 (9)

THIS REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

SAC, WFO

February 8, 1972

The remaining fourteen latent fingerprints are not identical with the fingerprints of Butler or other Rand Corporation employees of Santa Monica; West Coast Conspirators and Associates for whom we have fingerprints; or with the fingerprints of the following individuals:



Specimens returned to WFO.

LA # 18 TS-2258

Draft entitled " A Program of Action To Prevent Communist Domination of South Vietnam" Date May 6. 1961, 17 pages, copy 3 of 11 copies, series D

LA# 19 TS-2258A

Draft of above entitled document, Annexes 1 thru 6 dated May 6, 1961 42 pages, copy 5 of 10 copies, Series D

LA # 21 TS 3268-DL-17100-ISA

"U. S. Training of the Vietnamese National Army" (U) by G. H. Shubert dated May 9, 1968, 73 pages, copy 2 of 3 copies, Series A

LA# 22 TS-3266-DL-17055-ISA

"The U. S. and The French Colonial War" (U) by G.H. Shubert dated April 30, 1968, 41 pages, copy 3 of 3 copies, Series A

LA# 32 TS-3242-DL-16890-ISA

"Study of Alternate Strategies" by D. Ellsberg, Dated March 22, 1968 35 pages, copy 1 of 2 copies, Series B

*Received 2/11/72*

[REDACTED]

*WFO*

FBI

Date: 1/31/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) (ATTN: IDENTIFICATION  
DIVISION, LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION)

FROM: SAC, WFO (65-11613)

MC LEK  
SIO

Re WFO airtel 9/20/71.

Enclosed for the Bureau are the following described documents obtained from DOD on this date:

TS-2258 - Draft entitled, "A Program of Action to Prevent Communist Domination of South Vietnam", dated 6 May 1961, 17 pages, copy 3 of 11 copies, series D. Designated as L.A. exhibit #18.

TS-2258A - Draft of above entitled document, Annexes 1 through 6, dated 6 May 1961, 42 pages, copy 5 of 10 copies, series D. Designated as L.A. exhibit #19.

TS-3268-DL-17100-ISA, "U.S. Training of the Vietnamese National Army" (U) by [REDACTED] dated 9 May, 1968, 73 pages, copy 2 of 3 copies, series A. Designated as L.A. exhibit #21.

TS-3266-DL-17055-ISA, "The U. S. and the French Colonial War" (U) [REDACTED] dated April 30, 1968, 41 pages, copy 3 of 3 copies, series A. Designated as L.A. exhibit #22.

TS-3242-DL-16890-ISA, "Study of Alternate Strategies" by D. ELLSBERG, dated 22 March 1968, 35 pages, copy one of two copies, series B. Designated as L.A. exhibit #32.

- 3- Bureau (Enc. 5)  
1- Boston (65-5236)  
2- Los Angeles (105-27952)  
1- WFO

RBC:th

Approved: RGR  
Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_

SPEC. INV. OFFICE

WFO-65-11613

No photographs of these documents are necessary and DOD has advised there is no objection to any stains remaining on the documents due to the latent fingerprint processing.

It is requested all the enclosed volumes be processed for latent fingerprints as set out in referenced WFO airtel 9/20/71.

1 - Mr. A. Rosen  
1 - Mr. J. P. Mohr  
1 - Mr. T. E. Bishop

The Attorney General



February 16, 1972

Director, FBI

UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURES OF  
CLASSIFIED INFORMATION TO THE PRESS

1 - Mr. D. J. Dalbey  
1 - Mr. E. S. Miller  
1 - Mr. C. D. Brennan  
1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner

Weekly summaries covering our continuing investigation relating to Daniel Ellsberg and the publication of material concerning the United States-Vietnam relations during the period 1945-1967 have been furnished to you in the past. Copies of these summaries have also been furnished to the Honorable H. R. Haldeman, Assistant to the President, and to the Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr., Deputy Assistant to the President for Domestic Affairs. From time to time these summaries have also included pertinent developments in our investigations of leaks of classified data to the press



Our investigation of Daniel Ellsberg and a codefendant, Anthony J. Russo, Jr., relative to their illegal possession and disclosure of classified information at Los Angeles has successfully resulted in their indictment, with trial now scheduled for early May, 1972. Our activity in this area currently involves the detailed analysis and study of evidence, and the organization of such evidence for presentation by Departmental attorneys at the forthcoming trial.

62-98196

1 - 65-74060 (MC LEK)

JRW:bjp (13)

57 FEB 22 1972

65-74060-

NOT RECORDED

191 FEB 17 1972

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

DUPLICATE YELLOW

**The Attorney General**

Alleged violations by Ellsberg in the Boston, Massachusetts, area involving his illegal dissemination of the "Pentagon papers" to elements of the news media continue to be the subject of Federal Grand Jury interest and Bureau investigative attention. Action by the Federal Grand Jury at Boston has been effectively estopped by motions now pending before the United States District Court of Appeals and before the United States Supreme Court. These motions have been filed by Senator Mike Gravel of Alaska and other individuals who have been subpoenaed before the Federal Grand Jury at Boston.

As a result of the above, this Bureau will discontinue furnishing the weekly summary memorandum of developments in the Ellsberg matter. When the trial of Ellsberg commences in May, 1972, and the Federal Grand Jury proceedings at Boston are allowed to resume, pertinent information will be furnished to you upon its receipt.

The above information is also being furnished to the Honorable H. R. Haldeman, Assistant to the President, and to the Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr., Deputy Assistant to the President for Domestic Affairs.

1 - Deputy Attorney General

1 - Assistant Attorney General  
Internal Security Division

NOTE:

See cover memorandum C. D. Brennan to E. S. Miller, dated 2/15/72, captioned as above, prepared by JRW:bjp.



Washington, D. C. 20537

# REPORT

of the

## IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

### LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO.  
FBI FILE NO.  
LATENT CASE NO.

65-11613  
65-74060  
38774

February 17, 1972

TO: SAC, WFO

RE: MC LEK  
SIO

REFERENCE:  
EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY:  
SPECIMENS: WFO

REC-58

65-74060-3151

The following is an updated summary report of the latent prints developed and the identifications effected.

To date, 2,938 latent fingerprints, 547 FEB 22 1972 latent palm prints and four latent impressions, which may be either fingerprints or partial palm prints, have been developed on the specimens submitted in this case. A total of 2,625 latent print identifications have been effected.

The following is a listing of latent prints developed and identifications effected:

(Continued on next page)

- 2 - Los Angeles (105-27952)
- 1 - SA [REDACTED] Rm. 612, 9th & D
- 1 - SA [REDACTED] Rm. 608, 9th & D

DEH:erp  
(9)

John Edgar Hoover, Director

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Brennan, C.D. \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

55 FEB 24 1972

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

SIA  
RUC

February 17, 1972

Registration card, Q5, five fingerprints  
and two palm prints

No identification

Travelers check, Q1, one fingerprint

No identification

Paper cutter, one fingerprint

No identification

LA#2, IV.C.3, 179 fingerprints and fifty-  
six palm prints developed

166 fingerprints and forty-nine  
palm prints identical [REDACTED]

LA#2, IV.C.7(a), twenty-four fingerprints and  
six palm prints developed

Five fingerprints and one palm  
print identical [REDACTED]

Sixteen fingerprints identical

Morton H. Halperin

LA#2, V.B.4, Book 2, twenty-six fingerprints  
and sixteen palm prints developed

One fingerprint identical Daniel  
Ellsberg

Twenty fingerprints and seven palm  
prints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, IV.B.5, seventy-nine fingerprints and  
four palm prints developed

Thirty-three fingerprints identical

Anthony Joseph Russo

Fifteen fingerprints identical  
[REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Twenty-four fingerprints identical

Dr. [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

(Continued on next page)

February 17, 1972

Three fingerprints identical  
[REDACTED]

LA#1, IV.C.5, sixty-seven fingerprints and  
five palm prints developed

Twenty-nine fingerprints and  
one palm print identical Russo

Nine fingerprints and two palm  
prints identical [REDACTED]

Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Five fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, I, thirty-nine fingerprints and two  
palm prints developed

Seven fingerprints identical D.

Ellsberg

One fingerprint identical Russo

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Twenty-three fingerprints identical

Fox

Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Jr.

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

LA#1, II, twelve fingerprints and one palm  
print developed

One fingerprint identical D. Ellsberg

Ten fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, IV.A.2, eleven fingerprints and one palm  
print developed

One fingerprint identical D. Ellsberg

One fingerprint identical Russo

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, IV.A.3, fifteen fingerprints and eight  
palm prints developed

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

(Continued on next page)

February 17, 1972

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Eight fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, IV.A.4, eleven fingerprints, five palm prints and one impression developed

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, IV.C.4, eighteen fingerprints developed

One fingerprint identical D. Ellsberg

Fifteen fingerprints identical Russo

LA#1, IV.B.4, one fingerprint developed and identified as fingerprint of [REDACTED]

LA#1, IV.C.8, thirty-two fingerprints and three palm prints developed

Nine fingerprints identical Russo

Nine fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, IV.B.2, nine fingerprints developed

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, IV.A.5, thirty-four fingerprints and eight palm prints developed

Eighteen fingerprints identical

D. Ellsberg

One palm print identical Russo

Six fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

(Continued on next page)

February 17, 1972

LA#1, VI.C.4, eighty-five fingerprints and thirteen palm prints developed

One fingerprint identical D. Ellsberg

Twenty-one fingerprints and five palm prints identical Russo

Ten fingerprints identical Vu Van Thai

One palm print identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Seven fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Twenty-seven fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, VI.C.3, seventeen fingerprints and seven palm prints developed

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Seven fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, VI.C.2, thirty-three fingerprints, three palm prints and two impressions developed

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Eighteen fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, VI.C.1, thirty-four fingerprints and two palm prints developed

Two palm prints identical Russo

Five fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Eleven fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Five fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, IV.C.9(a), thirty-six fingerprints and three palm prints developed

(Continued on next page)

February 17, 1972

One fingerprint identical D. Ellsberg  
Twenty-three fingerprints and two  
palm prints identical Russo  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Five fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, IV.C.9(b), thirteen fingerprints and  
two palm prints developed

Four fingerprints identical D. Ellsberg  
One fingerprint identical Russo  
One fingerprint identical Lynda Rae  
Sinay

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#3-1, 230 fingerprints and twenty-six palm  
prints developed

Four fingerprints identical D. Ellsberg  
One palm print identical Russo  
150 fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Eight fingerprints and one palm print  
identical [REDACTED]

Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Nine fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Six fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Eleven fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

(Continued on next page)

February 12, 1972

Ten fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
LA#3-2, ninety-two fingerprints and sixteen  
palm prints developed

Two fingerprints identical D. Ellsberg  
Twenty-one fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Eleven fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Twenty fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

LA#4, fifty-five fingerprints and one palm print  
developed

Twenty-one fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Fifteen fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Thirteen fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#5, 231 fingerprints and twenty palm prints  
developed

Twelve fingerprints identical D. Ellsberg  
177 fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Nine fingerprints and five palm prints  
identical [REDACTED]

Five fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Six fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#6, forty-six fingerprints and five palm  
prints developed

Two fingerprints identical D. Ellsberg  
Twenty-nine fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Five fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

(Continued on next page)

February 17, 1972

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#7, forty fingerprints and seven palm prints developed

Three fingerprints identical D. Ellsberg

Eighteen fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Five fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#8, thirty-six fingerprints, one palm print and one impression developed

Thirty-three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#9, ten fingerprints and four palm prints developed

Four fingerprints and one palm print identical Russo

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#10, three fingerprints and two palm prints developed

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

LA#11, six fingerprints developed

Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#12, fifteen fingerprints developed

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Six fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

(Continued on next page)

February 17, 1972

LA#13, nine fingerprints and one palm print developed

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Five fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

LA#2, VI.C.2, one fingerprint developed has been identified as finger impression of [REDACTED]

LA#2, VI.C.4, three fingerprints and two palm prints developed

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

LA#2, V.A. Vol I, two palm prints developed  
No identification

LA#2, V.A. Vol II, twelve fingerprints and five palm prints developed all have been identified as finger and palm prints of [REDACTED]

LA#2, IV.A.1, two fingerprints developed  
both identical fingerprints of Spoonhour

LA#2, IV.C.10, three fingerprints developed

Two identical [REDACTED]

One identical [REDACTED]

LA#2, III, Eleven fingerprints developed all identical fingerprints of [REDACTED]

Green Book #1, ten fingerprints and three palm prints developed

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Green Book #2, one-hundred fingerprints and twelve palm prints developed

Twenty-six fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Eighteen fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Eight fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

(Continued on next page)

February 17, 1972

Eight fingerprints identical  
[redacted]

Green Book #3, ten fingerprints developed

Three fingerprints identical [redacted]

Green Book #4, sixteen fingerprints and six  
palm prints developed

One fingerprint identical [redacted]

Three fingerprints identical [redacted]

Two fingerprints identical [redacted]

One fingerprint identical Halperin

Green Book #5, eighteen fingerprints and one  
palm print developed

Three fingerprints identical [redacted]

Two fingerprints identical [redacted]

Green Book #6, 133 fingerprints and nine  
palm prints developed

Twenty-seven fingerprints identical  
[redacted]

Thirty-three fingerprints identical  
[redacted]

Forty-eight fingerprints identical  
[redacted]

Black Book #7, thirteen fingerprints and two  
palm prints developed

One fingerprint identical [redacted]

One fingerprint identical [redacted]

Black Book #8, four fingerprints and one palm  
print developed

Three fingerprints identical [redacted]

One fingerprint identical [redacted]

LA#17, fifty-six fingerprints and ten palm prints  
developed

Twenty fingerprints and six palm  
prints identical Robert Boyd Ellsberg

LA#2, Vol I, sixteen fingerprints and five  
palm prints developed

Three fingerprints identical Halperin

Two fingerprints identical [redacted]

Ten fingerprints identical [redacted]

(Continued on next page)

February 17, 1972

LA#2, Vol II, twenty-one fingerprints and thirteen palm prints developed

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Sixteen fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#2, IV.A.2, one fingerprint developed, identical fingerprint of Halperin

LA #2, IV.A.5, thirty-six fingerprints and eight palm prints developed

Fifteen fingerprints and seven palm prints identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical Halperin

Fourteen fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

LA#2, IV.B.2, one fingerprint developed, identical fingerprint of [REDACTED]

LA#2, IV.B.4, three palm prints developed, all identical palm prints of [REDACTED]

LA#2, IV.B.5, seven fingerprints and four palm prints developed

One fingerprint identical Halperin

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#2, IV.C.2(a), thirty fingerprints and four palm prints developed

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Seventeen fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Six fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#2, IV.C.2(b), twenty-seven fingerprints and four palm prints developed

Eleven fingerprints and one palm print identical [REDACTED]

Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Ten fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

(Continued on next page)

February 17, 1972

LA#2, IV.C.2(c), thirteen fingerprints  
and five palm prints developed  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
LA#2, IV.C.4, one fingerprint developed,  
identical fingerprint of [REDACTED]  
LA#2, IV.C.5, thirteen fingerprints and nine  
palm prints developed  
Ten fingerprints and nine palm prints  
identical [REDACTED]  
LA#2, IV.C.8, twenty-three fingerprints and  
four palm prints developed, all identical  
finger and palm prints Averch  
LA#2, IV.C.9(a), eighteen fingerprints and  
twelve palm prints developed  
Ten fingerprints and two palm prints  
identical [REDACTED]  
Four fingerprints identical Halperin  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
LA#2, IV.C.9(b), seven fingerprints and four  
palm prints developed  
Two palm prints identical [REDACTED]  
Seven fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Final Report - OSD - Task Force - Vietnam and  
Index, six fingerprints and two palm prints  
developed  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
LA#2, IV.B.1, eleven fingerprints and three  
palm prints developed  
Nine fingerprints and three palm  
prints identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical Halperin  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
LA#2, IV.B.3, three fingerprints and one palm  
print developed

(Continued on next page)

February 17, 1972

One fingerprint identical Halperin  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
LA#2, IV.C.1, nine fingerprints and ten palm  
prints developed

One fingerprint and eight palm  
prints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

LA#2, IV.C.6(a), fifty-eight fingerprints  
and twenty-five palm prints developed

Fifty-two fingerprints and twenty-  
one palm prints identical [REDACTED]

Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical Wiley

LA#2, IV.C.6(b), forty-five fingerprints and  
ten palm prints developed

Thirty-five fingerprints and ten  
palm prints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical Halperin

Nine fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#2, IV.C.6(c), five fingerprints and two  
palm prints developed

Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#2, IV.C.7(b), thirty fingerprints and  
seven palm prints developed

Fourteen fingerprints and six palm  
prints identical [REDACTED]

Nine fingerprints identical Halperin

Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#2, V.B.1, one palm print developed

No identification

LA#2, V.B.2, Book 1, one fingerprint developed,  
has been identified as a fingerprint of  
[REDACTED]

LA#2, V.B.2, Book 2, seven fingerprints and  
seven palm prints developed

(Continued on next page)

February 17, 1972

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
LA#2, V.B.3, Book 1, thirteen fingerprints  
developed  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Five fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
LA#2, V.B.3, Book 2, two fingerprints developed  
No identification  
LA#2, V.B.3, Book 3, thirteen fingerprints  
developed  
One fingerprint identical D. Ellsberg  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
LA#2, V.B.3, Book 4, twenty-one fingerprints  
and seven palm prints developed  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Twelve fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Five fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
LA#2, V.B.4, Book 1, one-hundred fingerprints  
and twenty-five palm prints developed  
Forty fingerprints and twelve palm  
prints identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Six fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Forty-eight fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
LA#2, Part VI.A, five fingerprints and three  
palm prints developed  
No identification  
LA#2, Part VI.B, thirty-nine fingerprints and  
three palm prints developed  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Twenty-four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]'s  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Page 48 of IV.B.1, page 27 of IV.C.6(c); page 55  
of IV.B.3 and page 176 of IV.C.6(b) submitted  
with airtel 12-8-71; nine fingerprints and four  
palm prints developed  
Three fingerprints and one palm print  
identical [REDACTED]

(Continued on next page)

February 17, 1972

Halperin Archive papers, 107 fingerprints  
and twenty-three palm prints developed

Three fingerprints identical D.  
Ellsberg

Ten fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Thirteen fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Eleven fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] 11

Thirteen fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, V.A. Vol I, thirty-seven fingerprints  
and two palm prints developed

Twenty-eight fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Eight fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, Part VI.B, thirty-six fingerprints and  
seven palm prints developed

Twenty-six fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, Part VI.A, five fingerprints and one palm  
print developed

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, V.B.2, Book II, three fingerprints and  
three palm prints developed

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, V.A. Vol II, two fingerprints developed,  
both identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, V.B.1, eleven fingerprints developed

Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

Six fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

LA#1, V.B.4, Book I, twenty-four fingerprints  
and five palm prints developed

(Continued on next page)

February 17, 1972

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Four fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
LA#1, V.B.3, Book I, eleven fingerprints and  
three palm prints developed  
Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Five fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
LA#1, V.B.3, Book IV, nineteen fingerprints and  
ten palm prints developed  
Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Five fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Seven fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
LA#1, V.B.2, Book I, nine fingerprints and six  
palm prints developed  
Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Three fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
LA#1, V.B.3, Book III, twenty-eight fingerprints  
and six palm prints developed  
Ten fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Thirteen fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
LA#1, V.B.4, Book II, twenty-eight fingerprints  
and three palm prints developed  
One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]  
Ten fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
LA#1, V.B.3, Book II, twenty-seven fingerprints  
and five palm prints developed  
Six fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Five fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]  
Six fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

(Continued on next page)

February 17, 1972

LA#20, fourteen fingerprints and three palm prints developed

Three fingerprints identical

D. Ellsberg

Ten fingerprints and three palm prints identical Russo

One fingerprint identical [REDACTED]

LA#14, thirteen fingerprints and two palm prints developed

Thirteen fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#15, eight fingerprints developed

Seven fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

LA#16, two fingerprints developed

One fingerprint identical D. Ellsberg

LA#18, one fingerprint developed

No identification

LA#19, nine fingerprints developed

No identification

LA#21, two fingerprints developed

No identification

LA#22, one fingerprint developed

No identification

LA#32, three fingerprints developed

Two fingerprints identical [REDACTED]

FEB 17 1972

TELETYPE

Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	
Mr. Miller, E	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Dalbey	
Mr. Cleveland	
Mr. Ponder	
Mr. Bates	
Mr. Warkart	
Mr. Walters	
Mr. Soyars	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

018 CODED WE

129 PM URGENT 2-17-72 ASW

DIRECTOR

DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE

BOSTON

LOS ANGELES

FROM WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613) FOUR PAGES

MC LEK DASH SIO

*C.D.B. Brennan*

*W. J. [unclear]*

[REDACTED], FORMER VIETNAM TASK FORCE STUDY (VTFS) MEMBER INTERVIEWED FEBRUARY SIXTEEN LAST. [REDACTED] WAS UNABLE TO FURNISH ANY PERTINENT INFORMATION OF EVIDENTIARY VALUE BUT DID COMMENT AT LENGTH REGARDING HIS ASSOCIATION WITH VTFS AND DANIEL ELLSBERG.

WHILE EMPLOYED BY INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES (IDA)

[REDACTED]

NINETEEN SIXTYFIVE DASH SIXTYSEVEN UNQUOTE.

[REDACTED] HAD NO ASSOCIATION WITH DANIEL ELLSBERG DURING

END PAGE ONE

"cc to [REDACTED] 150  
Adm. data deleted" *for*

53 FEB 24 1972

*Lee 5- [unclear]*

PAGE TWO

PERIOD [REDACTED] WITH VTFS AND DID NOT SEE ELLSBERG AT THE PENTAGON DURING THAT TIME. [REDACTED] WAS REQUESTED, HOWEVER, BY [REDACTED] TO SALVAGE TWO OF ELLSBERG'S INPUTS TO THE STUDY CAPTIONED QUOTE APPRAISALS AND INITIAL DECISIONS UNQUOTE THE QUOTE TAYLOR MISSION AND AFTERMATH UNQUOTE, BUT AFTER READING THIS MATERIAL WHICH HE DESCRIBED AS ROUGH DRAFTS AND QUALITATIVELY GARBAGE, [REDACTED] CATEGORICALLY REFUSED. HE SAID THE WORK COULD NOT BE DONE WITHOUT STARTING FROM SCRATCH, DOING THE RESEARCH AGAIN AND THEN REWRITING IT. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED], BOTH IDA EMPLOYEES WERE LATER ASSIGNED TO SALVAGE THE DRAFTS.

[REDACTED] HAD NO PERTINENT INFORMATION REGARDING THE UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF THE VTFS BUT REMARKED THAT BY LATE SIXTYNINE RUMORS WERE WIDESPREAD THAT COPIES OF THE PENTAGON PAPERS WERE AROUND TOWN. [REDACTED] HAD NO HARD FACTS ABOUT THE RUMORS AND COULD NOT RECALL THEIR SOURCES.

ENDPAGE TWO

PAGE THREE

REGARDING ELLSBERG, WHO HE DESCRIBED AS A POLISHED FRAUD, [REDACTED] MET HIM IN SIXTYTHREE WHEN ELLSBERG TRIED TO GET ACCESS TO AN INCOMPLETE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF (JCS) STUDY ON THE CUBAN MISSILE CRISIS. [REDACTED] THEN ENGAGED ON THAT STUDY, WAS INSTRUMENTAL IN PREVENTING ELLSBERG'S ACCESS TO IT. AGAIN, IN SIXTYTHREE OR SIXTYFOUR, ELLSBERG TRIED TO OBTAIN A CRITICAL INCIDENT STUDY CONCERNING LAOS, WHICH [REDACTED] WAS WORKING ON FOR THE JCS BY DIRECTION OF TOP OFFICIALS. ELLSBERG WAS GIVEN A SANITIZED, PURGED VERSION OF THE STUDY WHICH MADE HIM FURIOUS LATER, WITH THE VTFS, [REDACTED] AT THE PENTAGON AND OBSERVED ELLSBERG KEPT A COPY OF THE FULL UNSANITIZED CRITICAL INCIDENT STUDY ON LAOS IN THE SAFE. [REDACTED] DID NOT KNOW HOW ELLSBERG OBTAINED THE COPY AND WAS SURPRISED THAT ELLSBERG HAD IT BECAUSE, HE SAID, THE JCS NEVER GAVE UP COPIES IF ITS CRITICAL INCIDENT STUDIES.

[REDACTED] HAD NO ADDITIONAL PERTINENT INFORMATION ABOUT  
END PAGE THREE

PAGE FOUR

ELLSBERG.

ADMINISTRATIVE. WFO WILL FURNISH COMPLETE DETAILS OF

INTERVIEW TO BUREAU AND RECIPIENT OFFICES IN LHM

FORM.

END

~~PLS. TO SEND I KNOW HOW U FEEL BUT WE NEED A TAPE FOR DIV 5~~

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

FEB 16 1972

TELETYPE

NR 002 MP CODE

5:53 PM NITEL 2/16/72 SHL

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060) ATTN: DID  
BOSTON (65-5236)  
LOS ANGELES (105-27952)  
NEW YORK (65-25641)

FROM MINNEAPOLIS (65-1447) (3P)

MCLEK; SIO.

RE MINNEAPOLIS LETTER TO BUREAU, JUNE TWENTY TWO, NINETEEN SEVENTY ONE; MINNEAPOLIS AIRTEL AND LHM TO BUREAU, JUNE TWENTY EIGHT, NINETEEN SEVENTY ONE; MINNEAPOLIS REPORT OF [REDACTED] AUGUST NINE, NINETEEN SEVENTYONE; MINNEAPOLIS AIRTEL JANUARY SEVENTEEN, LAST; NEW YORK NITEL TO BUREAU, FEBRUARY SIXTEEN, INSTANT.

REFERENCED MINNEAPOLIS COMMUNICATIONS WITH EXCEPTION OF AIRTEL DATED JANUARY SEVENTEEN, LAST, REFER TO [REDACTED] [REDACTED] CONTACT WITH DANIEL ELLSBERG. [REDACTED] IS UNDOUBTEDLY INDIVIDUAL REFERRED TO AS [REDACTED] (PH) IN RE [REDACTED] NITEL.

END PAGE ONE

Mr. Felt	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	
Mr. Miller, ES	✓
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Dalbey	
Mr. Cleveland	
Mr. Ponder	
Mr. Bates	
Mr. Walkart	
Mr. Walters	
Mr. Soyars	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

COR  
C.D. [Signature]

l

3154

FEB 22 1972

[Signature]

cc to [REDACTED] 150  
Adm. data deleted

55 FEB 24 1972

[REDACTED] AS PREVIOUSLY REPORTED, IS ONE OF THE SO-CALLED "MINNESOTA EIGHT," A GROUP OF INDIVIDUALS ARRESTED BY BUREAU AGENTS JULY ELEVEN, NINETEEN SEVENTY, AND CONVICTED ON FEDERAL CHARGES EMANATING FROM THEIR ATTACKS ON MINNESOTA DRAFT BOARDS.

[REDACTED] PRESENTLY FREE ON TEN THOUSAND BOND PENDING APPEAL OF FIVE YEAR PRISON SENTENCE.

ELLSBERG TESTIFIED IN FEDERAL COURT, ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA, ON BEHALF OF [REDACTED], JANUARY FOURTEEN, NINETEEN SEVELTY ONE. HE SUBSEQUENTLY PUBLICLY STATED THAT HE WAS PREPARED TO REVEAL CONTENT OF PENTAGON PAPERS ON THAT OCCASION, BUT FEDERAL JUDGE SET GUIDELINES FOR TESTIMONY WHICH PRECLUDED HIS DOING SO.

DURING PUBLIC APPEARANCE OF ELLSBERG IN MINNEAPOLIS, JANUARY TWENTYEIGHT, LAST, ELLSBERG WAS INTRODUCED TO AUDIENCE BY [REDACTED] WHO ALSO APPEARED ON LOCAL TELEVISION SHOW WITH ELLSBERG.

END PAGE TWO

MP 65-1447

PAGE THREE

[REDACTED]

BOSTON AS BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS. DISCONTINUE EFFORTS TO

IDENTIFY [REDACTED]

P

END

MXW

FBI WASH DC CLR

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)  
(ATTENTION: DOMINTEL)

DATE: FE

FROM : SAC, PHILADELPHIA (65-6346-SUB B)

SUBJECT: MC LEK  
SIO

Re Boston airtels 1/5/72 and 1/12/72.

- 5- Bureau (65-74060)(RM)
- 2- Boston (65-5632)(RM)
- 2- Los Angeles (105-27952)(RM)
- 2- New York (65-25641)(RM)
- 2- WFO (65-11613)(RM)
- 1- Philadelphia (65-6346-SUB B)

BJT:rel  
(14)

65-74060-3155

16 FEB 15 1972

REC'D  
SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE  
FBI - NEW YORK OFFICE

*W. J. Wampler*



1 FEB 24 1972

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan



# FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Washington, D. C. 20537

## REPORT

of the

## IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

### LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO. **65-11613**

**February 22, 1972**

FBI FILE NO.

LATENT CASE NO. **A-38774**

TO: **BAC, WFO**

RE:

**MC LEK**

**FIO**

REFERENCE:

**Verbal request by [REDACTED] on 2-10-72**

EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY:

SPECIMENS:

This confirms verbal report of 2-17-72 relative to request for comparisons of fingerprints of employees of the Defense Printing Service of the Department of Defense with latent prints developed on the eighteen volumes couriered by Daniel Ellsberg of LA #1 and LA #2.

No fingerprint record located of the following individuals:

MAILED 7

FEB 23 1972

FBI

REC-55

**65-74060-3156**

**19 FEB 23 1972**

(Continued on next page)

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Brennan, C.D. \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

**2 - Los Angeles (105-27952)**

**1 - SA [REDACTED]**

**Room 608, 9th and D**

**1 - SA [REDACTED]**

**Room 612, 9th and D**

**DEH:jat**

**(8)**

THIS REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

John Edgar Hoover, Director

SAC, WFO

February 22, 1972

[REDACTED]

None of the latent prints in the aforementioned volumes are identical with the fingerprints of :

[REDACTED]

Twelve latent fingerprints have been identified as finger impressions of [REDACTED] on three of the volumes as follows:

Three on LA #2, IV.C.7(a)  
Five on LA #2, V.B.3, Book 3  
Four on LA #2, Part VI.B

Other latent prints not fingerprints of [REDACTED]

F B I

Date: 2/15/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)  
(Attn: DOMINTEL)  
FROM : SAC, BOSTON (65-5236)  
SUBJECT: MC LEK  
SIO

*Wagman*

Re Bureau airtels to Boston dated 2/11/72.

On 2/14/72, [REDACTED] who has previously been contacted in this case, reiterated that her employment with the Christian Science Monitor (CSM) at the present time has been separated from the normal operational functions of the CSM and therefore she has not been in a position to obtain any information pertaining to the mechanics of the receipt of the Pentagon Papers by the CSM prior to publication in that newspaper.

[REDACTED] stated that she wished to be cooperative with the Bureau but was not in a position to do so at this time. However, if any information pertinent to this investigation came to her knowledge, she would immediately notify the FBI. She added that she had no knowledge of any individuals who might know of the manner in which the CSM received the Pentagon Papers.

On 2/15/72, [REDACTED] Hotel Continental, Cambridge, Mass., who has previously been contacted in this case, advised, confidentially, that

- 1 cc destroyed  
3 - Bureau (RM)  
    (1 - ADP)  
1 - Los Angeles (105-27952) (RM)  
1 - Boston  
REB:mej  
(6)

ST 101 REC-8

65-74060-3157

20 FEB 18 1972

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_  
Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_

SPEC. INV. OFFICE

[REDACTED]

there appears to be no reason why an interview of [REDACTED] should not be undertaken by the Boston Office to determine if he has any knowledge of his son's possible activity regarding duplication of the Pentagon Papers during the period 3/22-23/71 when the [REDACTED] visited him at the Hotel Continental.

Boston therefore recommends that [REDACTED] be contacted with Bureau authority regarding knowledge he might have of his son's possible participation in the duplication of the Pentagon Papers during March, 1971.

2/23/72

AIRTEL

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner  
1 - Mr. L. E. Belanger

To: SAC, Boston (65-5236)

From: Director, FBI (65-74060) — 3157

MC LEK  
SIO

ST-111

REC-58

ReBSairtel 2/15/72.

Authority is granted to interview [REDACTED] of [REDACTED]. Interview must be conducted by two mature experienced Agents who are thoroughly familiar with the facts of this case. Submit results by summary airtel followed by inclusion in a communication suitable for dissemination.

1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

bsf  
LEB:bjpbjg  
(6)

NOTE:

[REDACTED] is the [REDACTED] who is associated with the Institute for Policy Studies (IPS), a New Left "think tank" in Washington, D. C. [REDACTED] has been in touch with Daniel Ellsberg in the past and IPS has published a book, "Washington Plans an Aggressive War," based largely on material concerning Vietnam found in the "Pentagon papers." Previous investigation has disclosed that Neil and Susan Sheehan were in Cambridge, Massachusetts, during the period 3/21-23/71 and engaged in copying sessions of the "Pentagon papers." It has also been established that [REDACTED] with a party of six, stayed at the Hotel Continental, Cambridge, 3/22-23/71 and that the father of [REDACTED] has been a permanent guest of the hotel since [REDACTED]. The Boston Office has recommended that [REDACTED] who was described as an [REDACTED] individual of excellent health, be interviewed to determine any knowledge he may have concerning his son's possible participation in the duplication of the "Pentagon papers" during 3/71. Interview with the elder [REDACTED] appears justified and necessary.

Mr. Tolson  
Mr. Felt  
Mr. Rosen  
Mr. Mohr  
Mr. Bishop  
Mr. Miller, E.S.  
Mr. Callahan  
Mr. Casper  
Mr. Conrad  
Mr. Dalbey  
Mr. Cleveland  
Mr. Under  
Mr. [REDACTED]  
Mr. [REDACTED]

FEB 22 1972

FBI

MAR 3 1972

FEB 25 1972

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

FEB 22 1972

TELETYPE

REC 25

Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	
Mr. Miller, ES	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Dalbey	
Mr. Cleveland	
Mr. Fonder	
Mr. Bates	
Mr. Waskart	
Mr. Walters	
Mr. Soyars	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

NR 020 WF CODED

8:38PM URGENT 2-22-72 ALM

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)

ATTENTION: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

BALTIMORE (65-3896)

BOSTON (65-5236)

LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

FROM WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613) 6P

*copy to [unclear]*

MC LEK DASH SIO.

Deleted Copy Sent Alice T. Martin  
by Letter 4/16/78  
Per FOIA Request dbb

INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON THE COUNCIL ON ECONOMIC PRIORITIES (COEP) TEN TWENTYEIGHT CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NORTHWEST, WASHINGTON, D. C., INDICATES THIS COUNCIL FOUNDED CIRCA NINETEEN SEVENTY AND IS SELF DESCRIBED AS AN INDEPENDENT, NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION ESTABLISHED TO DISSEMINATE UNBIASED INFORMATION ON POLICIES OF UNITED STATES CORPORATIONS IN FOUR MAJOR AREAS: EMPLOYMENT, EFFECT ON ENVIRONMENT, DEFENSE PRODUCTION AND FOREIGN INVESTMENT. THE INITIAL PUBLICATION OF QUOTE ECONOMIC PRIORITY REPORT UNQUOTE, AN ORGAN OF COEP HIGHLIGHTED MAJOR UNITED STATES CORPORATIONS ENGAGED IN PRODUCTION OF ANTIPERSONNEL WEAPONS UNDER GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS.

8

EX-104 REC 25 65-74060-3158

FEB 24 1972

5-JRA

ACCORDING TO INFORMATION AVAILABLE IN NINETEEN SEVENTY,

APPROX 1945

[REDACTED], THEN TWENTYFIVE YEARS OLD, WAS [REDACTED]

END PAGE ONE.

59 FEB 28 1972

"cc to [unclear] by r/s 2/23/72 - "Re BA Tel, 9-17-71"

*[Handwritten signature]*

PAGE THREE

ACCORDING TO THE QUOTE NUC NEWLETTER UNQUOTE, OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF NUC, DATED AUGUST FIFTEEN, SIXTYNINE, NUC IS DESCRIBED IN PART AS QUOTE A NATIONAL ORGANIZATION OF RADICALS WHO WORK IN, AROUND AND IN SPITE OF INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION. FORMED IN TIME OF IMPERIALIST WAR AND DOMESTIC REPRESSION, THE NUC IS PART OF THE STRUGGLE FOR THE LIBERATION OF ALL PEOPLES UNQUOTE.

ACCORDING TO THE CURRENT WASHINGTON, D. C., TELEPHONE DIRECTOR, COEP IS NOW LOCATED AT ELEVEN TWENTYSEVEN CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NORTHWEST, WASHINGTON, D. C., TELEPHONE SIX TWO EIGHT DASH THREE ZERO ONE SIX.

ON THE AFTERNOON OF FEBRUARY NINETEEN LAST ELLSBERG SPOKE AT THE NATIONAL PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH, WASHINGTON, D.C. HIS APPEARANCE WAS SPONSORED BY THE AMERICAN UNIVERSITY GRADUATE STUDENT COUNCIL, KENNEDY POLITICAL ACTION UNION, ELLSBERG DEFENSE COMMITTEE AND PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH. ELLSBERG'S COMMENTS FOLLOWED HIS USUAL ANTI VIETNAM WAR THEME CENTERING

END PAGE THREE

PAGE FOUR

ON WHAT HE DESCRIBED AS A NEED FOR CHANGE WITHIN OUR SOCIETY  
TO END THE WAR. HE EXPRESSED SURPRISE<sup>E</sup> AT THE CHARGES AGAINST  
HIM IN WHAT HE DESCRIBED AS A FALSE INDICTMENT EXPLAINING THAT  
THERE WERE NO STATUTES DEALING WITH WHAT HE DID. ELLSBERG  
MADE NO ADMISSIONS AGAINST INTEREST OR OTHER STATEMENTS OF  
APPARENT EVIDENTIARY OR LEAD VALUE.

ADMINISTRATIVE:



PAGE SIX

RE WFO TELETYPE FEBRUARY EIGHT, LAST CONCERNING  
ELLSBERG 'S POTENTIAL APPEARANCE ON FEBRUARY NINETEEN AT  
NATIONAL PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH. ELLSBERG 'S APPEARANCE WAS  
COVERED BY SPECIAL AGENT AND SOURCE ATTENDANCE. RECORDING  
IMPOSSIBLE FOR SECURITY REASONS; HOWEVER, SUMMARY OF HIS  
COMMENTS BEING INCORPORATED IN FD THREE ZERO TWO.

END

MRF FBI WA DC

Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Walkart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

NR 021 LA CODE

FEB 22 1972

704PM NITEL 2-22-72 LLS

TELETYPE

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060) ATTN : DID

BOSTON (65-5236)

NEW YORK (65-25641)

WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613)

FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952) 2P

MC LEK, SID.

REPORTER NEIL SHEEHAN APPEARED ON KNBC TELEVISION, LOS ANGELES, FIVE P.M. NEWSCAST, FEBRUARY TWENTY ONE LAST. SHEEHAN PROMOTING HIS NEW BOOK "ARNHEITER" WAS INTERVIEWED BY KNBC NEWSCASTER BOB ABERNATHY. SHEEHAN ADMITTED "RECEIVING" PENTAGON PAPERS BUT POINTEDLY REFUSED TO NAME HIS SOURCE. SHEEHAN DEFENDED RIGHT OF NEWSPAPERS TO PUBLISH PENTAGON PAPERS ON BASIS OF FIRST AMENDMENT AND PUBLIC'S "NEED TO KNOW." STATED HE WOULD NOT PRINT HIGHLY SENSITIVE INFORMATION; THAT HE IS A QUALIFIED JUDGE OF WHAT IS SENSITIVE AND PENTAGON PAPERS NEED NOT HAVE BEEN CLASSIFIED AT ALL.

ADMINISTRATIVE.

EX-104

REC 25

65-74060-3159

LOS ANGELES UNABLE TO TAPE SHEEHAN'S REMARKS AS HIS

APPEARANCE WAS LIVE AND NOT PRIOR PUBLICIZED. TRANSCRIPT OF FEB 24 1972 PROGRAM CAN ONLY BE OBTAINED THROUGH SUBPOENA PROCESS.

OF LOS ANGELES VIEWED PROGRAM.

END PAGE ONE

59 FEB 28 1972

1SD ✓  
data coding

Jan 15

LA 105-27952

PAGE TWO

FEBRUARY TWENTY TWO INSTANT ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY

NISSEN ADVISED HE HAS CONFERRED WITH [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] ANTICIPATES EASTCON TRIAL WILL LAST NO  
LATER THAN MID APRIL NEXT. NISSEN ANTICIPATES ELLSBERG - RUSSO  
TRIAL TO START ON SCHEDULE MAY NINE NEXT.

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION.

END

JRM FBI WASH DC CLR

FBI

Date: 2/15/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_

(Type in plaintext or code)

AIRTEL

AIR MAIL - REGISTERED MAIL

Via \_\_\_\_\_

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)  
(ATTN: VOUCHER-STATISTICAL SECTION)

FROM: SAC, BUFFALO (65-2971) (RUC)

DANIEL ELLSBERG  
ESP - X  
(OO: LOS ANGELES)

Re San Francisco airtel to Bureau, et al, dated 2/2/72, captioned "MC LEK, SIO"; and Bureau airtel to San Francisco, et al, dated 2/10/72, captioned "MC LEK, SIO".

Enclosed for the Bureau and Los Angeles are six and two copies respectively, of an LHM captioned "DANIEL ELLSBERG" per instructions set out in referenced San Francisco airtel.

Indices of the Buffalo Office do not contain any information identifiable with [REDACTED]

This LHM is classified confidential inasmuch as unauthorized disclosure of information attributed to sources utilized in this LHM would be detrimental to the national defense interests.

[REDACTED]

2 ATN LHM - ADF  
610D  
Bureau (Encs. 6) (RM)  
2 - Los Angeles (Encs. 2) (RM)  
1 - Buffalo  
JWS:kms  
(7)

2 cc encl to PTO-150 Martin (info)  
2-22-72 HJM/rep

65-74060-3160  
18 FEB 18 1972

REC-25

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_

M Per \_\_\_\_\_

59 FEB 28 1972

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1971-41

SPEC. INV. OFFICE  
STAT. SECT.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

February 15, 1972

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No.

DANIEL ELLSBERG

During period June 13-15, 1971, "The New York Times," a daily newspaper published in New York City, published the so-called "Pentagon papers." DANIEL ELLSBERG has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. ANTHONY JOSEPH RUSSO, JR., and DANIEL ELLSBERG are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 371, United States Code (Conspiracy); Title 18, Section 641, United States Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property); and Title 18, Sections 793 (c), (d) and (e), United States Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that DANIEL ELLSBERG may have had help in reproducing and distributing these documents to various newspapers throughout the country. Investigation is being conducted to determine if SPENCER B. MARX, brother-in-law of DANIEL ELLSBERG, may have assisted ELLSBERG in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES  
AND FIELD OFFICES  
ADVISED BY ROUTING  
SLIP

DECLASSIFIED BY 6080 Led/MP  
ON 5-16-77

ENCLOSURE

BA  
FBI

Date: 2/17/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL  
(Priority)

ESM  
B

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)  
FROM: SAC, NEW ORLEANS (65-2279) (RUC)  
SUBJECT: MC LEK  
SIO  
(OO: LOS ANGELES)

*C. Brennan*  
*Waggoner*

Re San Francisco airtel, 2/2/72, and Bureau airtel, 2/10/72.

Enclosed for the Bureau are six copies and for Los Angeles two copies of an LHM captioned DANIEL ELLSBERG.



- ④ - Bureau (Encs. 6) (RM)
- 2 - Los Angeles (Encs. 2) (RM)
- 2 - New Orleans
  - (1 - 65-2279)
  - (1 - 134-1171)
- SMC/pd 2 cc encl to AD-1 SD Martin (info)
- (8) 2-22-72 HJM/rep

REC 25

65-74060-3161

12 FEB 19 1972

*Brennan*

Approved: *HJ*  
Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

SPEC. INV. OFFICE

59 FEB 28 1972

*Waggoner*




UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No.

New Orleans, Louisiana  
February 17, 1972

DANIEL ELLSBERG

During period June 13-15, 1971, "The New York Times," a daily newspaper published in New York City, published the so-called "Pentagon papers." Daniel Ellsberg has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and Daniel Ellsberg are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 371, United States Code (Conspiracy); Title 18, Section 641, United States Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property); and Title 18, Sections 793 (c), (d) and (e), United States Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that Daniel Ellsberg may have had help in reproducing and distributing these documents to various newspapers throughout the country. Investigation is being conducted to determine if Spencer B. Marx, brother-in-law of Daniel Ellsberg, may have assisted Ellsberg in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.



62-74060-3161

ENCLOSURE

FBI

Date: 2/15/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)  
FROM: SAC, LOUISVILLE (65-933) (RUC)  
SUBJECT: MC LEK  
SIO

Re Bureau airtel to San Francisco, dated 2/10/72, Louisville airtel and LHM to Bureau, dated 2/10/72, San Francisco airtel to Bureau, dated 2/2/72, Louisville report of [REDACTED] dated 11/18/71, captioned "DANIEL ELLSBERG, ESPIONAGE - X" (Louisville file 65-934).

Enclosed for the Bureau are six (6) copies of an LHM captioned "DANIEL ELLSBERG, ESPIONAGE - X".

Investigation set forth in enclosed LHM has been previously reported in referenced Louisville report, dated 11/18/71. No additional investigation has been conducted in connection with captioned matter inasmuch as the subscriber to telephone number [REDACTED] during the pertinent period, was previously identified and appropriate background data ascertained.

It is further noted that information contained in enclosed LHM was previously submitted by referenced airtel and LHM, dated 2/10/72, at Louisville, Ky., however, said LHM contained introductory paragraph per instructions furnished by San Francisco airtel to Bureau, dated 2/2/72,

- 4 - Bureau (Enc. 6) (RM)  
1 - Boston (Enc. 1) (RM) (INFO)  
1 - Los Angeles (Enc. 1) (INFO) (RM)  
2 - Louisville  
(1 - 65-934)  
(1 - 65-933)

EVA/vra  
(8)

ENCLOSURE REC 25

65-74060-3162

FEB 18 1972

Approved: TWK  
Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No.

Louisville, Kentucky  
February 15, 1972

DANIEL ELLSBERG  
ESPIONAGE - X

During period June 13-15, 1971, "The New York Times," a daily newspaper published in New York City, published the so-called "Pentagon papers." Daniel Ellsberg has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and Daniel Ellsberg are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 371, United States Code (Conspiracy); Title 18, Section 641, United States Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property); and Title 18, Sections 793 (c), (d) and (e), United States Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that Daniel Ellsberg may have had help in reproducing and distributing these documents to various newspapers throughout the country. Investigation is being conducted to determine if Spencer B. Marx, brother-in-law of Daniel Ellsberg, may have assisted Ellsberg in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.

-----  
This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

ENCLOSURE

65-74060-3162

# Memorandum

~~SECRET~~

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (105-127934)

FROM : SAC, BOSTON (105-6225) (C)

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

DATE: 2/9/72

Enclosed for the Bureau are nine copies of an LHM dated and captioned as above; two additional copies each have been designated for Legat Ottawa and the New York Division; one information copy each is being furnished to Los Angeles, San Francisco, WFO and Legat Hong Kong in view of past and/or possible future investigation.

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES  
AND FIELD OFFICES

- (8) - Bureau (Enc. 11) (RM)  
 2-105-127934  
 1-105-214716  
 1-65-74060  
 1-105-103656  
 2-Legat [REDACTED] (1-105-4168) (1-105-3837)  
 1-Legat [REDACTED]
- 1 - New York (105-103056) (RM) (Enc. 2)  
 1 - San Francisco (105-16711) (Info) (Enc. 2)  
 1 - WFO (Info) (Enc. 2)  
 1 - Los Angeles (Info) (Enc. 2)  
 6 - Boston  
 1-105-6225 [REDACTED]  
 1-65-5236 (MC LER) [REDACTED]  
 1-105-10096 [REDACTED]  
 1-105-17216 [REDACTED]  
 1-105-16553 [REDACTED]

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN  
OTHERWISE.

Classified by 6080 Sed/TAP  
Exempt from GDS, Category [REDACTED]  
Date of Declassification Indefinite

5-16-77

NOT RECORDED

192 FEB 18 1972

~~SECRET~~

FEB 24 1972

(18) ENCLOSURE Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

[REDACTED]

For information of the Bureau and receiving offices, subject was also under investigation in case captioned MC LEK, Bureau file 65-74060, Boston file 65-5236, in attempt to establish any association between Dr. DANIEL ELLSBERG and [REDACTED] inasmuch as [REDACTED] was Consultant at the Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, California during the summers of 1965 through 1968, a period of time when ELLSBERG was also there. During the course of MC LEK investigation, information was developed that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] to the Newton, Mass. residence of [REDACTED] during the period June 1, through July 6, 1971. The "St. Louis Post-Dispatch" printed excerpts from the so-called "Pentagon Papers" on various dates between 6/23 and 7/6/71.

It is to be noted that [REDACTED] of the SLPDWD was a US Delegate to a Canadian Press Seminar held by CNCP in Montreal, Canada, 11/7/70, and had an established relationship with [REDACTED]. In Boston report of [REDACTED] captioned DANIEL ELLSBERG, ESP - X dated 12/9/71, the Boston Division advised that by separate LHM it will report results of investigation pertaining to [REDACTED] recipient [REDACTED] since it appears that [REDACTED] does not have any connection with this case. As a result, information re [REDACTED] contained in case captioned MC LEK has been incorporated with suitable paraphrasing into instant LHM.

Since it has been established through investigation in the Boston Division that [REDACTED] is presently residing in Toronto, Ontario, Canada for a unspecified time and is associated with York University, the Boston Division will conduct no further investigation at this time and case is being closed, UACB.

Identities of source utilized in instant LHM have been set forth on Non-Symbol Informant Page.

~~SECRET~~



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Boston, Massachusetts

February 9, 1972

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No.

Title DANIEL TRETIK

Character INTERNAL SECURITY - CHINESE

Reference Boston memoranda dated and  
captioned as above.

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities  
are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable  
information in the past.

FBI

Date: 2/16/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

ESA/CPM

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, ALBANY (65-2913) (P)

SUBJECT: MC LEK - SIO

(OO: LA)

*Handwritten signature*

Re Boston airtel to Bureau, 12/9/71, and  
Bureau airtel to Albany, 1/18/72.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

1 del 610D

- 2 - Bureau
- 2 - Boston (65-5326) (RM)
- 2 - Los Angeles (105-27952) (RM)
- 2 - New York (65-25641) (RM)
- 2 - WFO (65-11613) (RM)
- 2 - Albany

GAP:pms  
(12)

EX-104

REC 25

65-74060-3164

FEB 18 1972

*Handwritten signature*  
SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_  
Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_

59 FEB 28 1972

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1971-413-138

RA  
FBI

Date: 2/17/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)  
FROM: SAC, RICHMOND (65-2273) -RUC-  
SUBJECT: MC LEK  
SIO

*Wagoner*

Re San Francisco airtel to the Bureau dated 2/2/72, and Bureau airtel to San Francisco dated 2/10/72.

Enclosed herewith for the San Francisco Office is one copy of Richmond airtel to the Bureau dated 10/19/71, and LHM dated 10/19/71, both captioned, "DANIEL ELLSBERG, ESPIONAGE - X".

Information concerning subscriber to telephone number [REDACTED] was previously handled and submitted to the Bureau in this case by airtel dated 10/19/71, and LHM of same date, captioned "DANIEL ELLSBERG, ESPIONAGE-X." Accordingly, no LHM is being submitted on recent request by San Francisco.

One copy of the airtel and LHM of 10/19/71, are enclosed for completion of the San Francisco Office file concerning subject.

2 - Bureau  
2 - Los Angeles (105-27952)  
2 - San Francisco (Encl. 2)(65-6076)  
1 - Richmond  
JGM/crf  
(7)

EX-104

REC 25

65-74060-3166

24  
FEB 18 1972

SPEC. INV. OFFICE

Approved: 59 FEB 28 1972 Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

RH 65-2273

Bureau and Los Angeles were previously in receipt  
of the airtel and LHM of 10/19/71.

2/24/72

AIRTEL

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner  
1 - Mr. J. R. Hagy

To: SAC, Boston (65-5236)

From: Director, FBI (65-74060)

MC LEK  
SIO

ReBuairtels 2/17/72, 2/18/72 and 2/22/72 which instructed Boston to initiate investigation of [REDACTED]

Bufiles indicate above individuals reportedly resided at residence of [REDACTED] at various times during the period April-November, 1971.

Bufiles reveal Boston presently has lead from New Haven to contact [REDACTED] in effort to establish positive identification for [REDACTED]. This lead is pending inasmuch as [REDACTED] is presently in Europe and not expected to return until the latter part of February, 1972.

Bureau desires that at such time as Boston recontacts [REDACTED] with respect to outstanding New Haven lead, that [REDACTED] be thoroughly interviewed for all information in his possession concerning [REDACTED] and the other individuals mentioned above

Mr. Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Miller, E.S. \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Ponder \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bates \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. W. J. \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

1 - Baltimore (65-3896)  
1 - New Haven (65-2389)  
1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)  
1 - WFO (65-11613)

REC-60

MAILED 3

23 1972

FBI

65-74060-3168

19 FEB 24 1972

JRH

SEE NOTE PAGE THREE

Airtel to Boston  
RE: MC LEK  
65-74060

as having resided at [redacted] residence during 1971. He should be thoroughly questioned concerning background of these individuals and especially concerning their activities during the period March-July, 1971. Determine whether [redacted] is personally acquainted with Anthony J. Russo, Jr., and ascertain, if possible, identity of individual at [redacted] residence who was telephonically contacted by Russo on 8/1/71 and 7/31/71. Also determine whether [redacted] is personally acquainted with Neil Sheehan and whether he is aware of any connection between Sheehan and the aforementioned individuals who resided at [redacted] residence.

Boston is also referred to interview conducted with [redacted] on 12/13/71 wherein [redacted] observed that numerous telephone calls charged to his home telephone number had been made to individuals he did not know, as a result of which he assumed these calls had been made by either [redacted]. [redacted] specifically noted one such call had been made to a [redacted] at Princeton, New Jersey, charges for which had been in the vicinity of \$10.

Since telephone bills are rendered on a monthly basis and do not identify subscribers to long-distance numbers called during the period, it would appear that prior to submitting payment for such bills that [redacted] discussed charges for calls not made by him either with [redacted], and in this manner acquired his information concerning the call made to [redacted].

Boston should tactfully determine from [redacted] if he did, in fact, discuss these toll calls with [redacted] and determine whether he is aware of identity of other individuals who received long-distance calls from [redacted] during the period the latter two individuals were residing at [redacted]. Ascertain from [redacted] identity of person or persons who reimbursed him for these toll calls made from his residence and determine whether he has in his possession any notes or copies of pertinent telephone bills which definitely identify persons thus called and/or individuals who made such calls.

Boston airtel 1/5/72 refers to [redacted] as also having utilized [redacted] residence, together with [redacted]. Bureau desires this information be clarified by determining from [redacted] whether [redacted] actually resided at [redacted] residence during period April-November, 1971, or whether [redacted] simply visited [redacted] on various occasions during this period.

Airtel to Boston  
RE: MC LEK  
65-74060

During interview of [REDACTED] Boston should be alert for any information indicating possibility that Daniel Ellsberg may have utilized [REDACTED] residence as a hideout during period 6/13-28/71.

Interview of [REDACTED] should be handled as soon as possible following his return to the United States and results thereof furnished Bureau and interested offices by summary teletype and thereafter incorporated in next investigative report submitted by your office. Logical leads arising from information furnished by [REDACTED] should, of course, be set out by teletype and auxiliary offices instructed to report results of investigation in same manner, to be followed by prompt submission of investigative report.

NOTE:

Bureau recently developed three suspects, including [REDACTED] in the clandestine dissemination of the "Pentagon papers" to various United States newspapers after "The New York Times" initially published article on 6/13/71 based on these documents. Investigation has established [REDACTED] resided at Boston residence of [REDACTED] during period April-November, 1971, and that [REDACTED] and number of other individuals also lived at this address at various times during this period. [REDACTED] has furnished some information concerning [REDACTED] and the other individuals who stayed at [REDACTED] but at the time he was interviewed these individuals did not appear to be of further interest to this investigation and he was, therefore, not closely questioned concerning them. Thorough interview of [REDACTED] regarding these individuals is now most desirable and appropriate instructions for same are therefore being issued [REDACTED] who is in charge of the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] also teaches at Yale University, New Haven. He was previously interviewed on 12/13/71 and 1/4/72, and was extremely cooperative on these occasions.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION


Albuquerque, New Mexico

February 16, 1972

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No.

SPENCER B. MARX

During period June 13-15, 1971, "The New York Times," a daily newspaper published in New York City, published the so-called "Pentagon papers." Daniel Ellsberg has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and Daniel Ellsberg are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 371, United States Code (Conspiracy); Title 18, Section 641, United States Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property); and Title 18, Sections 793 (c), (d) and (e), United States Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that Daniel Ellsberg may have had help in reproducing and distributing these documents to various newspapers throughout the country. Investigation is being conducted to determine if Spencer B. Marx, brother-in-law of Daniel Ellsberg, may have assisted Ellsberg in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.



This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

65-74060-3169

ENCLOSURE

1 - Mr. A. Rosen  
1 - Mr. J. P. Mohr  
1 - Mr. T. E. Bishop  
1 - Mr. D. J. Dalbey

February 16, 1972


BY LIAISON

Honorable H. R. Haldeman  
Assistant to the President  
The White House  
Washington, D. C.

1 - Mr. E. S. Miller  
1 - Mr. C. D. Brennan  
1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner

Dear Mr. Haldeman:

Weekly summaries covering our continuing investigation relating to Daniel Ellsberg and the publication of material concerning the United States-Vietnam relations during the period 1945-1967 have been furnished to you in the past. Copies of these summaries have also been furnished to the Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr., Deputy Assistant to the President for Domestic Affairs, and to the Attorney General.



Our investigation of Daniel Ellsberg and a codefendant, Anthony J. Russo, Jr., relative to their illegal possession and disclosure of classified information at Los Angeles has successfully resulted in their indictment, with trial now scheduled for early May, 1972. Our activity

62-98194-  
① - 65-74060 (MC LEK)

JRW:bjp

61

FEB 24 1972

DUPLICATE YELLOW

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

65-74060-

NOT RECORDED

191 FEB 17 1972

Honorable H. R. Haldeman

in this area currently involves the detailed analysis and study of evidence, and the organization of such evidence for presentation by Departmental attorneys at the forthcoming trial.

Alleged violations by Ellsberg in the Boston, Massachusetts, area involving his illegal dissemination of the "Pentagon papers" to elements of the news media continue to be the subject of Federal Grand Jury interest and Bureau investigative attention. Action by the Federal Grand Jury at Boston has been effectively estopped by motions now pending before the United States District Court of Appeals and before the United States Supreme Court. These motions have been filed by Senator Mike Gravel of Alaska and other individuals who have been subpoenaed before the Federal Grand Jury at Boston.

As a result of the above, this Bureau will discontinue furnishing the weekly summary memorandum of developments in the Ellsberg matter. When the trial of Ellsberg commences in May, 1972, and the Federal Grand Jury proceedings at Boston are allowed to resume, pertinent information will be furnished to you upon its receipt.

The above information is also being furnished to the Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr., Deputy Assistant to the President for Domestic Affairs, and to the Attorney General.

Sincerely yours,

NOTE:

See cover memorandum C. D. Brennan to E. S. Miller, dated 2/15/72, captioned "Unauthorized Disclosures of Classified Information to the Press," prepared by JRW:bjp.

1 - Mr. A. Rosen  
1 - Mr. J. P. Mohr  
1 - Mr. T. E. Bishop  
1 - Mr. D. J. Dalbey

February 16, 1972

BY LIAISON

Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr.  
Deputy Assistant to the President  
for Domestic Affairs  
The White House  
Washington, D. C.

1 - Mr. E. S. Miller  
1 - Mr. C. D. Brennan  
1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner

Dear Mr. Krogh:

Weekly summaries covering our continuing investigation relating to Daniel Ellsberg and the publication of material concerning the United States-Vietnam relations during the period 1945-1967 have been furnished to you in the past. Copies of these summaries have also been furnished to the Honorable H. R. Haldeman, Assistant to the President, and to the Attorney General. From time to time these

[REDACTED]

Our investigation of Daniel Ellsberg and a codefendant, Anthony J. Russo, Jr., relative to their illegal possession and disclosure of classified information at Los Angeles has successfully resulted in their indictment, with trial now scheduled for early May, 1972. Our activity

62-98194

(1) - 65-74060

JRW:bjp

(MC LEK)  
(10)

65-74060 -

RECORDED

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

167 FEB 18 1972

61 FEB 24 1972

62-98194-277  
ORIGINAL FILED IN

Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr.

in this area currently involves the detailed analysis and study of evidence, and the organization of such evidence for presentation by Departmental attorneys at the forthcoming trial.

Alleged violations by Ellsberg in the Boston, Massachusetts, area involving his illegal dissemination of the "Pentagon papers" to elements of the news media continue to be the subject of Federal Grand Jury interest and Bureau investigative attention. Action by the Federal Grand Jury at Boston has been effectively estopped by motions now pending before the United States District Court of Appeals and before the United States Supreme Court. These motions have been filed by Senator Mike Gravel of Alaska and other individuals who have been subpoenaed before the Federal Grand Jury at Boston.

As a result of the above, this Bureau will discontinue furnishing the weekly summary memorandum of developments in the Ellsberg matter. When the trial of Ellsberg commences in May, 1972, and the Federal Grand Jury proceedings at Boston are allowed to resume, pertinent information will be furnished to you upon its receipt.

The above information is also being furnished to the Honorable H. R. Haldeman, Assistant to the President, and to the Attorney General.

Sincerely yours,

NOTE:

See cover memorandum C. D. Brennan to E. S. Miller, dated 2/15/72, captioned "Unauthorized Disclosures of Classified Information to the Press," prepared by JRW:bjp.

Airtel

To: SAC, Charlotte (65-1767)

2/25/72

From: Director, FBI (65-74060) - 3170

1 - Mr. Morgan  
1 - Mr. Wagoner

MC LEX  
SIO

ReCEairtel and LHM 2/11/72.

Dissemination of referenced LHM being withheld at this time. Page three, first full paragraph of referenced LHM, sets forth information concerning [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

Information in present form fails to reflect pertinency or relevance to this investigation. Review of Bureau files fails to show any relationship between above two individuals and [REDACTED] subscriber to telephone called by Spencer B. Marx.

You should submit amended page three for referenced LHM either eliminating first full paragraph or including data showing relationship between [REDACTED] and two above-mentioned individuals along with characterization of [REDACTED] which would make reading of LHM more meaningful.

Expedite.

1 - Los Angeles (105-27952) ED 1W

HJM:bsf  
(6)

FEB 24 1972

FBI

NOTE:

Charlotte submitted airtel and LHM, 2/11/72, showing subscriber to telephone called by Spencer B. Marx from Big Sur, California, on two occasions, 6/71. Also set forth was information on page three of LHM which has no relevance to current investigation unless Charlotte has information showing relationship between two persons mentioned, one of whom is [REDACTED]

and subscriber to telephone called by Marx. Instructions issued to amend LHM by eliminating irrelevant data or adding information which would show pertinence of data submitted by Charlotte.

Mr. Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Miller, E.S. \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Ponder \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bates \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Winkert \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

57 MAR 9 1972

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

12 MAR 1972

6100



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No.

Charlotte, North Carolina  
February 11, 1972

DANIEL ELLSBERG;  
ANTHONY J. RUSSO, JR.  
CONSPIRACY; THEFT OF GOVERNMENT  
PROPERTY; ESPIONAGE

During the period June 13-15, 1971, "The New York Times," a daily newspaper published in New York City, published the so-called "Pentagon papers." DANIEL ELLSBERG has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and Daniel Ellsberg are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 371, United States Code (Conspiracy); Title 18, Section 641, United States Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property); and Title 18, Sections 793 (c), (d), and (e), United States Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that DANIEL ELLSBERG may have had help in reproducing and distributing these documents to various newspapers throughout the country. Investigation is being conducted to determine if SPENCER B. MARX, brother-in-law of DANIEL ELLSBERG, may have assisted ELLSBERG in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.

on

ITS CONTENTS ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE  
YOUR AGENCY.

65-74060-3170

ENCLOSURE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No.

Charlotte, North Carolina  
February 11, 1972

Title DANIEL ELLSBERG;  
ANTHONY J. RUSSO, JR.

Character CONSPIRACY; THEFT OF GOVERNMENT  
PROPERTY; ESPIONAGE

Reference Memorandum at Charlotte, North  
Carolina, dated February 11, 1972,  
captioned as above.

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities  
are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable  
information in the past.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

FEB 23 1972

DCW  
TELETYPE

Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Warkent	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

NR005 BA PLAIN

145PM IMMEDIATE 2-23-72 VLH

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)

BOSTON (65-5236) -

LOS ANGELES (105-27952) -

SAN FRANCISCO

PHOENIX

OKLAHOMA CITY

CLEVELAND

WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (65-11613) -

FROM BALTIMORE (65-3896) (P) 8P -

*C. Sullivan*  
*Wagner*

MC LEK, SIO

END PAGE ONE

EX-117

REC-35 65-74060-3171

FEB 25 1972

ec ISD

5- *[Signature]*

Te'l to BH  
WFO  
JR 4:68  
2-24-72  
1-65  
1-LA

PAGE FIVE

BA 65-3896

[REDACTED]

ADMINISTRATIVE:

RE BUREAU AIRTELS TO BALTIMORE, FEB SEVENTEEN AND EIGHTEEN, SEVENTY TWO.

FOR INFORMATION OF RECEIPIENTS NOT IN RECEIPT OF REFERENCES, THE BUREAU HAS INSTRUCTED THAT INTENSE INVESTIGATION BE CONDUCTED TO DETERMINE FURTHER BACKGROUND DATA RELATIVE TO [REDACTED] AND INVESTIGATION BE CONDUCTED TO DETERMINE ASSOCIATES OF [REDACTED] AND ACTIVITIES DURING THE PERIOD FROM MARCH TO JUNE, SEVENTY ONE. [REDACTED] HAS BEEN REPORTED TO BE A CLOSE ASSOCIATE OF DOCTOR DANIEL ELLSBERG [REDACTED]

END PAGE FIVE

BA 65-3896

██████ ALSO AMONG THOSE PRESENT AT EDITING SESSION IN BOSTON, MASS.  
IN COMPANY OF ELLSBERG AND IS REPORTED TO BE THE INTERMEDIARY BETWEEN  
ELLSBERG AND PUBLISHING COMPANY.

END PAGE SIX

Mr. J. R. Wagon  
1 - Mr. J. R. Hagy

2/24/72

CODE

TELETYPE

URGENT

TO: SACS, BALTIMORE (65-3896)  
WFO (65-11613)

FROM: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74080) — 3171

MC LEK - SIO

REBATEL FEBRUARY TWENTY-THREE LAST.

RETEL NOTES [REDACTED] REPORTEDLY EMPLOYED AS  
PROFESSOR, AMERICAN UNIVERSITY (AU), WASHINGTON, D. C.,  
SINCE NINETEEN SIXTY-EIGHT.

WFO SHOULD IMMEDIATELY DETERMINE WHETHER [REDACTED]  
CURRENTLY EMPLOYED AT AU AS PROFESSOR, ADVISING BUREAU  
AND RECIPIENTS OF RESULTS BY TELETYPE. IN EVENT [REDACTED]  
NO LONGER CONNECTED WITH AU, WFO ENDEAVOR TO DETERMINE  
[REDACTED] CURRENT EMPLOYMENT. [REDACTED]

INVESTIGATION TO DATE HAS NOT LINKED [REDACTED] DIRECTLY  
TO DANIEL ELLSBERG AND ALTHOUGH [REDACTED] IS CLOSE  
ASSOCIATE OF [REDACTED] AVAILABLE INFORMATION DOES NOT

NOW INDICATE [REDACTED] PARTICIPATED IN DISTRIBUTION OF

1 - BOSTON (65-5236)(BY MAIL)  
1 - LOS ANGELES (105-27952)(BY MAIL)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JRH:bjp

51 MAR 1 1972

FEB 24 1972

317P

TELETYPE

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☒

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

TELETYPE TO BALTIMORE  
RE: MC LEK  
65-74060

"PENTAGON PAPERS." IN VIEW OF THIS, RECIPIENTS SHOULD  
LIMIT INVESTIGATION OF [REDACTED] TO RECORD CHECKS AND  
CONTACTS WITH LOGICAL INFORMANTS IN EFFORT TO FURTHER  
IDENTIFY HIM.

COPIES BY MAIL TO BOSTON AND LOS ANGELES.

NOTE:

Investigation of [REDACTED] a suspect in the distribution of the  
"Pentagon papers" to various United States newspapers during June-July,  
1971, has determined [REDACTED] apparently [REDACTED] Maryland,  
residence and [REDACTED] Bureau airtel 2/18/72  
instructed Baltimore to further identify [REDACTED] and referenced Baltimore  
teletype reported information from Baltimore confidential source to effect  
that [REDACTED]

While interview of [REDACTED] concerning his knowledge of [REDACTED] appears  
desirable once [REDACTED] is further identified, overt investigation of [REDACTED]  
own activities does not appear necessary unless additional data concerning  
him is not available through record checks. We will not consider  
interview of [REDACTED] until latter is satisfactorily identified and recommendations  
of office covering his residence have been obtained and evaluated.

BA  
FBI

Date: 2/18/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

AIRTEL

Via \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)  
ATTENTION: VOUCHER-STATISTICAL SECTION  
FROM : SAC, DENVER (105-4507) (P)  
SUBJECT: MC LEK  
SIO

*W. J. [unclear]*

Re San Francisco airtel 2/2/72.

Enclosed for the Bureau are six copies, for Los Angeles two copies, and for San Francisco one copy of an LHM captioned "DANIEL ELLSBERG, ESPIONAGE."

Confidential source utilized was [redacted]

LHM classified confidential to protect information from above confidential source, the unauthorized disclosure of which could be detrimental to the national defense interests of this country.

It is being left to the discretion of the office of origin or Bureau as to whether or not a direct approach will be made of [redacted] to determine nature of his relationship with SPENCER MARX and/or subject ELLSBERG.

Denver indices negative re [redacted]

- REC-35 65-74060-3173
- 4 - Bureau (Enc. 6)(RM)
  - 2 - Los Angeles (Enc. 2)(RM) (105-27952)
  - 1 - San Francisco (Enc. 1)(Info)(RM) (65-6076)
  - 1 - Denver 2 cc encl 1SD - Martin (info)
- BDA/lld 2-24-72 [unclear]  
(8)

8 FEB 23 1972

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_  
Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M

Per \_\_\_\_\_

SPEC. INQ. OFFICE

51 MAR 1 1972



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No.

Denver, Colorado  
February 18, 1972

[REDACTED]

DANIEL ELLSBERG  
ESPIONAGE

During period June 13-15, 1971, "The New York Times," a daily newspaper published in New York City, published the so-called "Pentagon papers." Daniel Ellsberg has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and Daniel Ellsberg are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 371, U. S. Code (Conspiracy); Title 18, Section 641, U. S. Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property); and Title 18, Sections 793(c), (d) and (e), U. S. Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that Daniel Ellsberg may have had help in reproducing and distributing these documents to various newspapers throughout the country. Investigation is being conducted to determine if Spencer B. Marx, brother-in-law of Daniel Ellsberg, may have assisted Ellsberg in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.

[REDACTED]

DECLASSIFIED BY 6080 Sed/THP  
ON 5-16-77

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES  
AND FIELD OFFICES  
ADVISED BY ROUTING  
SLIP(S) OF Reclassification  
DATE 5-17-77 YAT/THP

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

ENCLOSURE

65-14060-3173

BA

RECEIVED  
FEB 24 1972  
TELETYPE

EW/s

Mr. Felt	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	
Mr. Miller, ES	✓
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Dalbey	
Mr. Cleveland	
Mr. Fonder	
Mr. Bates	
Mr. Walkart	
Mr. Walters	
Mr. Soyars	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

NR 021 NY CODE

332PM -JPM

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060) (URGENT)

ATT: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION (URGENT)

BOSTON (65-5236) (NITEL)

MINNEAPOLIS (65-1447) (NITEL)

FROM NEW YORK (65-25641)

*Handwritten signature*

MC LEK -SIO

REBUTEL, FEBRUARY TWENTY THREE, SEVENTY TWO.

[REDACTED] ON FEBRUARY TWENTY FOUR, SEVENTY TWO, ADVISED ABOUT TWO WEEKS AGO HE MENTIONED TO A CBS NEWSCASTER, WHO WORKS IN CHICAGO, THAT HE WAS ATTEMPTING TO CONTACT DANIEL ELLSBERG. THIS NEWSCASTER, WHOM HE DECLINED TO IDENTIFY, ADVISED HIM THAT ELLSBERG WAS RECENTLY IN MINNEAPOLIS TO ATTEND A MOCK TRIAL INVOLVING DUPONT OR THE THREE M COMPANY FOR WAR CRIMES, BEING HELD BY A GROUP HEADED BY [REDACTED] HE SUGGESTED TO SOURCE THAT [REDACTED]

*Handwritten letter 'l'*

[REDACTED] MIGHT BE ABLE TO PUT HIM IN CONTACT WITH ELLSBERG.

FEB 28 1972

SOURCE CONTACTED [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] ELLSBERG

END PAGE ONE

51 MAR 1 1972

*Handwritten signature and '5-'*

PAGE TWO

HAD LEFT MINNEAPOLIS. HE SUGGESTED THAT SOURCE CONTACT [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] AT MINNEAPOLIS [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] HAD WORKED WITH AND TRAVELED WITH DANIEL ELLSBERG. IT WAS AT THIS POINT THAT SOURCE CONTACTED [REDACTED] HE WOULD COMMUNICATE HIS REQUEST TO ELLSBERG, AND THAT ELLSBERG WOULD TELEPHONICALLY GET IN TOUCH WITH HIM. TO DATE, SOURCE HAS HEARD NOTHING FROM DANIEL OR PATRICIA ELLSBERG.

MAIL COPY SENT LOS ANGELES.

END

MRF FBI WA DC

NR 003 NY CODE

1130AM URGENT 2-22-72 ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

TO DIRECTOR 65-74060

ATT DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

BOSTON 65-5236

LOS ANGELES 105-27952

MINNEAPOLIS 65-1447

FROM NEW YORK 65-25641 2P

MC LEK - SIO

RENYTEL, FEBRUARY SIXTEEN, SEVENTY TWO AND BUTEL  
FEBRUARY SIXTEEN, SEVENTY TWO.

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Fonder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Warkent	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES  
AND FIELD OFFICES  
ADVISED BY ROUTING  
SLIP(S) OF *[Signature]*  
5-17-72 *[Signature]*

END PAGE ONE

Classified by *6080 Led/17*  
Exempt from GDS, Category *5*  
Date of Declassification Indefinite *5/16/77*

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NR 007 NY CODE

1240 AM 2-24-72

FM NITEL 2-23-72 BGW

TO DIRECTOR

ATTN: DID (65-74060)

BOSTON (65-5236)

LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

WFO (65-11613)

FROM NEW YORK (65-25641)2P

MC LEK -SIO.

RE NY AIRTEL AND LHM FEBRUARY TEN, SEVENTYTWO, AND  
BUAIRTEL FEBRUARY SEVENTEEN, SEVENTEEN, SEVENTYTWO.

HE ADVISED HE KNOWS NOTHING CONCERNING

ANY RELATIONSHIP THAT MIGHT EXIST BETWEEN [REDACTED] AND

DANIEL ELLSBERG. HE NOTED THAT HE HAS HEARD THAT [REDACTED] MAY BE

ABOARD HE DOES NOT KNOW HIS CURRENT LOCATION. HE NOTED THAT

HIS RELATIONSHIP WITH [REDACTED] HAS BEEN A PERSONAL

ONE AND HE HAS HAD NO BUSINESS DEALINGS WITH THEM. HE ADVISED

FOURTH LINE OF TEXT SHOULD READ

Mr. Callahan  
Mr. Casper  
Mr. Conrad  
Mr. Dalbey  
Mr. Cleveland  
Mr. Fender  
Mr. Bates  
Mr. Walker  
Mr. Walters  
Mr. S. G. [REDACTED]  
Tele. Rm.  
Miss Holmes  
Miss Gandy

*100  
Brennan*

DEC 25 1972 3179

FEB 23 1972

*100*

PAGE TWO

THAT A CHECK OF FIRM RECORDS ESTABLISHED THAT THEY HAVE NEVER STORED ANY MATERIAL FOR DANIEL OF PATRICIA ELLSBERG. HE AGAIN STATED THAT HE PERSONNALLY KNOWS OF NO RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN [REDACTED] AND DANIEL ELLSBERG AND THAT HE KNOWS NOTHING ABOUT THE DISSEMINATION OF THE SO CALLED PENTAGON PAPERS". [REDACTED]

ATTEMPT TO CONTACT [REDACTED] AT CONTINENTAL AMERICAN TRAVEL ON FEBRUARY TWENTYTHREE, SEVENTYTWO ESTABLISHED SHE IS OUT OF TOWN UNTIL EARLY NEXT WEEK. SHE WILL BE CONTACTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH BUREAU INSTRUCTIONS BY THE NYO UPON HER RETURN.

END

AKK FOR SIX PLS

REC 6 FBI WA RDR

HOLD

ON THAT LAST ONE LINE FIVE

E DISSEMINATION OF THE SO

RE P-RPT-THAT-LINE-PLS

ABOUT THE

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

2/23/72

CODE

TELETYPE

EX-117

URGENT

TO: SAC, NEW YORK (65-25641)

FROM: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner  
1 - Mr. J. R. Hagy

MC LEK - SIO

RE NY TELS FEBRUARY SIXTEEN AND TWENTY-TWO LAST, AND

BOSTON DEFER SUBMISSION OF LHM CONTAINING DATA REGARDING  
KRONCKE, REQUESTED BY REFERENCED BUREAU TELETYPE, PENDING  
RECEIPT OF DATA INDICATING KRONCKE BEING UTILIZED ON REGULAR  
BASIS AS GO-BETWEEN BY PERSONS INTERESTED IN CONTACTING ELLSBERG.  
COPIES BY MAIL TO BOSTON, LOS ANGELES AND MINNEAPOLIS.  
1 - BOSTON (65-5236) (BY MAIL)  
1 - LOS ANGELES (105-27952) (BY MAIL)  
1 - MINNEAPOLIS (65-1447) (BY MAIL)

JRH:bjp  
(7)

Classified by 6080 Ld/TAP  
Exempt from GDS, (S) (P) (L) (N) (D)  
Date of Declassification Indefinite 3/16/77

NOTE:

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Miller, E. \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Ponder \_\_\_\_\_  
Bates \_\_\_\_\_  
Waikart \_\_\_\_\_  
Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

NOTE CONTINUED PAGE TWO

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

RECEIVED  
FEB 24 1972  
FBI

Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller, ES	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Fender	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Walkart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

NR 026 LA CODE

809PM NITEL 2-24-72 GLD

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060) ATTN: D.I.D.

BALTIMORE (65-3896)

BOSTON (65-5236)

WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613)

FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952) 1P

*Bresnan*

*Wagner*

MC LEK, SIO.

*3:58 PM*

REFERENCE BALTIMORE TELETYPE FEBRUARY TWENTY THREE LAST.

*E*



*15*

IN THE FUTURE NISSEN CONTEMPLATES ISSUING NEW TRIAL DATE  
*LYNDSEY AND YVONNE SKENE*  
SUBPOENAS FOR [REDACTED] AND HAS REQUESTED  
THEY BE SERVED BY BUREAU AGENTS. BUREAU ADVISE IF THIS IS PERMISSIBLE.  
CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION.

END

*5-105*

2/25/72

CODE

TELETYPE

URGENT

TO: SAC, LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

1 - Mr. C. D. Brennan  
1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner  
1 - Mr. L. E. Belanger

FROM: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) - 3181  
REC-4

MC LEK - SIO

RELATEL FEBRUARY TWENTY-FOUR LAST.

BUREAU AUTHORITY GRANTED FOR LOS ANGELES AGENTS TO  
SERVE SUBPOENAS ON [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED]  
LYNDAS MAY YVONNE SUEWLE  
AS REQUESTED IN RETEL.

LEB:bjp 68  
(5)

NOTE: SIN AY AND SUEWLE

[REDACTED] will be Government witnesses against Daniel Ellsberg and Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., in the upcoming trial in Los Angeles. Original trial date was 3/7/72, and in connection therewith, the Director on 1/4/72 approved Bureau Agents serving subpoenas on [REDACTED]. Upon drawing up of a new indictment naming Russo as a codefendant, a new trial date was set for 5/9/72. Assistant to the United States Attorney David Nissen, Los Angeles, according to retel, contemplates issuing a new trial date subpoenas for [REDACTED] and requested they be served by Bureau Agents. Los Angeles requested Bureau to advise if service by Bureau Agents was permissible. Authority is being granted based upon Director's previous approval.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

FEB 25 1972

TELETYPE

53 MAR 1 1972

MAIL ROOM

TELETYPE UNIT



## REPORT

of the

## IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

## LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO.  
FBI FILE NO.  
LATENT CASE NO.

65-7406  
38774

February 29, 1972

TO: SAC, WFO

RE:

MC-LEX  
SIO

## REFERENCE:

EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: WFO  
SPECIMENS:

The fingerprints of the following individuals  
have been compared with all latent fingerprints reported  
in captioned case:

MAILED 2

FEB 29 1972

FBI

EX-115

(Continued on next page)

2 - Los Angeles (105-27952) REC-14

1 - [REDACTED] Room 612, 9th &amp; D

1 - [REDACTED] Room 608, 9th &amp; D

17 MAR 1 1972

DEH: smg  
(9)

John Edgar Hoover, Director

SIO

60 MAR 6

THIS REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

MAIL ROOM ☐TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Miller, E.S. \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Ponder \_\_\_\_\_  
Bates \_\_\_\_\_  
Waikart \_\_\_\_\_  
Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

February 29, 1972

[REDACTED]

Forty-nine additional latent print identifications were effected as follows:

Fifteen latent prints identified as fingerprints of [REDACTED] three on Green Book #2; four on LA #1, V. B. 4, Book I; two on LA #1, V. B. 3, Book IV; and six on LA #1, V. B. 3, Book II

Four latent prints identified as fingerprints of [REDACTED] one on Green Book #3; two on Green Book #4; and one on Black Book #7

Thirty latent prints identified as fingerprints of [REDACTED] two on Green Book #1; twenty-one on Green Book #2; two on Green Book #3; three on Green Book #5; and two on Green Book #6

The remaining unidentified latent prints were compared, insofar as possible, with the fingerprints of

SAC, WFO

February 29, 1972

the aforementioned persons, but no additional identifications were effected.

One latent fingerprint developed on LA #2, IV. C. 2(a) has been identified as a finger impression of [REDACTED]. All other latent fingerprints developed on the documents, except those on the Halperin Archive papers and the thirteen volumes from Washington, D. C. delivered with airtel dated 1-4-72, [REDACTED] were compared with fingerprints of [REDACTED], but no additional identifications were effected.




UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No. 65-5369

Chicago, Illinois  
February 24, 1972

DANIEL ELLSBERG  
ESPIONAGE - X

During period June 13 - 15, 1971, "The New York Times", a daily newspaper published in New York City, published the so-called "Pentagon papers". Daniel Ellsberg has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and Daniel Ellsberg, are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 37, United States Code (Conspiracy); Title 18, Section 641, United States Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property); and Title 18, Sections 793 (c), (d), and (e), United States Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that Daniel Ellsberg may have had help in reproducing and distributing these documents to various newspapers throughout the country. Investigation is being conducted to determine if Spencer B. Marx, brother-in-law of Daniel Ellsberg, may have assisted Ellsberg in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.



65-74000-3184  
ENCLOSURE

FBI

Date: 2/11/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	
Mr. Miller, ES	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Dalbey	
Mr. Cleveland	
Mr. Ponder	
Mr. Bates	
Mr. Walker	
Mr. Walters	
Mr. Soyars	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) ATTN: LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION, IDENT DIV.

FROM: SAC, WFO (65-11613)

MC LEK  
SIO

The Latent Fingerprint Section, Identification Division, is requested to compare unidentified latent fingerprints developed on the 18 volumes of the Preliminary Vietnam Task Force Study (VTFS) couriered by DANIEL ELLSBERG to Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, California, the 47 volume set of the VTFS and miscellaneous Rand, Santa Monica, documents with fingerprints that may be on file for [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] has been described as [REDACTED] active in Peace Action Coalitions and in charge of ELLSBERG's speaking engagements. Investigation conducted to date indicates possible association between [REDACTED] predating publication of the Pentagon Papers.

LEAD

THE BOSTON OFFICE

At Revere, Massachusetts - contact established [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] sources for additional identifying data on [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] furnish same to Latent Fingerprint Section, Identification Div., for assistance in locating fingerprint record for this individual.

- ② - Bureau
  - 2 - Boston (65-5236)
  - 2 - Los Angeles (105-27952)
  - 1 - New York (65-25641) (Info)
  - 1 - WFO
- CAR:teb

(8)

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_  
Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1971-413-135

SPECIAL AGENT  
ICC Miller, ES  
65-22-72  
DEH: [REDACTED]

LC# A 3874

FBI

Date: 2/25/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL

(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)  
(Attn: DOMINTEL)

FROM SAC, BOSTON (65-5236)

SUBJECT: MC LEK  
SIO

Re Bureau airtel, 2/24/72; Bureau Airtel to Baltimore, Boston, and WFO, 2/18/72; and Boston teletype, 11/4/71.

Re Boston teletype reflects that, during a canvass of logical hotels in the Cambridge, Mass., area concerning occupancy by any individuals connected with this case, it was determined that ANTHONY RUSSO, 272 Entrada, Santa Monica, Calif., resided in Room 212 at the Sheraton Commander Hotel, Cambridge, Mass., during the period 7/29/71 through 8/1/71. During that stay, RUSSO was billed for telephone calls on 7/31/71 and 8/1/71 to telephone number [REDACTED]

Re Bureau airtel, 2/18/72, requested data concerning connection of [REDACTED] with this case. In this regard, [REDACTED] above, stated during interview that he stays at his Boston apartment for only two days a week and added that [REDACTED]

- ② - Bureau (RM)  
1 - Los Angeles (105-27952) (info) (RM)  
1 - New Haven (65-2389) (info) (RM)  
1 - Boston  
- REB:mej  
(5)

REC-21 65-74060-3190

FEB 25 1972

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_

M Per \_\_\_\_\_

MAR 1 - 1972

[REDACTED] is caretaker of his apartment who is present on a permanent basis. [REDACTED] noted that, although [REDACTED] does not stay in [REDACTED] apartment, he has a telephone in the apartment building which would be accessible to persons using [REDACTED] apartment. For that reason, [REDACTED] suggested that, if the Bureau planned to obtain the toll records for him [REDACTED] they should also obtain the toll records for [REDACTED] telephone since that telephone could also have been used by persons staying in [REDACTED] apartment.

In that regard, it should be noted that telephone calls were made from [REDACTED] telephone number in the basement of [REDACTED] on several occasions and a call was also made from [REDACTED] telephone number to a number which appears to be identical with that number, namely [REDACTED]. Based on that information, [REDACTED] assumption that [REDACTED] telephone could have been used by guests in his apartment with or without [REDACTED] permission appears to be correct.

[REDACTED] will be contacted for interview immediately upon his return to the Boston area which is assumed to be 2/28/72, at which time further background information regarding [REDACTED] will be developed as well as other leads outstanding will be discussed with [REDACTED]. Upon conclusion of [REDACTED] interview, a recommendation will be submitted regarding interview of [REDACTED].



# FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Washington, D. C. 20537

## REPORT

of the

## IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

### LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

February 22, 1972

YOUR FILE NO.

FBI FILE NO.

LATENT CASE NO.

65-11613

65-74060-3185

A-38774

TO:

SAC, WFO

RE:

MC LEX  
SIO

REFERENCE:

Airtel 2-11-72

EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY:

WFO

SPECIMENS:

No fingerprint record located of [REDACTED]

Latent prints developed on eighteen volumes of LA #1, couriered by Daniel Ellsberg, LA #2 through LA #22, and LA #32, have been compared, but no identification effected with the fingerprints of the following individuals:

MAILED 14

FEB 22 1972

FBI

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Miller, E.S. \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Ponder \_\_\_\_\_  
Baker \_\_\_\_\_  
Tamm \_\_\_\_\_  
Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

2 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

1 - [REDACTED] Room 608, 9th & D

1 - [REDACTED] Room 612, 9th & D

DEH: smg

(9)

John Edgar Hoover, Director

THIS REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

FBI

Date: 2/24/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)  
(ATT: DID)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (65-25641)

SUBJECT: MC LEK - SIO

REC-21

Re Boston airtel to Bureau, 2/4/72.

Reairtel requested NYO to review its indices concerning [REDACTED] who, as of 9/20/67, was at the Union Theological Seminary, NYC.

Files of NYO contain information indicating that on 10/17/67 the US Department of Justice had received a communication signed by certain faculty members of the Union Theological Seminary, NYC, supporting the action of certain students attending the Seminary who had turned in their draft cards on 10/16/67 in protest against US involvement in Vietnam. Among those who signed this communication was [REDACTED]

On 3/10/65, "The Washington Post" newspaper contained an advertisement sponsored by the National Committee for the Repeal of the McCarren Act, which listed some 300 people who called upon the "Executive and Legislative representatives to reassess an Act which, borne in panic and productive of fear, adds nothing to our security and diminishes the honor of the democracy." One of those listed in the advertisement was [REDACTED] Union Theological Seminary.

2-Bureau (RM)  
1-Boston (65-5236) (RM)  
1-Los Angeles (105-27952) (RM)  
1-New York

REC-21

65-74060-B193

TJD:EG  
(6)

SI-113

FEB 26 1972

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_

SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

★ U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 71-413-138

[REDACTED]

The indices of the Boston Office contain no information identifiable with [REDACTED]

LEAD

The Boston Office recommends that since there is no derogatory information pertaining to [REDACTED] and the telephone contact reported above was of [REDACTED] duration, he should be interviewed concerning his association with DANIEL ELLSBERG and possibly the distribution of the Pentagon Papers provided the indices of the New York Office contain no derogatory information regarding [REDACTED]

In connection with the Union Theological Seminary, it is recalled that [REDACTED] of New York City, who has been associated with DANIEL ELLSBERG, also attended Union Theological Seminary.

[REDACTED]

Revised - see  
Buairtel to DS 2/29/72.  
JAH

RA

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	
Mr. Miller, ES	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Dalbey	
Mr. Cleveland	
Mr. Ponder	
Mr. Bates	
Mr. Warkent	
Mr. Walters	
Mr. Soyars	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

NR 021 LA CODE

MAR 1 1972

*Wick*

10:30PM NITEL 2-29-72 JCD

TELETYPE

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060).....ATTN: D I D

BOSTON (65-5236)

FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

*Bob/Soy*

MC LEK, SIO.

[REDACTED]

ADMINISTRATIVE. REFERENCE LOS ANGELES TELETYPE FEBRUARY TWENTY EIGHT LAST.

DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY DAVID R. NISSEN ADVISED FEBRUARY TWENTY NINE INSTANT DEFENSE MOTIONS CHALLENGING JURY Y SELECTION SYSTEM DENIED THIS DATE BY FEDERAL JUDGE MATTHEW BYRNE. DEFENSE DURING HEARINGS REQUESTED ALL PAST GRAND JURY TRANSCRIPTS PERTAINING TO INSTANT MATTER BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THEM, POINTING OUT THEY BELIEVE THOROUGH REVIEW COULD FURNISH BASIS FOR

EX-101

REC-43

65-74060-3198

*5-10m*

END 53 MAR 7 1972

4 MAR 3 1972

cc To: ISD  
Adm. data deleted

PAGE TWO

DIMISSION OF CHARGES AGAINST ANTHONY JOSEPH RUSSO, JR. JUDGE BYRNE ORDERED ALL SUCH TRANSCRIPTS BE PROVIDED HIM FOR IN CAMERA REVIEW PRIOR TO MAKING A RULING ON THIS MOTION.

NISSEN ANTICIPATES FURTHER HEARINGS WILL BE HELD MARCH ONE NEXT.

LOS ANGELES WILL CONTACT HOLIDAY INN, SUPRA, SUBSEQUENT TO ELLSBERG'S DEPARTURE, FOR DETAILS CONCERNING HIS REGISTRATION AND POSSIBLE TELEPHONE CHARGES.

CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION.

END

HOLD



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Los Angeles, California

February 22, 1972

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No.

DANIEL ELLSBERG;  
ANTHONY J. RUSSO, JR.;  
CONSPIRACY -  
THEFT OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY;  
ESPIONAGE

During period June 13-15, 1971, "The New York Times," a daily newspaper published in New York City, published the so-called "Pentagon papers." Daniel Ellsberg has since publicly admitted being responsible for making the documents available to the news media in the United States. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and Daniel Ellsberg are currently under indictment for violation of Title 18, Section 371, United States Code (Conspiracy); Title 18, Section 641, United States Code (Stealing, Concealing, Unauthorized Conveying and Receiving Stolen Government Property); and Title 18, Sections 793 (c), (d) and (e), United States Code (Espionage Act). Investigation has indicated that Daniel Ellsberg may have had help in reproducing and distributing these documents to various newspapers throughout the country. Investigation is being conducted to determine if Spencer B. Marx, brother-in-law of Daniel Ellsberg, may have assisted Ellsberg in the release of the "Pentagon papers" to the news media.

ENCLOSURE

65-74060-3195

REC 241372

TELETYPE

REC 34

p. 5, 6

COB  
B M

Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	
Mr. Miller	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Dalbey	
Mr. Cleveland	
Mr. Fonder	
Mr. Bates	
Mr. Walker	
Mr. Walters	
Mr. Soyars	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

NR 017 WF CODE

8:29PM IMMEDIATE 2-24-72 ALM

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)

ATTENTION: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

BALTIMORE (65-3896)

BOSTON (65-5236)

LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

FROM WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613) 8P

MC LEK. SIO

FOR BACKGROUND ASSISTANCE OF BALTIMORE, COPIES OF WFO  
AIRTEL AND LETTERHEAD MEMORANDUM TO BUREAU, AUGUST NINE, LAST,  
CONTAINING CONSIDERABLE INFORMATION RE [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] AND DISPATCH NEWS SERVICE BEING FORWARDED UNDER SEPARATE  
COVER.

RECHECK THIS DATE WITH A SOURCE AT NATIONAL PRESS BUILDING  
CONFIRMED THAT DISPATCH NEWS SERVICE HAS NOT OCCUPIED SPACE IN  
BUILDING SINCE DECEMBER, SEVENTY. WITH REFERENCE TO INFORMATION  
IN ABOVE MENTIONED LETTERHEAD MEMORANDUM REPORTING CERTIFICATE  
OF AMENDMENT FILED BY [REDACTED] IN WASHINGTON, D.C. CORPORATION  
RECORDS, MARCH ONE, LAST, CHANGING CORPORATE NAME FROM DISPATCH  
NEWS SERVICE TO REPORTERS NEWS SERVICE, INCORPORATED, SOURCE

END PAGE ONE

cc to ISD  
Adm. data

50 MAR 7 1972

5- [Signature]

PAGE TWO

ADVISED THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO RECORD OF OCCUPANCY BY DISPATCH NEWS SERVICE IN NATIONAL PRESS BUILDING BEYOND DECEMBER, SEVENTY, OR SUBSEQUENT OCCUPANCY BY REPORTERS NEWS SERVICE. SOURCE CONFIRMED AGAIN TODAY THAT [REDACTED] CONTINUES TO OCCUPY ROOM [REDACTED] IN HIS OWN NAME, WHICH HE ORIGINALLY RENTED IN APRIL, SEVENTYONE. THE DOOR TO [REDACTED] SPACE DOES NOT CONTAIN ANY INDIVIDUAL OR ORGANIZATIONAL LISTING AND NO OTHER INDIVIDUALS ARE KNOWN TO OCCUPY THE SPACE WITH HIM. SINCE [REDACTED] ATTESTED TO THE CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT FILED BY [REDACTED], IT IS LIKELY THAT [REDACTED] OCCUPANCY IS RELATED TO REPORTERS

NEWS SERVICE

END PAGE TWO

PAGE FOUR

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

HAD NO

KNOWLEDGE OF ANY PARTICIPATION OF [REDACTED] IN  
DISTRIBUTION OF THE PENTAGON PAPERS OR FURTHER KNOWLEDGE OF  
END PAGE FOUR

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MAR 1 1972  
pcw  
TELETYPE

Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Miller, ES ✓  
Mr. Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Gonder \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bates \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Walkart \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

*Lutz*

*Wagner*

*DeLoach*

NR 008 PH CODE

1155-.AM URGENT 3-1-72 SDB

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)

ATTENTION: DOMINTEL

BOSTON (65-5236)

LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613)

NEW YORK (65-25641)

FROM PHILADELPHIA (65-6346) 2P

MC LEX; SIO

[REDACTED] FEBRUARY TWENTY FIVE [REDACTED]  
NINETEEN SEVENTY TWO MADE AVAILABLE INFORMATION REFLECTING  
THE HARRISBURG DEFENSE COMMITTEE ON MARCH TWO NINETEEN  
SEVENTY TWO WOULD SPONSOR A PANEL DISCUSSION ON "CROSSROADS,  
COUNTER TRIAL, AN OVERVIEW OF THE CRIMINALITY OF THE INDO-  
CHINA WAR." ONE OF THE PARTICIPANTS WAS TO BE DANIEL ELLSBERG.

*l*

~~3, 5, 8, 13, 9, 3~~

END page one

EX-101

REC-45

65-74060-3203

4 MAR 3 1972

F30

5 MAR 7 1972

*gms*

Ø 65-6346

PAGE TWO

[REDACTED] ON FEBRUARY TWENTY EIGHT  
NINETEEN SEVENTY TWO ADVISED ELLSBERG WAS SCHEDULED FOR  
COUNTER TRIAL PANEL. AS OF FEBRUARY TWENTY EIGHT NINETEEN  
SEVENTY TWO ELLSBERG HAD NOT CONFIRMED HE WOULD APPEAR AS A  
PANELIST AT THE WORKSHOP CONCERNING THE COUNTER TRIAL  
SPONSORED BY THE HDC. WORKSHOP TO BE HELD AT AUGSBURG  
LUTHERAN CHURCH, HARRISBURG.

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

END

HOLD

JDJ FBI WASH DC

Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Fonder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Walkart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

NR 019 BA CODE

7:35 PM NITEL 2-28-72 ALB

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)

WFO (65-11613)

FROM BALTIMORE (65-3896) P

*Handwritten:* CCB/Brennan

CMC LEX, SIO.



REPEATED CONTACT WITH [REDACTED] SEAT  
 PLEASANT, MARYLAND, HAS DEVELOPED NO POSITIVE RESULTS RE  
 FURTHER IDENTIFYING DATA<sup>A</sup> OF THE FOUR INDIVIDUALS  
 PRESENT WITH [REDACTED] AT THE "WASHINGTON  
 POST" ON JUNE THIRTY, SEVENTY-ONE, WHEN "PENTAGON PAPERS"  
 END PAGE ONE

EX-101

F20 REC-45

65-74060-3205

5 MAR 7 1972

MAR 3 1972

"cc to 150  
 Adm. data deleted"

*Handwritten signature:* [Signature]

PAGE TWO

BA 65-3896

WERE DUPLICATED. [REDACTED] DOUBTED HE WOULD BE ABLE TO SECURE  
SUCH INFORMATION. [REDACTED]

ADMINISTRATIVE:  
[REDACTED]

END. 315

CORR: PAGE ONE EIGHTH LINE THIRD WORD SHOULD BE "DATA" AND NOT DATE.

END

FBI

Date: 2/25/72

Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller, ES	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Wolkart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)

ATTENTION: DOMESTIC  
INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

FROM: SAC, WFO (65-11613)

MC LEK  
SIO

Enclosed for information of the Bureau, Boston, and Los Angeles is one copy each of an Internal Defense Department memorandum dated 1/11/72 made available 2/24/72 to Dr. FRED STIRES of the DOD Legal Counsel's Office. The memo records STIRES document analysis of the Simons Papers and Beacon Press publication of Pentagon Papers.

EX-101

REC-45

65-74060-3208

4 MAR 3 1972

ENCLOSURE

- ② - Bureau (Enc. 1)
- 1 - Boston (Info) (Enc. 1)
- 1 - Los Angeles (Info) (Enc. 1)
- 1 - WFO

BCR:cas  
(5)

Approved: 61 MAR 10 1972  
Special Agent in Charge


Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

PAGE FIVE

WAR" WOULD BE COMPLETED. -

ADMINISTRATIVE

FOR THE INFO OF THE SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION BUREAU AIRTEL [REDACTED]  
FEBRUARY SEVENTEEN LAST ADVISED THAT INFORMATION DEVELOPED  
TO DATE CONCERNING [REDACTED] POINTS TO [REDACTED] AS A DEFINITE  
SUSPECT IN THE DESSEMINATION OF THE PENTAGON PAPERS TO VARIOUS  
NEWSPAPERS THROUGHOUT THE U.S. IN LATE JUNE THROUGH JULY LAST,  
AND DIRECTED DETAILED INVESTIGATION OF HIS ACTIVITIES AND  
ASSOCIATES TO FULLY ESTABLISH HIS WHEREABOUTS DURING THE  
PERIOD MARCH THROUGH JULY LAST. THE BUREAU INSTRUCTED THAT  
LEADS BE SET OUT BY TELETYPE TO AUXILIARY OFFICES WITH  
INSTRUCTIONS TO FURNISH REPLY BY SIMILAR MEANS. WFO WILL  
SUBMIT PERTINENT INFO DEVELOPED RE [REDACTED] IN NEXT INVESTIGATIVE  
REPORT.



END PAGE FIVE

BA

FEB 28 1972

TELETYPE

Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Walker	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. S. G. ...	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

NR 023 LA CODE

5:43 PM NITEL 2-28-72 RVM

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060) ATTN: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

BOSTON (65-5236)

FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952) 5P.

REC-28

CBS  
Bret...

MC LEX, SIO.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

94-58448 (S. G. ...)

94-58448

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN

END PAGE ONE cc to ISD  
Adm. data deleted

17 XEROX

MAR 8 1972

4 MAR 3 1972



97 MAR 7 1972

3209  
[Signature]


LA 105-27952

PAGE TWO

LOS ANGELES SPECIAL AGENTS, BY CHANCE, OBSERVED DANIEL AND PATRICIA ELLSBERG ON WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SANTA MONICA, DURING MORNING FEBRUARY TWENTY EIGHT INSTANT IN COMPANY OF ANTHONY J. RUSSO, JR.



ADMINISTRATIVE.

DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY DAVID R. NISSEN ADVISED FEBRUARY TWENTY EIGHT INSTANT AN INFORMAL HEARING WAS HELD BEFORE FEDERAL JUDGE MATTHEW BYRNE FEBRUARY TWENTY EIGHT INSTANT. NISSEN REPRESENTED THE GOVERNMENT AND CHARLES NESSON REPRESENTED THE DEFENSE. IT WAS INDICATED TO JUDGE BYRNE BY THE DEFENSE THEY ANTICIPATE THEY WILL BE READY FOR TRIAL AS SCHEDULED THIS COMING MAY. COURT FURTHER ADVISED DEFENSE WILL ADD FORMER SENATOR CHARLES GOODELL AND 

END PAGE ONE

*Caliz*

PAGE TWO

LA 105-27952

UNIVERSITY, LOS ANGELES, AS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD ON FEBRUARY  
TWENTY NINE NEXT.

REGARDING [REDACTED]

AT [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] IN NINETEEN SIXTY SIX.

IN ADDITION [REDACTED] ACCOMPANIED BY DAVID R. NISSEN, AT A TIME  
WHEN THEY WERE BOTH ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEYS VISITED AT SEAT OF  
GOVERNMENT WITH THE DIRECTOR ON SEPTEMBER TWENTY SIX NINETEEN  
SIXTY NINE.

LOS ANGELES SPECIAL INDICES NEGATIVE CONCERNING [REDACTED]

NISSEN ADVISED THE HEARINGS TO BE HELD BEFORE JUDGE BYRNE  
FEBRUARY TWENTY NINE NEXT WILL CONCERN THE DEFENSE'S MOTIONS  
REGARDING DISCOVERY.

CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION.

END

BJM FBI WASHDC

CLR

Airtel

To: SAC, Cleveland (65-3521)

3/3/72

From: Director, FBI (65-74060)

1 - Mr. Wagoner  
1 - Mr. Hagy

MC LEX  
SIO

ReBuairtel 2/18/72 to Baltimore, Boston, and WFO, copy enclosed for Cleveland. Also refer to Cleveland airtel 1/13/72 and Boston airtel 1/5/72.


Enclosure

1 - Boston (65-5236)  
1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

19 MAR 3 1972

JRH:bsf  
(7)

Mr. Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Miller, E.S. \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Ponder \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bates \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Waikart \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_



SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

MAIL ROOM ☒

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

JRH

Airtel to SAC, Cleveland  
RE: MC LEK  
65-74060

of information concerning [REDACTED] request appropriate investigation by teletype, instructing auxiliary offices to submit results of their investigation to Bureau, Boston, and Los Angeles in similar manner, to be followed by investigative report.

NOTE:

Investigation has failed to determine how the "Pentagon papers" were distributed to various U. S. newspapers after initial publication of these documents by "The New York Times" on 6/13/71; however, certain individuals resident of [REDACTED] Boston, during this period have been developed as suspects in this matter and investigation has determined [REDACTED] who lives in the basement at this address, is acquainted with these individuals and may have assisted them. Investigation to further identify [REDACTED] is under way and instructions contained above compliment this inquiry.

3/2/72

AIRTEL

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner  
1 - Mr. H. J. Morgan

To: SAC, Boston (65-5236)

From: Director, FBI (65-74060) — 3211

MC LEK  
SIO

REC-88

ReNYtel 2/28/72 containing interview of [REDACTED]

In view of statement of [REDACTED] that neither he nor his wife are acquainted with [REDACTED] and the possibility that his son, [REDACTED] who is currently attending Harvard University, may have made the telephone call in question. Boston should immediately take steps to fully identify [REDACTED] and submit recommendations concerning his interview. Background information should be furnished so that a review of Bufiles may be instituted. If, in fact, [REDACTED] called his parents from the residence of [REDACTED]

It would strongly indicate the possibility of his association with these individuals in question and it would appear he would have knowledge of possible interest to this investigation.

MAILED 23

MAR 1 - 1972

1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

1 FBI New York (65-25641)

HJM:bjp

(7)

NOTE:

[REDACTED] Boston has indicated he rented his residence to [REDACTED] during portion of 1971 and during that period numerous telephone calls were charged to his residence telephone which he alleges were made by [REDACTED] or other students residing there at that time. Call was placed to the residence of [REDACTED] on 6/8/71 and in view

NOTE CONTINUED PAGE TWO

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Airtel to Boston  
RE: MC LEK  
65-74060

NOTE CONTINUED:

of [REDACTED] lack of knowledge of persons of interest to us and possibility his son made the call in question, investigation should be conducted to fully identify his son and entertain recommendations concerning his interview. It is pointed out the son of [REDACTED] could possibly have knowledge of involvement of [REDACTED] in dissemination of the "Pentagon papers."



Washington, D. C. 20537

**REPORT**  
of the  
**IDENTIFICATION DIVISION**  
LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO.  
FBI FILE NO.  
LATENT CASE NO.

**65-7406**  
**38774**

**March 2, 1972**

TO: **SAC, WFO**

RE: **MC LEK**  
**SIO**

REFERENCE:  
EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: **WFO**  
SPECIMENS:

The latent prints developed on the "Courier 18" volumes of LA #1 and those developed on volumes of LA #2 through LA #22 and LA #32 have been compared with the fingerprints of the following San Monica employees:

MAILED 2

MAR 2 - 1972

FBI

19 MAR 3 1972

(Continued on next page)

2 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

1 - Room 612, 9th & D

1 - Room 608, 9th & D

DEH:jms

(9)

John Edgar Hoover, Director

THIS REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Kosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Miller, E.S. \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Ponder \_\_\_\_\_  
Sates \_\_\_\_\_  
Waikart \_\_\_\_\_  
Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Boyers \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_


97 MAR 7 1972

MAIL ROOM ☐

1972

SAC, WFO

March 2, 1972



Two latent fingerprints developed on the volume entitled "Final Report OSD, Task Force, Vietnam and Index," part of LA #2, received Latent Fingerprint Section 11-26-71 have been identified as finger impressions of [REDACTED]

The remaining unidentified latent prints developed on these volumes were compared, insofar as possible, with the fingerprints of the aforementioned individuals, but no additional identifications were effected.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Washington, D. C. 20537



## REPORT

of the

IDENTIFICATION DIVISION  
LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

BA

YOUR FILE NO. 65-11613  
FBI FILE NO. 65-74060  
LATENT CASE NO. A-38774

March 3, 1972

TO: SAC, WFO

RE: MC LEK  
SIO

REFERENCE: Fingerprints received by courier on 2-25-72  
EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: WFO  
SPECIMENS: Fingerprints of [REDACTED] for

elimination purposes

The latent prints developed on the courier  
eighteen volumes of LA #1 and those developed on the  
volumes of LA #2 were compared, insofar as possible,  
but no identifications were effected, with the sub-  
mitted inked prints.

MAILED 2

MAR 3

FBI

REC-14

65-74060-3214

Inasmuch as the prints present on the cards  
are blurred and not completely recorded, conclusive  
comparisons could not be conducted in all instances.

Enc. (4)

(Continued on next page)

2 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

1 - SA [REDACTED]

Room 612, 9th and D

1 - SA [REDACTED]

Room 608, 9th and D

19 MAR 6 1972

DCH:bka

(9)

John Edgar Hoover, Director

THIS REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

MAIL ROOM ☐TELETYPE UNIT ☐

57 MAR 9 1972

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Miller, E.S. \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Ponder \_\_\_\_\_  
Bates \_\_\_\_\_  
Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Boyers \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

SAC, WFO

March 3, 1972

Photographic copies of the inked prints  
have been retained for possible future use.

The submitted prints are enclosed.

RA  
ST

FBI

Date: 2/25/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_

(Type in plaintext or code)

REC-58

Via \_\_\_\_\_

AIRTEL

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)

FROM: <sup>518</sup> ~~4~~ BOSTON (65-5236)

SUBJECT: MC LEX

*Wapner*

Re New York nitels, 2/16/72, 2/22/72 and  
2/25/72. Minneapolis nitel, 2/16/72. Bureau teletype,  
2/16/72.



- ① - Bureau (RM)
  - 2 - New York (65-25641) (RM)
  - 2 - Minneapolis (65-1447)
  - 2 - Boston
- REB/lp  
(8)

ce  
del  
6100

Classified by 6080 *Sed/TAP*  
Exempt from GDS, Category   
Date of Declassification Indefinite *5/16/77*

NO FEB 28 1972

*51*  
*AD*  
*Spec. Inv. Office*

61 MAR 10 1972

Special Agent in Charge

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

EX-112

Airtel

To: SAC, WFO (65-11613)

3/6/72

From: Director, FBI (65-74060) - 3216

1 - Mr. Wagoner  
1 - Mr. Hagy

MC LEK  
SIO

ReWFOtels 3/1/72 and 2/29/72.


to rent a

1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

JRH:bsf  
(6)

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

Mr. Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Miller, E.S. \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Ponder \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bates \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Whitely \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_



TELETYPE UNIT ☐

*Jan*  
JRH

Airtel to SAC, WFO  
RE: MC LEK  
65-74060

[REDACTED]

You should promptly identify [REDACTED] and in the absence of derogatory information in your files concerning [REDACTED] you should locate and interview him concerning his knowledge of [REDACTED] with special emphasis placed on activities of [REDACTED] during the period March-July, 1971. Determine from [REDACTED] dates [REDACTED] resided at the [REDACTED] address as well as identity of other individuals with whom [REDACTED] may have lived while at this address. Bureau files contain no information identifiable with [REDACTED] based on data furnished in referenced teletype 2/29/72. [REDACTED] however, may be identical with [REDACTED] In

[REDACTED] 1965 this individual resided at [REDACTED] and was employed by the Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, Bureau of Far Eastern Affairs, U. S. Department of State. Bureau files contain no information concerning [REDACTED] which would preclude his interview at this time.

NOTE:

[REDACTED] has been identified as a suspect in the dissemination of the "Pentagon papers" to various U. S. newspapers during the period June-July, 1971, and Bureau recently instructed pertinent offices, including WFO, to determine [REDACTED] whereabouts, contacts, and activities during this period. Prior investigation ascertained [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] during part of this period; however, continuing investigation by WFO surfaced conflicting dates for this residency. [REDACTED] and Daniel Ellsberg suggest possible answer to conflicting data. Matter can apparently be definitely resolved through interview of individual who resided at this address since 1965.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MAR 4 1972

TELETYPE

Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller, ES	✓
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Walkart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

NR 003 NH CODED

856PM NITEL 3/4/72 LF

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060), (ATTN: DID), AND SACS,

LOS ANGELES (105-27952), BOSTON (65-5236)

FROM: SAC, NEW HAVEN (65-2389)

CAB  
CD BRENNAN

MC LEX - SIO

RE: NEW HAVEN NITELS, MARCH ONE, LAST AND FEBRUARY TWENTY-FIVE LAST.

DANIEL ELLSBERG SPOKE ON MARCH THREE LAST AT YALE UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL AT ONE FORTY-FIVE PM AND AT TEMPLE MISHKAN ISRAEL, HAMDEN, CONNECTICUT AT EIGHT FIFTEEN PM.

HIS TALK AT YALE UNIVERSITY WAS SPONSORED BY CALHOUN COLLEGE FELLOWSHIP. HE SPOKE ON "NIXON AND THE WAR; WHAT'S GOING ON." NHO UNABLE TO AFFORD TAPE COVERAGE OF THE SPEECH AT YALE UNDER SECURE CONDITIONS. WYBC, YALE RADIO STATION TAPED THE SPEECH FOR REPLAY EVENING OF MARCH THREE LAST. THIS REPLAY MONITORED BY NHO, HOWEVER, QUALITY OF ORIGINAL RECORDING SO POOR THE REBROADCAST OF THE SPEECH WAS ILLEGIBLE.

END PAGE ONE

97 MAR 9 1972

cc L 150  
data received

3217  
MAR 7 1972  
gms/15

NH (65-2389)

PAGE TWO

SOURCES IN ATTENDANCE ADVISED ELLSBERG MADE NO COMMENTS CONCERNING HIS RELEASING OF THE PENTAGON PAPERS AND HIS CURRENT INDICTMENT ON CHARGES RELATING TO THAT ACT.

ELLSBERG'S TALK ON THE EVENING OF MARCH THREE, LAST AT TEMPLE MISHKAN TAPED BY NHO. AGAIN ELLSBERG MADE NO DIRECT STATEMENTS CONCERNING THE CURRENT CRIMINAL CHARGES PENDING AGAINST HIM BY THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. HIS TALK RELATED TO THE GENERAL HISTORY OF THE U.S. INVOLVEMENT IN VIETNAM GOING BACK TO THE U.S. SUPPORT OF THE FRENCH GOVERNMENT DURING THEIR FIGHTING IN VIETNAM IN FORTY-SIX.

THERE WERE APPROXIMATELY TWO HUNDRED PEOPLE IN ATTENDANCE AT ELLSBERG'S TALK AT THE YALE LAW SCHOOL. DONATIONS FOR THE ELLSBERG DEFENSE FUND WERE SOLICITED AT THE DOOR.

AT THE ELLSBERG TALK ON THE EVENING OF MARCH THREE LAST THERE WERE APPROXIMATELY FOURTEEN HUNDRED PEOPLE IN ATTEND-

END PAGE TWO

NH (65-2389)

PAGE THREE

ANCE. NO ADMISSION WAS CHARGED NOR WAS ANY SOLICITATION  
MADE FOR THE ELLSBERG DEFENSE FUND. PSI ADVISED THAT HE  
DID NOT BELIEVE ANY FUNDS WERE PAID TO ELLSBERG BY THE  
TEMPLE MISHKAN ISRAEL.

LHM FOLLOWS WITH TRANSCRIPTION OF ELLSBERG 'S SPEECH  
AT TEMPLE MISHKAN ISRAEL.

END

MRF FBI WA DC

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MARCH 1972

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Elshoff	
Mr. Miller, ES	ESM
Mr. Callahan	ST
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Dalbey	
Mr. Cleveland	
Mr. Ponder	
Mr. Bates	
Mr. Waikart	
Mr. Walters	
Mr. Soyars	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

NR 014 MM CODE

1134PM NITEL 3-3-72 WTC

TO DIRECTOR 65-74060 ATTN: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

LOS ANGELES 105-27952

BOSTON 65-5236

NEW YORK 65-25641

WASHINGTON FIELD 65-11613

FROM MIAMI 65-3264 2P

MCLEK, SIO

*C.D. [Signature]*

RE WFO TELETYPE TO BUREAU, ET AL, MARCH ONE, SEVENTYTWO.

ON MARCH TWO, SEVENTYTWO, [REDACTED], EASTERN AIRLINES

(EAL), MIAMI, ADVISED THAT A MAJORITY OF PASSENGERS WHO  
UTILIZED EAL SHUTTLES BETWEEN WASHINGTON, D.C. AND NEW YORK  
AND BETWEEN NEW YORK AND BOSTON WRITE THEIR OWN TICKETS  
AND FREQUENTLY PURCHASE THE TICKETS WHEN ALREADY AIRBORNE.  
IN THESE CASES THE ONLY RECORD IS THE BOARDING PASS WHICH

IS A COPY OF THE FLIGHT COUPON. ALL EAL SHUTTLE FLIGHT  
BOARDING PASSES ARE FORWARDED TO MIAMI WHERE THEY ARE  
MAINTAINED FOR ONLY NINETY DAYS AND THEN ARE DESTROYED.

THEREAFTER, THERE IS A RECORD OF THE FLIGHT AND NUMBER OF

END PAGE ONE

cc to 150  
Plan. data deleted

*1*

REC-45 65-74060-3219

10 MAR 7 1972

*Jan 15*

97 MAR 9 1972

PAGE TWO

PASSENGERS WHO UTILIZED THE SHUTTLE TICKETS BUT NO RECORD OF THE IDENTITY OF THE INDIVIDUAL PASSENGERS.

SOME PASSENGERS USING THE SHUTTLES BUY REGULAR TICKETS AND THESE ARE MAINTAINED BY EAL FOR ONE YEAR LIKE ALL OTHER REGULAR TICKETS.

IN VIEW OF THE ABOVE INFORMATION, IT IS NOT ONLY POSSIBLE BUT LIKELY THAT [REDACTED] COULD HAVE TRAVELED ON EAL SHUTTLE FLIGHTS DURING THE SPRING OF NINETEEN SEVENTY ONE AND EAL WOULD HAVE NO RECORD.

[REDACTED] MADE AVAILABLE THE REGULAR TICKETS USED ON [REDACTED] EAL SHUTTLE FLIGHTS ORIGINATING AT WASHINGTON, D.C. DESTINED TO NEW YORK, NEWARK AND BOSTON ON APRIL TWENTYEIGHT, SEVENTYONE, MAY FOURTEEN SEVENTYONE AND JUNE TWENTYTWO, SEVENTYONE. REVIEW OF THESE RECORDS ON MARCH TWO AND THREE, SEVENTYTWO FAILED TO LOCATE A RECORD OF TRAVEL BY [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] ADVISED HE HAS INSTITUTED A SEARCH FOR A TICKET POSSIBLY USED BY [REDACTED] ON MARCH EIGHTEEN, SEVENTYONE. HE INDICATED IT SHOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW BY MIAMI BY MARCH SIX, SEVENTYTWO.

INVESTIGATION CONTINUING AT MIAMI /.

END

FBI

Date: 2/29/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Walkart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)

ATTENTION: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE  
DIVISION AND IDENTIFICATION  
DIVISION

FROM: SAC, WFO (65-11613)

MC LEK  
SIO

For information of recipient offices, fingerprints of the following named individuals which are desired for comparison purposes with latents developed on various Vietnam Task Force Study (VTFS) documents have not been located. The offices having primary knowledge of these individuals, all of whom have previously been identified as associates or possible associates of DANIEL ELLSBERG, are indicated in parenthesis:

REC-45  
[REDACTED] (WFO) 65-74060-3221  
[REDACTED] (LOS ANGELES)  
[REDACTED] (DENVER)

15 MAR 7 1972

- 3-2-72  
1- Bureau  
2- Alexandria (65-67)  
1- Baltimore (65-3896)  
2- Boston (65-5236)  
2- Columbia (65-76)  
1- Denver (105-4507)  
2- Los Angeles (105-27952)  
1- New Haven (65-2389)  
1- New York (65-25641)  
1- WFO

BCR:ss  
(15)

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

Special Agent in Charge

97 MAR 9 1972

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1971-413-135

WFO 65-11613

PATRICIA VALERIE ELLSBERG (BOSTON)  
[REDACTED] (BOSTON - NEW YORK)  
[REDACTED] (BALTIMORE - BOSTON - WFO)  
[REDACTED] (BOSTON - WFO)  
[REDACTED] (BOSTON - NEW HAVEN)  
[REDACTED] (NEW YORK)  
[REDACTED] (WFO)  
[REDACTED] (LOS ANGELES)

Although it is entirely possible the above individuals have never been fingerprinted, it is requested that all offices remain especially alert in their investigations to any possible availability of prints of these individuals.

The fingerprints of the following additional individuals, all former or present civilian or military employees of the Department of Defense (DOD) who may have had occasion to handle the examined VTFS documents, have not been located in FBI Identification Division files and are desired for elimination purposes:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

WFO is coordinating with DOD efforts to locate or obtain fingerprints of the above individuals. DOD is directing its primary efforts to locate or obtain prints within DOD channels of individuals indicated by asterisk (\*). WFO will contact the Government Printing Office regarding availability of fingerprints of [REDACTED] will contact Arms Control and Disarmament Agency regarding availability of fingerprints of [REDACTED] and will attempt to determine whereabouts of [REDACTED] and contact [REDACTED] Leads are being set out hereinafter to Alexandria and Columbia Offices to obtain fingerprints of [REDACTED] respectively.

A further review of files by WFO suggests that some of the yet unidentified latents could be identifiable with

WFO 65-11613

the fingerprints of [REDACTED] the Rand Top Secret Control Officer who succeeded [REDACTED] MARY ELLSBERG, daughter of DANIEL ELLSBERG who was present at the Xeroxing session at Sinay Associates; [REDACTED] current Agency for International Development Official and former close associate of ELLSBERG who visited with ELLSBERG at Rand Corporation, Santa Monica; and [REDACTED] the Defense Industrial Security Official at Los Angeles who packed the VTFS documents recovered by DOD from the Rand Corporation, Santa Monica. Appropriate leads are set forth below.

REQUEST OF FBI IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

Attempt to locate fingerprints of [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] and compare with latents developed on all VTFS documents examined containing Los Angeles exhibit numbers.

*Prints located  
Results & Comparisons  
forwarded 3/3/72  
Report 3/3/72  
JFK*

LEADS

ALEXANDRIA

AT ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA. Will contact [REDACTED] Institute for Defense Analysis, Arlington, Virginia, and request his cooperation in identifying location of previously taken prints or in providing a current set for use by FBI Laboratory for elimination purposes.

COLUMBIA

AT UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA. Will contact [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] and request his cooperation in providing a set of fingerprints for use by FBI Laboratory for elimination purposes. [REDACTED] may be advised that efforts to locate his fingerprints in FBI Identification Division and DOD files proved unproductive. It may be noted that [REDACTED] reportedly had responsibility for printing the VTFS.

WFO 65-11613

LOS ANGELES

AT SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA. Will evaluate whether [REDACTED] had access to VTFS documents at Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, prior to their recovery by DOD and, if so, forward pertinent background data or available fingerprints to FBI Identification Division for elimination purposes.

(2) Will consider desirability of discussing with Departmental Attorneys the possibility of obtaining fingerprints of MARY ELLSBERG for elimination purposes.

WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE

AT WASHINGTON, D. C. (1) Will contact Government Printing Office and Arms Control and Disarmament Agency regarding availability of fingerprints of [REDACTED] respectively.

(2) Will attempt to determine whereabouts of [REDACTED] and thereafter evaluate desirability of obtaining his fingerprints bearing in mind his past epileptic condition.

(3) Will contact Agency for International Development regarding availability of fingerprints of [REDACTED]

(4) Will coordinate with DOD efforts to locate fingerprints of current civilian and military DOD personnel desired for elimination purposes.

TO SACS BOSTON (65-5236)  
BALTIMORE (65-3896)  
LOS ANGELES (105-27952)  
NEW YORK (65-25641)  
NEWARK (65-6215)  
WFO (65-11613)

3/2/72

FROM DIRECTOR FBI (65-74060) — 3224

MC LEK, SIO.

1 - Mr. Rosen  
1 - Mr. Mohr  
1 - Mr. Bishop  
1 - Mr. Dalbey  
1 - Mr. E.S. Miller  
1 - Mr. C.D. Brennan  
Mr. Wagoner

REBTEL FEBRUARY TWENTY-NINE LAST REQUESTING BUREAU

AUTHORIZATION TO SERVE SUBPOENAS ISSUED FOR THE PURPOSE OF OBTAINING  
TELEPHONE RECORDS RELATING TO THE INDIVIDUALS LISTED IN RETEL.

PERTINENT OFFICES ARE AUTHORIZED TO SERVE SUBPOENAS UPON  
RECEIPT OF SAME FROM BOSTON. BOSTON SHOULD ADVISE PERTINENT OFFICES  
THAT UPON SERVICE OF SUBPOENAS IF TELEPHONE COMPANY OFFICIALS ARE  
AGREEABLE, RECORDS MAY BE TURNED OVER TO AGENTS SERVING SUBPOENAS  
AND SUBSEQUENTLY FORWARDED TO BOSTON TOGETHER WITH EXECUTED  
SUBPOENAS FOR TRANSMITTAL TO DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY HANDLING GRAND  
JURY.

JRW:bsf  
(9)

NOTE: See cover memorandum C. D. Brennan to Mr. E. S. Miller  
dated 3/1/72, captioned as above, prepared by JRW:bsf.

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Miller, E.S. \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Dalbey \_\_\_\_\_  
Cleveland \_\_\_\_\_  
Ponder \_\_\_\_\_  
Bates \_\_\_\_\_  
Waikart \_\_\_\_\_  
Walters \_\_\_\_\_  
Soyars \_\_\_\_\_  
le. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

5:30 PM  
TELETYPE

INITIALED  
DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

97 MAR 3 1972

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

FEB 25 1972

TELETYPE

Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Fender	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Walkart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

NR 009 NH CODE

1130 PM NITEL 2-25-72 PRG

TO: DIRECTOR (65-74060) ATTN: D I D  
LOS ANGELES (105-27952)  
BOSTON (65-5236)  
FROM: NEW HAVEN (65-2389)

*cc [signature]*

MC LEK, SIO

"THE HAMDEN CHRONICLE", WEEKLY NEWSPAPER PUBLISHED IN  
HAMDEN, CONN., IN FEB. TWENTYFOUR LAST ISSUE, NOTED DR. DANIEL  
ELLSBERG IS COMING TO HAMDEN MARCH THREE NEXT. HE WILL APPEAR  
AT TEMPLE MISHKAN ISRAEL, SEVENTYEIGHT RIDGE RD., AT EIGHT  
FIFTEEN PM SERVICE AS SIXTH ANNUAL PEACE SERVICE SPEAKER.  
ELLSBERG WILL SPEAK ON "THE INVISIBLE WAR". PREVIOUS SPEAKERS  
HAVE BEEN HARRISON SALISBURY, NY TIMES CORRESPONDENT,  
DAVID SCHOENBRUN; HOWARD ZINN, PROF. BOSTON UNIVERSITY;  
ROBERT JAY LIFTON, PROF. YALE U.; AND GEORGE WALD, PROF. HARVARD  
U.

REC-45 65-74060-3225

10 MAR 7 1972

NHO WILL AFFORD COVERAGE OF ELLSBERG'S TALK ON MARCH THREE  
AND EFFORTS WILL BE MADE TO TAPE SAME.

END

JRM FBI WASH DC CLR

*cc 150  
also data deleted*

*[signature]*

97 MAR 9 1972

The FBI and the U.S. Justice Department continue to take seriously Dr. West's appropriate cries of alarm. As this modern Paul Revere knows, the security of the nation can be jeopardized, not only by outside foes, but also by zealots on the inside who are so determined to "bring us together" that they are prepared to stifle the voices of legitimate and responsible dissent. The government has no right to insure domestic tranquillity by injecting novocaine in the body politic.

The FBI and the U.S. Justice Department continue to take seriously Dr. West's appropriate cries of alarm. As this modern Paul Revere knows, the security of the nation can be jeopardized, not only by outside foes, but also by zealots on the inside who are so determined to "bring us together" that they are prepared to stifle the voices of legitimate and responsible dissent. The government has no right to insure domestic tranquillity by injecting novocaine in the body politic.

ton, published the Sen. Mike Gravel (D-Alaska) version of the Pentagon papers. A few days after publication date, FBI agents, armed with a grand jury subpoena, appeared at New England Merchants National Bank of Boston and demanded access to the denomination's bank records for the period from June 1 to Oct. 15.

Temporarily, the government's investigation has been suspended, pending the disposition of a church-initiated court suit seeking a restraining order. During the last few days, Dr. Robert N. West, UUA president, has been flying to major cities like a jet-set Paul Revere, hoping to alert the nation to the clear and present danger involved in what he has termed "an unprecedented threat to religious freedom." In Chicago last Friday, the church executive characterized "this unwarranted intrusion on religious liberty" as a calculated government attempt to intimidate his denomination. Even if the government files no suit against the church, Dr. West said, the investigation itself is likely to have a "chilling effect" on members and prospective members of the church.

Along with leaders of the National Council of Churches and the Synagog Council of America, we agree that the government's action appears to be punitive in purpose and repressive in effect. To say the least, the Constitution does not give the government a license to harass an organization that publishes documents (already in the public domain) which embarrass or anger the present powers-that-be in Washington. We believe the Justice Department's moves against the church reflect an astonishing disregard for the First Amendment's guarantees of freedom of religion, freedom of the press and freedom of association.

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)


47 CHICAGO SUN TIMES  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

Date: 2-9-72  
Edition: 4\* FINAL  
Author:  
Editor: JAMES HOGE  
Title:

Character:  
or  
Classification:  
Submitting Office: CHICAGO  
☐ Being Investigated

65-74060-  
ENCLOSURE

ENCLOSURE



©1977, CHICAGO SUN-TIMES

Shadowed

FBI

Date: 2/28/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)

FROM: CHARLOTTE (65-1767)

SUBJECT: MC LEK  
SIO  
(OO: LOS ANGELES)

Re Bureau airtel to Charlotte, 2/25/72, and Charlotte airtel and LHM to Bureau, 2/11/72.

Enclosed for the Bureau and Los Angeles are 6 and 2 copies respectively of amended page 3 of referenced Charlotte LHM. Amended page has been inserted in Charlotte copies of LHM.

- 2-610D*  
*2-610D* (4) det. filed 3/10  
*in serial*  
*cons/wh 3/6/72*
- 2 - Bureau (Enc. 6) (RM)
  - 2 - Los Angeles (Enc. 2) (RM)
  - 2 - Charlotte (Enc. 2) (RM)

APR:pwb  
(6)

65-74060-  
NOT RECORDED

16 MAR 1 1972

*CP*  
SPEC. INV. OFFICE  
COORDINATION

Approved: *Rh*  
57 MAR 9 1972 Special Agent in Charge

Sent *11:00* M Per \_\_\_\_\_

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

NR 021 LA CODE

1013PM NITEL 3-1-72 JAA

MAR 2 1972

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060) ATTN: DITLETYPE

BOSTON (65-5236)

NEW HAVEN

NEW YORK (65-25641)

FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952) AP

MC LEK, SIO.

Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	
Mr. Miller, ES	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Dalbey	
Mr. Cleveland	
Mr. Fender	
Mr. Bates	
Mr. Wainwright	
Mr. Walters	
Mr. Soyars	
Tele. Rm.	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

END PAGE ONE

97 MAR 10 1972

2 cc / SD  
Adm data deleted

*John H*

PAGE THREE

ADMINISTRATIVE. REFERENCE LOS ANGELES TELETYPE FEBRUARY TWENTY NINE LAST.

LOS ANGELES FEDERAL JUDGE W. M. BYRNE, JR., REQUESTED MEETING WITH GOVERNMENT AND DEFENSE ATTORNEYS FOR NINE A.M. MARCH ONE INSTANT IN HIS CHAMBERS. THE DEFENSE SHOWED UP AT TEN A.M., AT WHICH TIME JUDGE BYRNE INFORMED THE ATTORNEYS HE HAD AN ATTACK OF APPENDICITIS AND WAS GOING INTO SURGERY AT NOON MARCH ONE INSTANT. HE ADDED HE WOULD HEAR AS MUCH OF THE MOTIONS AS HE COULD BUT IF HE CUT THE HEARING SHORT, IT WAS FOR MEDICAL REASONS.

IN OPEN COURT HE HELD HEARING ON DEFENSE MOTIONS FOR DISCOVERY AND ORDERED THE GOVERNMENT TO SUPPLY DEFENSE WITH ALL DOCUMENTS TO BE ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE. ORDERED GOVERNMENT TO SUPPLY DEFENSE WITH ALL PERSONNEL FILES OF DEFENDANTS WHILE THEY WERE EMPLOYED BY GOVERNMENT. ORDERED GOVERNMENT TO PRODUCE ENTIRE WHEELER DOCUMENT FOR HIS INSPECTION IN CAMERA. ORDERED GOVERNMENT TO SUPPLY STUDIES CONDUCTED BY DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, STATE DEPARTMENT, JUSTICE DEPARTMENT AND CIA REGARDING ENFORCEMENT OF THEIR CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM WITH REGARD TO THE ESPIONAGE ACT. JUDGE BYRNE CUT HEARING SHORT AND DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY NISSEN ESTIMATES THEY ARE HALF WAY THROUGH DISCOVERY MOTIONS. AT NOON THREE ONE INSTANT JUDGE BYRNE ENTERED ST. VINCENT'S HOSPITAL FOR SURGERY.

END PAGE THREE

LA 105-27952

PAGE FOUR

NISSEN IS DISSATISFIED WITH JUDGE BYRNE, STATING HE HAS LACKED FIRMNESS IN REGARD TO THE DEFENSE, IS VERY ACCOMMODATING TO THEM AND HAS BEEN BURDENSOME TO THE GOVERNMENT.

RUSSO AND ELLSBERG WERE PRESENT AT HEARING. THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE OF PRESS COVERAGE BY TELEVISION MEDIA.

CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION.

END

NR 003 NH CODE

11:39 PM NITEL 3-1-72 PRG

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060), (ATTN:DID), AND SACS,  
LOS ANGELES (105-27952), BOSTON (65-5236)  
FROM: SAC, NEW HAVEN (65-2389)

MC LEK SIO

Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller, ES	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Walkart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

*Brooklyn JRH*

RE NEW HAVEN NITEL, FEBRUARY TWENTYFIVE LAST.

THE NEW HAVEN JOURNAL-COURIER, A DAILY NEWSPAPER  
PUBLISHED IN NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT IN ITS MARCH ONE,  
INSTANT EDITION, REPORTED THAT "DANIEL ELLSBERG, THE MAN  
UNDER INDICTMENT FOR LEAKING THE PENTAGON PAPERS TO THE  
PRESS, WILL SPEAK AT YALE FRIDAY (MARCH THREE, NEXT) ON  
'NIXON AND THE WAR: WHAT'S GOING ON.'

101

REC-45

65-74060-3227

1

HE WILL BE UNDER THE SPONSORSHIP OF A CALHOUN COLLEGE  
FELLOWSHIP. HE WILL SPEAK AT ONE FIFTEEN PM AT THE YALE  
UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL AUDITORIUM. ADMISSION FREE, BUT  
DONATIONS WILL BE SOLICITED FOR THE ELLSBERG DEFENSE FUND.

WHO WILL AFFORD COVERAGE OF THIS TALK AND EFFORTS MADE  
TO TAPE.

END

TMT FBI WA

CLR

2 cc ISD ✓  
Adm. Ltr deleted

5-JRH

97 MAR 9 1972

FBI

Date: 2/25/72

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI  
FROM: SAC, WFO (62-  
LEONARD S. RODBERG  
MISC - INFO CONCERNING

MC LEK

Enclosed are six copies of an LHM setting forth information received from [REDACTED], The [REDACTED]

The Bureau is aware of [REDACTED] and of information supplied by him in the MC LEK Case. The data set forth concerning the survey of the office of Senator MIKE GRAVEL was originally provided the Bureau by airtel and report in the MC LEK caption.

WFO accepts information from [REDACTED] and he was [REDACTED]

Reference is made to the comment of [REDACTED] on page two of the attached LHM that "we have an FBI connection fully familiar with what they are doing" and that they also have a CIA connection. It is WFO's opinion that the FBI connection is probably former [REDACTED] who is known to have appeared at IPS within the recent past and to have supplied an affidavit to IPS concerning the monitoring of IPS's bank account by WFO.

2 ENCLOSURE

It is suggested that the Bureau disseminate the LHM to CIA.

- (3) - Bureau (6 Encls)
  - (1 - 65-74060) (MC LEK)
- 3 - WFO
  - (1 - [REDACTED])
  - (1 - 65-11613) (MC LEK)

116-441685

CJJ:teb  
(6)

NOT  
149 MAR 9 1972

RECEIVED BY [REDACTED]

65-74060  
MAR 23 1972

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Sent \_\_\_\_\_

Per \_\_\_\_\_

60 MAR 15 1972 Special Agent in Charge

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1971-413-135

ORIGINAL FILED IN 100-441685-911



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Washington, D. C. 20535

February 25, 1972

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] has been publicly identified as a Research Fellow at the Institute for Policy Studies (IPS), Washington, D. C. The IPS has been publicly described as the "think tank" of the New Left and is self described as a non-profit, non-taxable, independent center for research, education, and social invention on public policy problems. [REDACTED] has also been publicly identified as an agent and/or staff aide for United States Senator Mike Gravel. [REDACTED]

The following information was supplied by [REDACTED], a businessman who deals with electronic devices:

At the request of [REDACTED] in October, 1971, [REDACTED] and several associates made a survey of the office of Senator Gravel on the evening of November 1, 1971, for "bugs". It was the conclusion of [REDACTED] that the office of Senator Gravel was "clean" other than the abnormal phenomena which all the telephone lines checked possessed - radio frequency carrier, capable of modulation. He said he could not explain this situation; that it could be caused by microwave feedback. He noted there was a radio frequency radiated field from the vicinity of the Carroll Arms Hotel.

On February 24, 1972, [REDACTED] advised he was contacted by [REDACTED] on the evening of February 23, 1972. [REDACTED] advised him that Senator Gravel, as Chairman of the Subcommittee on Public Buildings and Grounds, plans to ask for funds for a team of investigators to gather information concerning the security of telephone lines in public buildings. From the comments made by [REDACTED] received the impression that the Subcommittee would gather information concerning the practices of United States intelligence-gathering agencies, specifically, techniques of an

65-74060-

ENCLOSURE

RE: LEONARD S. RODBERG

electronic nature used to intercept telephone conversations. Additionally, the Subcommittee would be interested in the testimony of witnesses who can provide information concerning methods of assuring telephone security. [REDACTED] also said that [REDACTED] would probably be an essential member of the investigating team of the Subcommittee in view of his previous survey of Senator Gravel's office, supra. [REDACTED] said he has made no decision as to whether he will accept such a position.

[REDACTED] said [REDACTED] also commented that, "we have an FBI connection fully familiar with what they are doing," and that they have a CIA connection, too. No further statements were made in this respect.

[REDACTED] said that the Subcommittee hopes to provide a grant to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology to design and build equipment to provide telephone security. In this respect, [REDACTED] said he had previously informed [REDACTED] that the Bell Telephone System can provide the equipment which will add security to telephone lines provided the customer will pay the additional costs.

[REDACTED] expressed the opinion, which is based upon his conversation with [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] and his associates are attempting to start a "witch hunt" which is aimed at the United States intelligence agencies.

The Congressional Directory, 1972, 92nd Congress, Second Session, Page 268, lists Mike Gravel of Alaska as a member of the Committee of the Senate on Public Works and Chairman of the Subcommittee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

This document contains neither  
recommendations nor conclusions of  
the FBI. It is the property of  
the FBI and is loaned to your agency;  
it and its contents are not to be  
distributed outside your agency.

Domestic Intelligence Division

INFORMATIVE NOTE

Date 2-25-72

Attached states [redacted] a research fellow at the Institute for Policy Studies (IPS) which is described as a "think tank" for the New Left, and who is also an aide to Senator Mike Gravel (D-Alaska) may be attempting to start a "witch hunt" aimed at U.S. intelligence agencies according to a Bureau source. [redacted] in October, 1971, had [redacted] who is a businessman dealing in electronic devices, and several associates make a survey of Senator Gravel's office to see if his office was "bugged," and it was determined to be "clean." [redacted] on 2-23-72, advised [redacted] that Senator Gravel plans to ask for funds for team of investigators to gather information concerning the security of telephone lines in public buildings, and according to source he received impression that Gravel as Chairman of the Subcommittee on Public Buildings and Grounds would gather information concerning practices of U.S. intelligence gathering agencies. According to the source [redacted] commented "We have an FBI connection fully familiar with what they are doing," and they have a CIA connection too.

[redacted] comment regarding the FBI connection is probably former [redacted] who is known to have appeared at IPS within the recent past, and to have supplied an affidavit to IPS concerning the monitoring of IPS's bank accounts by our Washington Field Office. If you approve, a copy of attached will be sent to CIA and the National Security Agency.

ABK:lrs

ENCLOSURE

65-74060-

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MAR 8 1972

TELETYPE

Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller, ES	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Fonder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Walkart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

NR020 BS PLAIN

904PM PM NITEL 3-2-72 WFY

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060) (ATTN: DID)

NEW YORK (65-15641)

FROM BOSTON (65-5236) (P) (1 PAGE)

MC LER-SIO.

RE NEW YORK AIRTEL, FEBRUARY TWENTYEIGHT, LAST.

[REDACTED] INTERVIEWED MARCH ONE LAST  
CONCERNING TELEPHONE CALLS MADE FROM HIS RESIDENCE. SINCE  
SEVERAL INDIVIDUALS LISTED IN REFERENCED AIRTEL ARE KNOWN  
TO [REDACTED], BOSTON RECOMMENDS NYO HOLD IN ABEYANCE INTERVIEWS  
PLANNED IN REAIRTEL PENDING RECEIPT BOSTON AIRTEL WITH RESULTS  
OF INTERVIEW.

END

TJT GBI WASH DC CLR

REC-6 5-74060-2229

MAR 7 1972

97 MAR 9 1972

PAGE TWO

LA 105-27952

OF FOREGOING.

BOSTON IMMEDIATELY FURNISH ANY SUCH ABOVE DESCRIBED  
DOCUMENTS IN THEIR POSSESSION TO LOS ANGELES FOR DELIVERY  
TO ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY BARRY.

CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION

END

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller, ES	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Warkart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

NR 034 LA CODE

8:28PM URGENT 3-2-72 PEN

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)

ATTN: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

BOSTON (65-5236)

FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952) 2P

MCLEK, SIO.

*Handwritten signature/initials*

ON MARCH TWO INSTANT ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY RICHARD BARRY, ASSISTANT TO DAVID NISSEN, REQUESTED BOSTON IMMEDIATELY FURNISH ALL DOCUMENTS OR COPIES OF DOCUMENTS IN POSSESSION OF BOSTON WHICH WERE RECEIVED BY BOSTON AS A RESULT OF GRAND JURY INVESTIGATING DISCLOSURE OF PENTAGON PAPERS. BOSTON IS NOT TO CONTACT GRAND JURY FOR ANY DOCUMENTS AND IS TO FORWARD TO LOS ANGELES ONLY DOCUMENTS OR COPIES IN POSSESSION OF BOSTON OFFICE. THESE DOCUMENTS OR COPIES ARE TO BE MADE AVAILABLE TO JUDGE MATTHEW BYRNE FOR IN CAMERA INSPECTION.

ADMINISTRATIVE. LOS ANGELES TELETYPE MARCH ONE

LAST.

REC-45 65-74060-3228

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. NISSEN ADVISED

10 MAR 7 1972

END PAGE ONE

97 MAR 3 1972

*Handwritten initials*

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MAR 2 1972

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller, ES	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Winkert	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

NR 015 BS CODE

605 PM NITEL 3-2-72 WFY

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060) (ATTN: DOMINTEL)

LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

FROM BOSTON (65-5236) (IPAGE)

*ccs/Bret*

MC LEK-SIO.

RE BOSTON NITEL, FEB. TWENTYNINE, LAST, AND BUTEL THREE TWO SEVENTYTWO.

ON THIS DATE, DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY RICK BARRY ADVISED THAT THE SCHEDULED RETURN DATE FOR THE FOUR SUBPOENAS ISSUED ON FEBRUARY TWENTYNINE LAST FOR VARIOUS TELEPHONE TOLL RECORDS WHICH WERE RETURNABLE ON MARCH FIFTEEN, NEXT, WILL BE CHANGED TO MARCH TWENTYONE, NEXT. BARRY ADVISED THAT THE LATER DATE WAS BEING UTILIZED SINCE A CONTEMPT HEARING FOR SAMUEL LEWIS POPKIN, WHO HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN SUBPOENAED IN THIS CASE BUT REFUSED TO TESTIFY BEFORE THE FEDERAL GRAND JURY, BOSTON, WOULD BE HELD ON MARCH TWENTYONE, NEXT. BOSTON RETURNING SUBPOENAS PREVIOUSLY ISSUED FOR CHANGE IN RETURN DATE TO MARCH TWENTYONE NEXT, AFTER WHICH THEY WILL BE FORWARDED TO PERTINENT OFFICES FOR SERVICE ACCORDANCE WITH INSTRUCTION IN REBUTEL.

REC-45 65-74060-3230

BI WA

MAR 5 1972

*Jan 15*